VIRUS SURVIVAL IN THE ENVIRONMENT WITH SPECIAL ATTENTION TO SURVIVAL IN SEWAGE DROPLETS AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA OF FECAL OR RESPIRATORY ORIGIN Draft – August 21, 2003

Mark D. Sobsey¹ and John Scott Meschke²

¹University of North Carolina, School of Public Health, Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering CB# 7431, McGavran-Greenberg Hall, Room 4114a, Chapel Hill, NC. 27599-7431 USA Email: Sobsey@email.unc.edu

²University of Washington, School of Public Health & Community Medicine, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, 4225 Roosevelt Way NE, Suite 100, Box 354695, Seattle, WA 98195-6099 USA

Email: jmeschke@u.washington.edu

INTRODUCTION

Viruses are submicroscopic, obligate intracellular parasites that are biologically active only within their host. They range in size from about 20 to 300 nm and consist of a nucleic acid genome surrounded by a protein coat; some have an additional outer layer composed of lipoprotein, called the envelope. Viruses are shed from infected hosts into the environment and while outside of their cellular hosts and in the environment they have the potential to survive, persist and be transported by various routes to again reach other susceptible hosts. Not all viruses released into the environment are, however, successful in surviving and reaching new susceptible hosts. Viruses can be considered biochemical complexes that behave as colloidal particles in the environment, where they can interact with various environmental media and matrices, be subjected to various transport processes as well as sequestration and degradation processes. Therefore, viruses present in environmental media and matrices can be transported, diluted sequestered, inactivated and degraded before they ever reach a susceptible host to infect.

The extent to which viral pathogens of humans and animals persist in the environment to reach other hosts is of considerable public health interest and concern. Viruses can be transmitted by a variety of routes, including direct and indirect contact, vector transmission, and vehicle transmission. For many human and animal viruses, vehicle transmission includes respiratory transmission by droplets and aerosols, and fecal-oral transmission via water, food, and fomites, such as environmental surfaces. Consequently, for viruses transmitted by the respiratory and fecal-oral routes, transport and persistence in environment is directly related to the potential for and risk of transmission, host exposure, infection and disease. Viral persistence, survival and transport can vary greatly with virus type and environmental conditions. This review will summarize the factors influencing virus persistence, survival and transport in the environment, especially for viruses transmitted by the fecal-oral and respiratory routes and with special consideration of the SARS virus and other Coronaviruses. Data will be presented for environmental persistence of a range of virus types in different environmental media and matrices, including air, water, waste and surfaces, and under different environmental conditions influencing virus survival, persistence and transport.

ENTERIC VIRUSES AND OTHER FECALLY SHED VIRUSES OF HUMANS AND ANIMALS OF PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN FOR POSSIBLE FECAL TRANSMISSION

A wide variety of different viruses, representing nearly all of the families of animal viruses, can be present in human animal fecal wastes and urine. Especially important are a variety of nonenveloped enteric and respiratory viruses. These include adenoviruses, astroviruses, caliciviruses, papovaviruses, parvoviruses, picornaviruses (enteroviruses and hepatitis A virus), and other nonenveloped viruses that can be shed in faeces (and in some cases urine) from infected individuals and can be present in fecal wastes and fecally contaminated environmental samples. Also fecally shed (or shed from the urinary tract) and present in faeces and fecally contaminated environmental samples are a variety of enveloped viruses, including arenaviruses, bunyaviruses, coronaviruses, filoviruses, flaviviruses, herpesviruses, orthomyxoviruses, paramyxoviruses, pestiviruses, poxviruses, retroviruses, rhabdoviruses, togaviruses and these viruses can be in fecal wastes of human an animals. Some of the main viruses and virus groups of importance in human fecal wastes and in animal manures (faeces and urine) are shown in Table 1. Many of the viruses listed here are important pathogens of their human and animal hosts, although some of them do not always cause severe illness or high mortality rates. The impact on health of many of the human viruses belonging to these families is reasonably well understood and documented. However, the significance to human health of many of the non-human animal viruses belonging to these virus families is less well understood and remains uncertain or unknown for many of them. It is notable, however, that zoonotic viruses of humans continue to be discovered or appear to re-emerge as important human pathogens. Recent among these are the SARS virus (a coronavirus), monkeypox virus (a poxvirus), and hepatitis E virus, an unclassified virus recently implicated in human hepatitis illness from consumption of raw venison. Many of the viruses found in faeces and combined fecal and urine wastes are non-enveloped, relatively persistent in the environment and resistant to treatment and control processes. Enveloped viruses also can be present in human fecal wastes and urine and in animal manures (urine and faeces) at high concentrations. Furthermore, many of these enveloped viruses also can persist for considerable periods of time in these wastes and in contaminated environmental media. Therefore, the factors that influence virus survival in the environment are of considerable interest because they play a role in the extent to which the viruses shed into environmental media will again pose risks of further human exposure, infection and illness.

FACTORS AFFECTING VIRUS SURVIVAL IN THE ENVIRONMENT

Introduction

Numerous physical, chemical and biological factors influence virus persistence in the environment. In all environmental media or matrices, virus type and composition is an important factor in survival, transport and persistence. A number of the important physical, chemical and biological factors influencing virus survival in the environment are summarized in Table 1. Some of the primary factors affecting the transport, survival and fate of viruses in liquid environmental matrices or media are temperature, ionic strength, chemical constituents, microbial antagonism, the sorption status of the virus and the type of virus. Of the chemical constituents in liquid or semi-solid (faeces, human night soil, biosolids, animal manures, etc.) in environmental matrices, the amount and type of organic matter and specific antiviral chemicals, such as ammonia at elevated pH levels (pH > 8.5), play a role in virus survival. Of the physical factors influencing virus survival in liquid media, temperature, sunlight and virus association with solids are among the most important factors influencing survival.

Viruses can occur in the airborne state in two major forms: as droplets that are relatively large (>20 µmeters in diameter) and largely liquid and as aerosols that are relatively small (5 µmeters or less) that may be composed of either mostly liquid or solid materials. Particles in the range of about 5 to 20 µmeters also can remain airborne for extended periods of time, especially if they are mostly composed of water, which tends to evaporate, thereby causing the particles to become even smaller in size over time. An important difference in physical properties of these airborne virus-containing particles is that the droplets are so large that they settle out or sediment within short distances (meters) from their source while the aerosol particles can remain airborne for extended periods of time and travel long distances. For virus-containing aerosols (virus bioaerosols), the most important factors affecting virus survival are temperature, relative humidity (RH), moisture content of the aerosol particles, composition of the suspending medium, sunlight exposure, air quality (especially poorly characterized air pollutants, such as "open air factor"), and type of virus (enveloped or hydrophobic versus non-enveloped and hydrophilic). Aerosol particle size also plays a role in viral persistence in aerosols and in the deposition of the particles in the respiratory tract.

On surfaces the most important factors that affect virus survival are the type of virus and surface, relative humidity, moisture content (water activity), temperature, composition of the suspending medium, light exposure and presence of antiviral chemical or biological agents.

Virus Family, Type and Composition

Viruses are diverse in their sizes, shapes, surface properties and internal composition. Table 2 summarizes the physical and genetic characteristics of some of the most environmentally relevant or important human and animal virus families. As previously noted, viruses are essentially composed of a nucleic acid surrounded by a protein coat, and depending on the virus family (or type) they may or may not have a lipoprotein envelope. For the non-enveloped viruses, the capsid is the outermost layer that is responsible for virus attachment to cells and is in contact with the environment. For enveloped viruses the envelope is in contact with the environment and the virus-specific glycoproteins within the envelope are responsible for virus attachment to host cells. For both enveloped and non-enveloped viruses, the surface chemistry of the virion is complex. The surface can be considered a mosaic consisting of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic domains with a variety of both charged and uncharged functional groups capable of interacting with solvents (such as water), other solutes, surfaces (solids) and chemical ligands. Morphologically, viruses are either icosahedral, helical, ovoid, bullet-shaped or pleomorphic. Virus morphology is a function of the capsid morphology and the presence or absence of an envelope. As previously indicated the physical size of viruses range from a low of about 18-25nm for parvoviruses to about 350nm for poxviruses.

The SARS virus and other coronaviruses, which are of particular interest in this report, are enveloped, have a helical nucleocapsid, are circular to pleomorphic in shape and about 120-140 nm in diameter. The surface projections of SARS virus and other coronaviruses are distinctive, club–shaped peplomers composed of different types of proteins. The surface projections are surrounded by a prominent fringe; they are spaced widely apart and evenly dispersed. The surface projections comprise S-proteins responsible for attachment to cells, hemagglutination and membrane fusion and hemagglutinin esterase proteins (HE), which form short projections (in some species). The nucleocapsids of coronaviruses are helical and either straight or bent; 9 nm in diameter, or 11-13 nm in diameter, depending on the specific virus. The molecular mass (Mr) of coronavirions is 400 x 10^6 . The virions have a buoyant density in CsCl of 1.23-1.24 g cm⁻³ and in sucrose of 1.15-1.19 g cm⁻³. The sedimentation coefficient is $300-500 S_{20w}$. Under *in vitro* conditions some coronaviruses are stable in an acid environment of pH 3. Like many other

viruses, some coronaviruses are more stable in presence of Mg^{++} . For example, heat inactivation of mouse hepatitis virus is reduced in the presence of Mg^{++} .

Viral Capsids: Viral capsids are typically either helical (filamentous) or icosahedral (round). Viral capsids are made up of one or more structural proteins and consequently have electrically charged surfaces due to the ionic functional groups (primarily carboxyl, amino, sulfhydryl, etc.) of the acidic and basic amino acids comprising the virion proteins. The iso-electric point of a virus (or any particle) refers to the point at which there is no net surface charge on the particle {Gerba, 1984 #556}. This does not mean that there is no charge on the surface, rather that the ionic functional groups of positive and negative charge or pockets of them are charge-balanced across the surface as a whole. The iso-electric points vary greatly even between strains of a particular virus type, indicating that the degree to which they will sorb to different materials (such as soil particles and other surfaces) will also vary {Gerba, 1984 #556}. Additionally, a virus may have more than one iso-electric point. An example of this is poliovirus in which a conformational change in the protein capsid at a particular pH exposes different amino acid functional groups to the surface, thus leading to the existence of the viruses with one of two iso-electric points {Mandel, 1971 #496}. Most viruses have iso-electric points in the acid pH region, below the neutral pH of 7.0.

The pH of fecal matter, most domestic sewage, many natural water and most drinking water supplies is generally in the range of neutral pH and therefore, above the iso-electric points of most viruses. Consequently, viruses are generally thought to be negatively charged in facees, sewage, most natural waters, and most water supplies. As previously noted, the electrical potential or charge on the surface of a virus is dependent on the relative presence of surface amine, R-functional, carboxyl groups and sulfhydryl groups in the amino acids of the virus capsid proteins. The gain and loss of protons is controlled by the individual dissociation constants of the termini and functional groups of the amino acids (which range from 1.8 to 2.4 for the α -COOH groups, 8.8 to 10.8 for the α -NH₃⁺ groups, and 3.9 to about 13 for the R-functional groups) {Murray, 1996 #566}. Viruses are generally considered to be hydrophilic, however regions of hydrophobicity on virus capsids have been shown to exist {Wait, 1983 #564}. This is due to the amino acid composition and the conformational folding of capsid proteins. Some amino acids, such as alanine, leucine and tyrosine, are more hydrophobic than others, like arginine, glycine, lysine, and threonine.

Viral Envelopes and Glycoprotein spikes: Some virus types, such as the alphaviruses and orthomyxoviruses, acquire a lipoprotein envelope as they bud through the host plasma membrane. Other viruses, like the coronaviruses acquire a lipoprotein envelope by budding through the membrane of various host cell organelles (in the case of coronaviruses the endoplasmic reticulum). Additionally, most enveloped viruses, such as the coronaviruses, have additional surface proteins or glycoproteins that function in their attachment to host cells and in other interactions. The surfaces of the enveloped viruses are more hydrophobic than those of the non-enveloped viruses because the lipoprotein bilayer is highly hydrophobic. However, the virus-specific glycoproteins embedded in the lipid bilayer of the virion enveloped are hydrophilic to varying degrees, and they contribute to the hydrophilic properties of the virion surface. As noted above these proteins and glycoproteins have a key role to play in virion attachment and other interactions with host cell surfaces (cellular receptors), antibodies and environmental surfaces.

Viral Nucleic Acids: The type of viral nucleic acid is characteristic of a virus family and may be either RNA or DNA, single- or double stranded, linear or circular, in a single piece of made up of discrete segments. Single stranded RNA or DNA genomes may be either positive sense or negative sense. A positive sense genome is one which has the same orientation as mRNA.

Further, viral genomes may be either linear or circular. The size of viral genomes ranges from ~5kb for parvoviruses to ~248kb for cytomegaloviruses. The genomes of coronaviruses are not segmented and consist of a single molecule of linear positive-sense single-stranded RNA. The complete genomes are 25000–33000 nucleotides long. The 5'–end of coronavirus genomes has a methylated nucleotide cap, and the 3'–terminus has a poly (A) tract.

Effects of virus type on survival in the environment: Considerable differences have been observed in the stability and inactivation rates of viruses in faeces, sewage, biosolids, animal manures, natural waters and other environmental media. Differences in survival have been observed not only among viruses of different families and genera, but also among viruses of the same family, genus and even among similar types or strains of virus {Denis, 1975 #504;Block, 1983 #485}. Such differences between virus types have been observed for survival in aerosols and on surfaces. A few examples of such differences in different environmental media are reported below.

In a variety of water samples, including fresh and saline waters, poliovirus has been demonstrated to be more persistent than either herpes simplex virus or HIV. HIV was reduced by 1 log₁₀ after less than two days in tap water, sewage and seawater at 16°C. Herpes was reduced by 1 log₁₀ in less than a day and a half in all water samples. Depending on sample, it took 23-59 days for poliovirus to be reduced by 1 log₁₀ {Slade, 1989 #335}. In another study with higher incubation temperatures (25°C), HIV was reduced by 1 log₁₀ after only two hours and by 3 log₁₀ after eight hours, whereas polio persisted for 24 hours {Moore, 1993 #334}. However, a third study by Casson *et al.* (1992) reported that cell-free HIV was reduced only by about 1- to 2-log₁₀ after 48 hours in water and wastewater (primary and secondary effluent) at 25°C.

Thermal sensitivity of pseudorabies virus has been shown to be strain dependent, and thermal sensitivities have been used to group individual strains of virus {Platt, 1979 #409;Golais, 1975 #410;Bartha, 1969 #411}.

During aerosol studies on influenza survival, avian and equine strains were found to be more resistant to inactivation than human or swine strains {Mitchell, 1972 #305}. Detection of infectious human and swine strains was found to be less than or equal to 15 hours, whereas equine and avian strains were detectable for 20-30 hours and 24-36 hours, respectively. Furthermore, differences in the stability of aerosolized influenza at intermediate relative humidity have been reported in several studies. Several studies report a transition between low and high inactivation rates at intermediate humidity {Harper, 1963 #435;Harper, 1961 #322;Hemmes, 1960 #423}. Other studies report the greatest inactivation at intermediate humidity {Hood, 1963 #436:Shechmeister, 1950 #437}. These differences in reported inactivation at intermediate humidity have been attributed possibly to the hosts used for passage of the viruses and the differences in the host matrices comprising the viruses being studied {Schaffer, 1976 #296}. Influenza was raised in bovine, human, and chicken cell cultures, as well as embryonated eggs. Viruses aerosolized in cell culture fluids were most stable at low relative humidity, minimally stable at intermediate humidity, and moderately stable at high relative humidity. On the other hand after, some preparations of viruses aerosolized in allantoic fluid were equally stable at intermediate and high relative humidity.

Other studies have reported shifts or variations in the survival patterns of different viruses with multiple passages in tissue cultures {Hearn jr., 1965 #438;Hinshaw, 1976 #295}. The sensitivity of Yellow Fever virus to inactivation at intermediate humidity has been shown to increase with multiple passage in HeLa cell culture {Hearn jr., 1965 #438}. Schaffer *et al.* suggest that this phenomenon may be due to a difference in structural lipids or other related structural factors

between the propagating host cells {Schaffer, 1976 #296}. Differences in the suspending medium may also explain discrepancies between virus survival studies {Benbough, 1971 #306}.

In studies examining the persistence of representatives of several families and genera of viruses (adenovirus, poliovirus, coxsackievirus, vaccinia virus, and herpes simplex type 1) on inanimate surfaces, survival was found to vary with virus type {Mahl, 1975 #366}. Adenoviruses were most persistent, surviving for greater than 12 weeks at low humidity. With the exception of herpes simplex virus at high humidity and temperature, the coxsackieviruses studied were generally found to be the least persistent, persisting for less than three weeks regardless of temperature and humidity.

Virus Detection in Human and Animal Wastes and in Environmental Samples

Although enteric and respiratory viruses have been studied for their survival, transport and fate in human fecal and respiratory wastes, animal fecal wastes (manures), waste treatment and management systems, and environmental media, much of what has been done has relied on spiking samples with high concentrations of test viruses to follow their survival, transport and fate (Turner et al., 1999a, 1999; 1999c). Some research has been done to develop, evaluate and apply standardized and consistent methods to recover, detect and quantify seeded and naturally occurring human and animal enteric viruses in untreated and treated fecal wastes, animal manures and fecally contaminated environmental samples, including aerosolized viruses (virus bioaerosols) (Bosch, 1998; Cole and Cook, 1998; Douwes et al., 2003; Gerba and Goyal, 1992; Metcalf et al., 1995; Straub and Chandler, 2003; Straub et al., 1993; Theron and Cloete, 2002). Viruses have been recovered from faeces, animal manures, treated wastes, foods and environmental samples containing high concentrations of viruses by simple extraction or elution procedures, and sometimes, no attempt has been made to further concentrate or purify the viruses (Deng and Cliver, 1992). However, in many environmental media, adequate virus detection requires that the viruses be concentrated from the samples and separated and purified from sample constituents that can interfere with virus detection. Viruses in treated animal manures generally are recovered and concentrated by the same methods used to recover human enteric viruses in municipal biosolids. Sometimes viruses in sample extracts either after concentration or with no concentration, are inoculated directly into cell cultures for virus detection and assay by infectivity. For example, viruses in swine waste slurry extracts have been treated with fluorocarbon (solvent) extraction to improve recoveries and reduce cytotoxicity prior to direct inoculation into cell cultures (Turner et al., 1999). In many cases viruses are concentrated and purified before inoculation into cell culture for virus assay or before virus detection by molecular methods targeting the viral nucleic acid. Some of the sample processing steps used to recover, concentrate and purify non-enveloped viruses, such as solvent and detergent treatments and exposure to pH extremes, are, however, not applicable to enveloped viruses because they will inactivate them.

Detecting viruses by detecting their nucleic acids, with or without nucleic acid amplification, requires further steps to extract the viral nucleic acids and to remove interfering sample materials that can interfere with viral nucleic acid amplification and detection. Detecting viruses in environmental samples by detecting their nucleic acids has the limitation of not being able to conclusively determine if the detected virus nucleic acids are from infectious viruses. Viruses of inactivated viruses can be detected by these nucleic acid methods (Sobsey *et al.*, 1998). To overcome this problem, nucleic acid detection is being used in conjunction with virus propagation in cell cultures in order to detect the nucleic acids of only those viruses that are infectious and successfully propagate in cell cultures (Chung *et al.*, 1996; Reynolds *et al.*, 1996). Another approach to increase the likelihood of detecting intact and potentially infectious viruses in cell

cultures is to pre-treated the virions with proteolytic enzymes and nucleases prior to nucleic acid extraction, amplification and detection, thereby eliminating the detection of free nucleic acids or nucleic acids associated with damaged, inactivated virions (Nuanualsuwan and Cliver, 2002).

Many different of methods have been used to recover and detect viruses in fecal wastes of humans and animals and in different fecally contaminated environmental samples. In addition, a number of different methods also have been developed to recover, detect and characterize enteric and respiratory viruses and other microbes in aerosols and other airborne particles (Alvarez *et al.*, 1995; Cole and Cook, 1998; Douwes *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore, there is lack of performance data on their recovery and detection efficiency, especially for the many different families, genera and specific types of viruses that can be present in faeces, respiratory secretions, manures and other fecally contaminated materials and environmental samples. The variability and often unknown or poorly characterized and quantified performance of uncertainty and variability in the available data on virus occurrence, persistence, survival and fate in fecal material and fecally contaminated environmental samples and it confounds and limits the ability to understand, interpret and compare these data.

Bacteriophages of fecal bacteria present in human and animal faeces are considered candidate viral indicators of the presence of viruses in such wastes and in fecally contaminated environmental samples, and the reductions of viruses by treatment processes, management systems in environmental media (Grabow, 2001; IAWPRC Study Group, 1991; Leclerc et al., 2000). Of the bacteriophages present in animal faeces and manures, the bacteriophages that have received the most attention as indicator viruses are the somatic and male-specific coliphages. These are the same types of bacterial viruses that have received similar attention as enteric virus indicators in human and animal fecal waste, sewage and biosolids. The extent to which coliphages are reliable indicators of the presence, survival, transport and fate of human and animal enteric viruses in faeces, manures, and in environmental media impacted by fecal wastes is relevant to both animal and human fecal waste sources. Currently, bacteriophages of fecal waste origin are being used to detect, characterize and quantify the presence, survival, transport and fate of human and animal viral pathogens in fecally contaminated environmental media. However, the extent to which they can reliably and quantitatively predict the presence, survival, transport and fate of different viral pathogens in a variety of environmental media under a range of environmental conditions is uncertain at this time. This is an active area of environmental virology research that can possibly contribute to better understanding of the behavior of newly discovered and emerging pathogens in fecally contaminated environmental media.

Factors Affecting Virus Survival in Liquid and Semi-solid Media (Faeces, Animal Manure, etc.)

Temperature: Temperature is one of the most important factors determining virus survival and persistence. Viral persistence may be affected by elevated temperatures through several mechanisms, including protein denaturation, RNA damage, and influence on microbial or enzymatic activity {Melnick, 1980 #391;Deng, 1995 #237;Dimmock, 1967 #325}. Early studies indicated that the primary reason for viral inactivation at high temperatures is damage to virion proteins, while at low temperatures it is damage to viral RNA. However, it was assumed that damage to both protein and RNA occurred at all temperatures (Dimmock, 1967). Other studies have documented temperature-related structural changes in the poliovirus capsids in soil, leading to inactivation of the virus through dissociation of the viral capsid and degradation of the viral

RNA {Yeager, 1979 #375;Yeager, 1979 #376}. As temperature increases, virus survival typically decreases {Yates, 1990 #551;Hurst, 1980 #552}.

A variety of enteric viruses have been examined for the effects of temperature on their survival in a range of media or environmental matrices. The effects of temperature on the persistence of both non-enveloped and enveloped viruses has been examined in liquid and dried animal wastes under a number of different conditions. Even enveloped viruses can be relatively persistent in animal manure. One of the more environmentally persistent animal viruses is Aujeszky's disease virus, also called pseudorabies virus, which is a herpesvirus with a wide animal host range. The survival of the enveloped Aujeszky's disease virus in pig slurry was investigated during anaerobic storage at 5, 20, 35, 40, 45, 50 and 55 °C using 100-ml laboratory models simulating the conditions in slurry tanks during winter and summer seasons and during anaerobic digestion in batch reactors. These environmental conditions are likely to be worst cases for virus survival, because they are intended to promote anaerobic treatment and degradation of the animal waste as part of a waste management system. As expected, virus inactivation rates increased with increasing temperature. Virus was inactivated at 5 and 20 °C in 15 weeks and 2 weeks, respectively. At 35 °C (mesophilic conditions) the virus was inactivated in 5 hours and at 55 °C (thermophilic conditions) no virus could be detected after 10 minutes. Although Aujeszky's disease virus was capable of persisting for considerable periods (days) in swine fecal wastes at mesophilic and lower temperatures, it was inactivated rapidly at a thermophilic temperature of 55°C (Botner, 1991). However, it is noteworthy that this enveloped virus and member of the Herpesviridae family was guite persistent in an infectious form for periods of hours to weeks at ambient environmental temperatures.

In another study, the persistence of a bovine enterovirus (BEV) (ECBO-virus strain LCR-4) and a field isolate of Aujeszky's disease virus was determined in liquid cattle manure stored at temperatures of 4 and 20°C for up to 26 weeks (Biermann *et al.*, 1990). On the day of inoculation each sample had a titer of 5 log₁₀ ID50/ml. Aujeszky's disease virus inactivation was >5 log₁₀ after 16 weeks at 20°C but only 3.25 log₁₀ after 26 weeks at 4°C. Enterovirus inactivation at 20°C was only 2 log₁₀ after 26 weeks. Therefore, as expected, the non-enveloped (BEV) virus was more persistent than the enveloped virus (Aujeszky's disease virus).

The survival of the bacterium *Salmonella anatum*, pseudorabies virus (PRV), and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) in swine slurry was studied at temperatures of 4, 25, and 37 °C) and at pH 4.0, 7.0, and 10.0 (Ajariyakhajorn *et al.*, 1997). These microbes survived longest at 4°C and pH 7, with *S. anatum* surviving longest at 56 days and pseudorabies and PRRS viruses inactivated by 8 and 14 days, respectively. These results suggest that some viruses are not more persistent than bacteria under certain environmental conditions in animal manures.

Most studies examining virus inactivation or persistence have done so under laboratory conditions, which raises concerns that they may not be representative of conditions in the field. Therefore, the persistence of five animal viruses, representing picorna-, rota-, parvo-, adeno-, and herpesviruses, and the coliphage f2 was determined in the field by exposing the viruses to different animal wastes using a filter sandwich technique (Pesaro *et al.*, 1995). This method attempts to model the natural state of virus adsorption onto or incorporation into suspended solids, which may prolong virus survival. The "filter sandwiches" consist of viruses adsorbed to nylon filters that are sandwiched between layers of polycarbonate (PC) filters. The outer PC filters of the sandwich are either porous (15 nm in diameter) to allow passage of solutes or poreless (solid) to allow no passage of solutes. Using both types of PC filters it was possible to differentiate between overall virus inactivation (from temperature and antiviral chemicals diffusing into the

sandwich) and the effect of veridical agents that act through temperature effects only (solid PC membranes). The virus-filter sandwich set-ups can be placed in manure storage or treatment systems in the field in order to study virus survival under the realistic conditions of actual field operations. Depending on ambient temperature, pH, and type of animal waste, time in days required for a 90% virus titer reduction varied widely, ranging from less than 1 week for herpesvirus to more than 6 months for rotavirus. Virus inactivation was faster in liquid cattle manure, a mixture of urine and water (pH > 8.0), than in semiliquid wastes that consisted of mixtures of faeces, urine, water, and bedding materials (pH < 8.0). It was concluded that unidentified virucidal agents that permeated poreless PC membranes contributed substantially to virus inactivation. Also, substances that protect rotavirus and possibly other viruses from inactivation also appear to be present in animal wastes. These apparently protective substances were not specifically identified in this study, but they are hypothesized to be similar to the previously identified detergents that thermally stabilized rotaviruses and other viruses in sludge (Ward and Ashley, 1978; 1980). Overall, the results of the study indicate that both enveloped and non-enveloped viruses contained in animal fecal waste (manure) may persist for prolonged periods of time if stored under nonaerated conditions. However, it was further concluded that at ambient temperatures (<20 °C), thermal effects were only indirectly related to viral inactivation and that other factors were more directly contributing to virus inactivation {Pesaro, 1995 #236}.

A study on the survival of several types of enteroviruses at various temperatures found that while differences were detected between different enteroviruses, all viruses persisted greater at lower temperatures (-20C and 1C) than at higher temperatures 22C {Hurst, 1988 #331}. Certain virus types within a single virus family, such as HAV (genus Hepatovirus within the Picornaviridae family), are more heat stable than other genera of this family and consequently they survive longer in the environment. However even relatively thermo-stable viruses, such as HAV, have shown greater reductions at temperatures of 30° C than at 20° C or 10° C {Nasser, 1993 #329}. Nasser et al. (1993) showed that poliovirus 1 and HAV are more rapidly inactivated in a variety of aqueous samples (groundwater, PBS, wastewater, and sterilized wastewater) at 30°C as compared to 10°C or 20°C {Nasser, 1993 #329}. A similar temperature effect on inactivation was found for poliovirus, HAV, and rotavirus incubated at 25C and 4C in seawater {Chung, 1993 #333}. The persistence of Coxsackie A9 in stream water, brackish water, and sea water has also been demonstrated to be dependent on temperature {Nasser, 2003 #224}. Additionally, poliovirus and hepatitis A virus have been shown to be more persistent at 4°C than at room temperature in mineral water. Both viruses were very persistent at 4°C with minimal reductions after 1 year {Biziagos, 1988 #330}. Rotavirus has been shown to be most stable at 4°C, less stable at 20°C, and least stable at 37°C {Moe, 1982 #277}. Foot and Mouth Disease Virus (FMDV), a picornavirus, can persist for >185 days in frozen, snow-covered soil and infect exposed cattle (Bartley et al., 2002)

Temperatures above 55°C have been demonstrated to rapidly inactivate poliovirus (\leq 30 minutes) in both growth media and sewage {Clarke, 1961 #392}. At lower temperatures, virus survival in a variety of environmental media is much longer. For example, poliovirus survived for up to 66 days at 4°C in digested sludge {Wellings, 1976 #377}. In septage, poliovirus inactivation also has been shown to be dependent on temperature, with inactivation at 55°C being more rapid (>5 log₁₀ within 15 minutes) than inactivation at 50°C (<2 log₁₀ in 90 minutes) {Stramer, 1984 #266}.

Canine coronavirus survives well frozen at -20° C, but does not survive well above 4 °C{Tennant, 1994 #238}. Presence of fecal material greatly reduced survival between +20C to -70C. Mouse hepatitis virus 2 (MHV2) was not completely inactivated during heating to 56 °C for 30 minutes {Hirano, 1978 #573}. MHV2 also survived heating to 50 °C for 15 minutes, and 37 °C

for 60 minutes in the presence of 1M magnesium (as $MGCl_2$ or $MgSO_4$) at pH 3.0 to 9.0. Reductions of MHV2 were 2 log₁₀ after 30 days at 4 °C and 2.6 log₁₀ after 24 hours at 37 °C.

Temperature has also been shown to be an important factor in the survival of non-enteric viruses, like human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV). Results are somewhat inconsistent in the magnitude of HIV persistence in environmental media as a function of temperature, but all studies show increased inactivation at higher temperatures. Studies examining the survival of HIV in phosphate buffer solution containing 2% fetal bovine serum indicate that HIV is stable (<0.5 log₁₀ after 6 months) at temperatures of -20°C or below {Tjotta, 1991 #369}. Additionally, HIV was show to have half-lives of 9 days, 30 hours, and 24 hours at temperatures of 4°C, 20°C, and 37°C, respectively {Spire, 1985 #412;Barre-Sinoussi, 1985 #413;Tjotta, 1991 #369}. Some previous studies reported that 30 minutes treatment at temperatures above 56°C was sufficient to fully inactivate HIV {Harada, 1985 #415;Einarsson, 1989 #414;Spire, 1985 #412}. In contrast, Tjotta et al. found an appreciable fraction of HIV survival after 30 minutes at 56-64°C {Tjotta, 1991 #369}. Other studies have also determined longer exposure or higher temperatures are necessary to inactivate HIV {Yoshikura, 1989 #418;Hilfenhaus, 1987 #416;Prince, 1986 #417}.

Other viruses have also been shown to be inactivated by exposure to elevated temperatures. Ebola virus has been demonstrated to be thermally inactivated by treatment for 1 hour at 58-60°C, and by treatment at 75°C for 30 minutes {Bray, 1999 #482;Chepurnov, 1995 #481}. Hepatitis B virus can be inactivated by treatment at 98°C for 1 minute {Bond, 1981 #341}. However, prolonged treatment (10 hours) at 60°C will not achieve complete inactivation {Shikata, 1978 #473}.

Lower temperatures generally enhance virus survival and most viruses can be stored frozen to maintain their infectivity for long periods of time {Chepurnov, 1995 #481;Block, 1978 #567}. However, freezing temperatures may cause structural damage and thus decrease survival {Hurst, 1980 #552;Gerba, 1984 #554}. Freezing and thawing was found to reduce HIV titers, by 0.2 to 0.3 log₁₀ {Tjotta, 1991 #369}. Enteroviruses also survive long term storage when frozen to ultracold conditions. However, it has been shown that up to 40% of poliovirus may be inactivated by freezing to -26°C and then thawing to room temperature {Block, 1978 #567}. The reported differences in the effects of freezing and thawing on the virus type (e.g., poliovirus) may be due to type or strain differences of the virus, the temperatures to which they are frozen, the rate of freezing and thawing and the medium in which they are frozen.

In summary, virus persistence or survival in stored or conveyed liquid and semi-solid human and animal wastes can lead to environmental contamination if the wastes are not contained and properly treated and disposed of. Viruses in human and animal fecal wastes and fecally contaminated water generally are inactivated more rapidly at higher temperatures. At moderate and low temperatures (and intermediate pH levels of 5-9), viruses can persist for considerable periods of time that may range from hours to days to weeks to even months in the case of the most persistent viruses. The rate of inactivation and the extent of survival varies, depending on virus type, temperature, suspending medium and other environmental conditions.

pH & Salt Content: Both direct and indirect effects on virus survival may be caused by pH. Directly, pH may effect the conformation of the capsid proteins {Fujioka, 1975 #497;Mandel, 1971 #496}. Indirectly, pH will influence virus adsorption and elution from other particles {Bitton, 1980 #498}. Poliovirus is stable at a wide range of pH (3.8 to 8.5) for weeks {Pollard, 1949 #465}. Studies indicate that the reduction of Rotavirus (strain Wa) in water is a function of the pH of the water {Pancorbo, 1987 #398}. The pH of tissue culture medium was determined to

be an important factor in survival of HIV. At 4°C the half life of HIV at pH 7.1 was determined to be 9 days, while at pH 7.35 the half-life was determined to be 15 hours {Tjotta, 1991 #369}. The effect of pH on the inactivation of herpes simplex virus in tissue culture medium appears to be influenced by temperature {Lancz, 1979 #471}. At ultracold temperatures, no effect of pH was shown. However, increasing pH from 6.3 to 7.8 at 6° C had a negative effect on herpes virus survival. The magnitude of the anti-viral pH effect increased with increasing temperature.

Ionic strength or salinity has variable effects on virus survival. Studies have reported enhanced virus inactivation in saline solution compared to distilled water {Dimmock, 1967 #325; Salo, 1976 #503}. Other studies have reported a protective effect from increased ionic strength {Cords, 1977 #501;Rao, 1984 #543}. Divalent cations may have a stabilizing effect on non-enveloped as well as enveloped viruses {Yana Moto, 1962 #500;Wallis, 1962 #499}. In buffered solution, the presence of 2M MgCl₂ has been demonstrated to have a protective effect for poliovirus against thermal inactivation at temperatures above 40°C. The effect was not as pronounced for rhinovirus {Dimmock, 1967 #325;Wallis, 1961 #405;Melnick, 1963 #404;Majer, 1965 #403}. Still other studies report no significant effect of salinity on survival of certain viruses {Lo, 1976 #502;Dinchere, 1992 #542}. Differences in the effect of salinity on survival have also been reported between virus types {Lo, 1976 #502}. However, minor differences in salinity of seawater from different geographical regions have been found to correlate poorly with differences in virus survival {Fujioka, 1980 #285}. Perhaps other differences in seawater composition besides salinity (such as enzymes and microbial communities) have greater effects on virus inactivation.

The survival of herpesvirus of turkeys (HVT; the Marek's disease vaccine virus) in commercial diluents has been examined for the effects of medium composition, osmolality, temperature and rate of temperature change {Colwell, 1975 #430}. Osmolality of vaccine diluents were shown to become important in virus survival above the normal range of 288 to 372 mOsm/kg, and appeared to be more important than pH. Cell-associated Marek's disease (MD) vaccine was suspended at dilutions normally used for vaccination in seven commercially available diluents and in tryptose phosphate broth. The stability of diluted vaccines was determined by assay in cell cultures subjected to 0 to 37° C for 0 to 90 minutes. Optimum holding temperatures for MD vaccine virus survival varied with the specific diluents employed. Some diluents afforded greatest survival when dilution was at 0° C and held at 0° C, while others performed best when dilution was at 25° C followed by cooling and holding at 0° C. Diluents which allowed greatest survival when tested at 37° C also performed well under other temperature regimes. Additives to the suspending medium that increased the osmolality to 745 mOsm/kg and higher markedly reduced vaccine virus survival. The adverse effects of high osmotic pressure were accentuated by extended holding time, elevated incubation temperature, and physical manipulations, including mechanical mixing or expressing through a syringe and needle.

The pH and salt content of water and other environmental media also can indirectly influence virus survival by influencing the extent of virus adsorption to other particles and to other surfaces. At pH levels typically found in natural waters, viral adsorption is thought to be governed by phenomena involving the diffuse double layer that comprises the charged surface of the virion. Being charged and at least somewhat hydrophilic, viruses have a surface potential or electrical charge as do other colloidal particles in aqueous media. The cation concentration and pH of the medium both affect virus adsorption by determining the thickness of the diffuse layer, thus promoting or inhibiting van der Waals attraction forces {Gerba, 1984 #556}. The adsorption of viruses generally increases as pH decreases and as cation concentrations, especially the concentrations of multivalent cations, increase {Gerba, 1984 #556}. Salt concentrations have been demonstrated to play a factor in the adsorption of poliovirus type1 and echovirus type 7 to

solid waste components {Sobsey, 1975 #297}. Viruses were shown to adsorb efficiently in the presence of high concentrations of dissolved salts, while in the absence of dissolved salts efficient viral adsorption was not observed.

Microbial Antagonism: The effects of natural biological activity on the survival of viruses is well documented in surface waters, fecal wastes and soils. Viruses consistently demonstrate less persistence in natural waters and other environmental media and matrices compared to the same media that have been sterilized or pasteurized. Studies generally have shown that viruses are more persistent in autoclaved or filter-sterilized waters, than in their unsterilized counterparts {Magnusson, 1967 #514;Lycke, 1965 #521;Matossian, 1967 #515;Shuval, 1971 #517;Hermann, 1974 #519;O'Brien, 1977 #520}. Bacteria and other microbial predators (ciliated grazers, amoebae, rotifers, fungi, and aerobic bacteria) play a role in viral inactivation, either through production of metabolites that adversely affect the virus particles, or by direct use of the virion as a nutrient source. Several organisms, including members of the genera Vibrio, Flavobacterium, and *Klebsiella*, have been isolated from natural waters that demonstrate antiviral properties {Katayoshi, 1983 #548;Girones, 1989 #544;Katznelson, 1978 #518;Kelly, 1961 #507;Mitchell, 1971 #508;Gunderson, 1967 #506}. However, a few studies have found no difference between the inactivation rates in sterilized and unsterilized seawater {Leogrande, 1969 #505;Metcalf, 1967 #516}. In those studies, other factors may have outweighed the antiviral effect of the biological activity of the water, or perhaps the enzymatic activity of the water was not effected by autoclaving. Enzymatic activity of natural waters, in particular proteolytic enzymes, have also been implicated in the inactivation of viruses {Sobsey, 1973 #509;Ehresmann, 1977 #510;Cliver, 1972 #511;Hermann, 1973 #512;Grabow, 1975 #513}.

The effect of microbial antagonism on virus survival may also be observed in wastewater and other environmental media, such as soil. In studies on the inactivation of hepatitis A virus in mixed septic tank effluent and animal waste, HAV was shown to be inactivated much more rapidly in raw mixed waste than in autoclaved wastes, suggesting that microbial activity is a factor in viral inactivation {Deng, 1995 #237}. Similarly, HAV was more readily inactivated in mixed wastes that had been filtered to remove bacteria than in autoclaved wastes, suggesting that microbial metabolites or other thermo-labile substances may play a role in viral inactivation {Deng, 1995 #237}. In marine and estuarine waters, studies have demonstrated antiviral activity against enteroviruses (poliovirus type 1, coxsackievirus B4, and echovirus 7) associated with the natural microbiological community {Fujioka, 1980 #285}. Microbial activity in water has also been shown to negatively affect the persistence of rotaviruses in raw and treated fresh waters {Raphael, 1985 #373}. Another study demonstrated that survival of poliovirus in sandy loam was negatively affected by aerobic soil organisms {Hurst, 1988 #331}.

The survival of Poliovirus and Reovirus was studied in sterile and non-sterile soil suspensions {Sobsey, 1980 #283}. Both viruses survived better in sterile suspensions than in their corresponding non-sterile counterparts. Poliovirus survival in sterile soil suspensions ranged from 18 to 167 days, with a mean of 95 days, while in non-sterile soil suspensions poliovirus survival was 9 to 80 days with a mean of 42 days. Reovirus survived 8 to 257 days in sterile soil suspensions (mean of 123 days), while in non-sterile soil suspensions survival was 9 to 110 days (mean of 60 days). The survival of tobacco mosaic virus has also been shown to be affected by the presence of aerobic microorganisms in the soil {Cheo, 1980 #534}. Katayoshi *et al.*, (1983) have isolated a phototropic bacterium (*Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*) capable of producing a substance with a virucidal effect on coliphages and polioviruses.

The degree to which microbial antagonism is a factor in virus inactivation in groundwater is still unclear. Some studies suggest that a microbially active groundwater environment consistently

decreases virus survival, while others suggest no clear trend {Sobsey, 1980 #283;Yates, 1990 #551}. However, it is clear that soil bacteria produce proteolytic and other enzymes that appear to decrease virus survival {Yates, 1990 #551}. Other studies also have shown a greater viral persistence in sterile samples than non-sterilized samples, indicating a role of antagonistic microflora in the survival of viruses in water {LaBelle, 1980 #332}. Viruses differ in their susceptibility to inactivation by these enzymes, however, and this makes it difficult to compare the results of groundwater studies in which different viruses were used.

Enzymatic degradation and other chemical effects: The role of enzymes from microbes and possibly other sources in water, wastes, soils and other media on virus inactivation has been studied for several decades. Many bacteria produce proteolytic enzymes inactivating enteric viruses, including certain bacterial species that are prevalent members of the mesophilic flora of water, for example B. subtilis (Cliver and Herrmann, 1972). Laboratory experiments have demonstrated that cell-free filtrates of bacterial cultures have an antiviral activity and that such an activity is inhibited by protease inhibitors. Their results suggested that enzymes present in the cell-free filtrates are responsible for viral inactivation (Cliver and Herrmann, 1972; Deng and Cliver, 1992; 1995). It also appears that viruses may serve as a nutrient source for bacteria, as indicated by the recovery in bacterial cells of radioactively labeled viral capsid proteins (98). A comprehensive study was carried out to determine the properties of agents responsible for loss of virus infectivity in mixed-liquor suspended solids (MLSS) of activated sludge. Initial experiments revealed that model enteric viruses (poliovirus-1 and rotavirus SA-11) were irreversibly inactivated in MLSS and released their RNA genomes. Enteric viruses belonging to other genera (echovirus-12, coxsackievirus A13, reovirus-3) were also shown to lose infectivity in MLSS. Although the virucidal activity decreased at reduced temperatures, MLSS still retained significant activity at 4° C. The virucidal agents in MLSS were stable for months at 4° C, but their activity decreased approximately 50% during four days of aeration at 26° C. Primary effluent, the nutrient source for activated sludge, also contained virucidal activity. It was concluded that microorganisms are responsible for this antiviral activity because treatments that resulted in the inactivation or removal of microorganisms also caused a loss of virucidal activity. It was further suggested that the virucidal components of microorganisms are either short-lived or active only while bound to the organisms themselves. In a study on the survival of five animal viruses in animal waste, it was suggested that at ambient environmental temperatures, volatile and nonvolatile microbial metabolites, high ambient pH, and other factors are important contributing factors to the inactivation of viruses (Pesaro et al., 1995). Therefore, it appears that chemically mediated microbial antagonism decreases virus survival due to the action of enzymes, the release of metabolic products and the use of viruses and their components as a nutrient source {Cliver, 1972 #419;Lipson, 1985 #420}.

Adsorption and its influence on virus persistence and survival. Several types of forces may play a part in viral adsorption. These may include ionic attractions, covalent reactions with certain chemicals, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions, double layer interactions, and van der Waals attractions. The current theory of virus adsorption suggests that the most important of these forces are the double layer interactions and van der Waals attractions {Gerba, 1984 #556}.

The diffuse double layer theorized independently by Gouy and Chapman, and later by Debye and Huckel in the early 1900s is based on the observation that individual colloidal particles may carry a charge, although the colloidal system (particles and suspending medium) remains neutral. The theory was refined by Verwey and Overbeek to describe the interactions between two particles. This theory was demonstrated to apply to poliovirus by Murray and Parks in 1978 {Murray, 1980 #557}. The theory essentially states that as a particle develops a surface charge, a discrete layer of counter ions forms compactly around the particle. This layer is referred to as the Stern Layer.

The ions in this layer only partially counter balance the surface charge on the particle. There is a second more diffuse layer of counter ions that also forms around that particle which also helps to neutralize the surface charge. This layer is called the Gouy Layer. The thickness of the Gouy Layer determines how close individual particles may come to each other. Under conditions where the Gouy Layer is sufficiently compact, two particles (or a particle and a surface) may approach each other close enough for their van der Waals forces to hold them together. Van der Waals Forces are electrical attractions between the instantaneous dipole moments of two molecules {Stumm, 1992 #558}.

Hydrophobic interactions may also play an important part in virus adsorption {Bales, 1991 #559}. Viral surfaces may have both hydrophilic and hydrophobic domains. The hydrophobicity of a viral particle depends on how these domains are balanced and oriented on the surface, which for non-enveloped viruses is directly related to the amino acid composition of the capsid proteins. Consequently, some viral particles are more hydrophobic than others. For solubilization of a hydrophobic substance in water to occur a thermodynamic barrier must be overcome. The hydrogen bonding network within the water must be reorganized to maximize the hydrogen bonding in water around the substance. Consequently, hydrophobic substances are forced together, not by a mutual attraction but because it is thermodynamically unfavorable for them to be solubilized in water. The presence of chaotropic agents will allow hydrophobic compounds to be solubilized in water. Chaotropes are small compounds that disrupt the thermodynamic barrier by decreasing the ordered structure of water. Anti-chaotropes, on the other hand, increase the ordered structure of hydrogen bonding in water, thus increasing the barrier preventing hydrophobic compound solubilization {Gerba, 1984 #556}.

Chemical binding by covalent or ionic attractions is another possible attachment mechanism. Chemical binding is often associated with virus inactivation. Chemical binding of viruses may cause conformational changes in the virus capsid that render the virus inactive or perhaps open the capsid releasing the more easily degradable nucleic acid. {Yeager, 1979 #560}. Adsorption of microbes is generally a weak attachment and microbes have been demonstrated to be eluted or desorbed by low ionic strength rainwater {Reneau, 1989 #561}. Several factors may affect the adsorption of viruses to other particles or surfaces. The pH of the system probably is the most important factor influencing virus adsorption. Ionic strength and cation content also influence virus adsorption. The isoelectric points of the viruses and the other particles or surfaces, and the strength of the charge on the virus and these other surfaces (zeta potential) are also factors affecting virus adsorption.

Viruses adsorb rapidly and efficiently to particulate matter. In wastewater, particle association of viruses ranges from 16 to 100 percent, depending on virus type and the type and level of treatment {Bitton, 1984 #267;Pancorbo, 1981 #399;Wellings, 1976 #377}. One gram of activated sludge particles may adsorb greater than 10⁸ poliovirus particles {Nakajima, 2003 #401}.

Adsorption: Adsorption status has been shown to greatly affect virus survival. Generally, a virus that is adsorbed has a better chance of survival than one that is free {Gerba, 1975 #555}. Adsorption of a viral particle may protect it against disruption by steric effects {Liew, 1980 #495}. Adsorption to activated sludge particles has been shown to increase the persistence of poliovirus infectivity over a period of 28 days, and also provide a protective effect against elevated temperatures {Nakajima, 2003 #401}. In small batch virus survival studies, Reovirus was found to survive longer in solutions containing soil than in soil-free samples {Sobsey, 1980 #283}. Adsorption to marine sediments has been shown to increase the persistence of poliovirus and echovirus (from 1 hour to >4 days and 1.4 days to 6 days, for 99% inactivation respectively),

although differences were observed between locations {LaBelle, 1980 #332}. Reovirus 3 and bacteriophages T1 and T7 were demonstrated to persist longer when adsorbed to clay materials {Stotzky, 1980 #533}. For poliovirus, HAV, rotavirus, and bacterial viruses suspended in marine water samples with and without sediment, all viruses, except rotavirus, were more rapidly inactivated in samples with sediment than without {Chung, 1993 #333}.

Aggregation or clumping of viruses has been shown to affect virus inactivation kinetics by chemical disinfection. In water, virions on the inside of poliovirus aggregates have been show to be protected from disinfection by bromine {Young, 1977 #294}.

However, a protective affect is not always derived from adsorption. In the case of viral adsorption to certain metallic oxides, the sorption may be so great that the virus is effectively inactivated {Gerba, 1984 #556}.

Organic Matter Content: The presence of organic matter has been shown to improve the persistence of viruses. Suspension of sandy soils in septic liquor led to greater virus survival than suspension in groundwater or riverwater {Yeager, 1979 #376}. In a recent study, the adsorption of poliovirus to activated sludge particles was shown to be protective. After a one hour incubation at 55°C, 60 percent of particle associated poliovirus was infectious, whereas only 0.6 percent of unattached viruses were infectious {Nakajima, 2003 #401}. Hepatitis A virus has been demonstrated to be more persistent in mineral water in the presence of protein {Biziagos, 1988 #330}. The association of poliovirus with organic solids in fecal suspensions during chlorination has been shown to increase viral survival. The most significant protective effect of organic material is by increasing the chlorine demand of the solution. However, lesser protection is also afforded by occluding the virus from the disinfectant {Hejkal, 1979 #289}. Hepatitis A virus inactivation by free chlorine was much greater for dispersed viruses than for viruses that were cell-associated (Sobsey *et al.*, 1991). Greater survival of cell-associated HAV was attributed to protection of the viruses within the cell by cellular organic matter and cellular structures such as membranes.

Antiviral chemicals: The effect of natural and synthetic detergents on bacteriophages survival has recently been investigated {Chattopadhyay, 2002 #226}. In these studies, a non-ionic detergent (Triton X-100) had a minimal negative effect on the survival of either bacteriophages T2 or Φ X-174 except at very high concentrations. Both anionic and cationic detergents had greater negative impacts on the survival of the viruses. Natural organic matter and a biosurfactant were also demonstrated to negatively impact the survival of the bacteriophages. Anionic detergents and ammonia have been demonstrated to have rapid virucidal activity at specific pH conditions. Below pH 6 and above pH 8, sodium dodecyl sulfate, an anionic detergent, may have potent virucidal activity against poliovirus type 1 {Ward, 1979 #393}. Ammonia (NH₃) has virucidal action, while Ammonium (NH₄⁺) is not a virucide against enteroviruses {Ward, 1977 #394}. The effects of chemical germicides on virus survival has been extensively studied, but this topic will not be reviewed extensively here because it has been comprehensively reviewed elsewhere (Prince and Prince, 2001; Sattar and Springthorpe, 2001; Soule *et al.*, 1998).

Light: Light has both direct and indirect mechanisms of virucidal activity. The direct activity is likely due to radiation at wavelengths below 370 nm (ultraviolet radiation) being absorbed by proteins and nucleic acids. These effects include breaking of chemical bonds and covalent bond formation, such as pyrimidine dimer formation between adjacent pyrimidines in nucleic acids, nucleic acid strand breaks and changes in virion conformation {Attree-Pietri, 1970 #488;Bitton, 1979 #487;Hill, 1970 #486}. The most active UV wavelengths against viruses and other microbes are in lower ranges of UV B (280-320 nm and UVC (185-280 nm) because wavelengths

in the range to 200 to 280 nm are highly absorbed by nucleic acids. UVA (320-400 nm) is the most abundant UV in sunlight, but it less virucidal than the lower wavelengths. The extent to which UV radiation in sunlight inactivates viruses in water and other aqueous media has not been extensively studied for enteric viruses. However, UV radiation in sunlight is thought to contribute substantially to the inactivation of marine viruses in seawater (Wilhelm *et al.*, 2002).

Indirectly, light may trigger the formation of reactive oxidants, or activate photoreactive chemicals {Zafiriou, 1977 #489;Wallis, 1979 #490;Mohr, 1997 #538;Teschner, 1978 #539;Mueller-Breitkreutz, 1995 #540;Jockusch, 1996 #541}. In addition, light may stimulate the growth of antagonistic microflora and fauna {Fukada, 1968 #492;Malherbe, 1967 #491}. Some strains of algae, including *microcytis* and *anabaena*, appear to protect viruses when exposed to light {Bitton, 1979 #487}. Particles such as clay particles can offer some protection against photoinactivation of viruses {Bitton, 1979 #487}.

Factors Affecting Survival in Aerosols

Introduction: Many of the same factors that influence virus survival in water, wastes and other aqueous environmental media also influence virus survival in aerosols. Virus type, virus physical state (dispersed, aggregated, or solids-associated), temperature, particles and suspended matter, organic matter, salts, pH, specific antiviral chemicals, and UV radiation in sunlight all influence virus survival in the aerosol state. In addition to these factors, other factors also become important in influencing virus survival in aerosols.

Relative Humidity and Moisture content: The effect of relative humidity on the survival of viruses in the environment varies with virus type. Generally, viruses with higher lipid content tend to be more persistent at lower relative humidity, while viruses with lesser or no lipid content are more stable at higher relative humidities {Assar, 2000 #323}. Early studies indicated that with a few exceptions, orthomyxoviruses and poxviruses seemed generally sensitive to high humidity, whereas lower humidities favored persistence {Songer, 1967 #318;Webb, 1963 #321;Loosli, 1943 #320;Harper, 1961 #322}. One study indicated that exposure to humidity levels of 80-90% for as short as 30 minutes could render the influenza virus noninfectious to mice, while exposure to lower humidity levels (17-24%) provided the greatest maintenance of infectivity {Loosli, 1943 #320}. Studies have indicated that persistence of non-enveloped viruses like poliovirus and rotavirus is greater at mid to high relative humidities (50-80%) than at low humidities {Harper, 1961 #322;Ijaz, 1985 #265}. However, other studies have concluded that viruses are least persistent at intermediate humidity and more persistent at the extremes. In other studies the survival of some viruses have been shown to be independent of relative humidity {Elazhary, 1979 #288}. Stability of T3, T5, and T7 coliphages has been shown to be related to relative humidity, whereas stability of T2 and T4 coliphages was shown not to be dependent on relative humidity {Hemmes, 1959 #408;Ehrlich, 1964 #406;Happ, 1966 #407;Webb, 1963 #321}.

Picornaviruses and adenoviruses, both of which are non-enveloped virus groups, survive better at high relative humidity {Harper, 1961 #322;Miller, 1967 #426}. In studies examining the survival of rhinovirus types 2 and 14 suspended in buffer in scintillation vials and incubated for 24 hours under wet and drying conditions at three temperatures (6, 23, 37°C), viral inactivation correlated to evaporation of the suspending media {Reagan, 1981 #363}. Coxsackieviruses that were allowed to dry during incubation were rapidly inactivated {McGeady, 1979 #364}. The influence of relative humidity on the survival of a picornaviruses in the encephalomyocarditis group in aerosols has been examined at 27°C {Akers, 1968 #315;de Jong, 1970 #459;de Jong, 1974 #452}. Encephalomycarditis viruses survived best under relative humidity conditions above 60%. Survival was the worst between 40 and 60% relative humidity {Akers, 1968 #315}.

influence of relative humidity on survival has also been shown for other strains within the encephalomyocarditis group of picornaviruses (Columbia-SK, Maus Eberfield, and another strain of mengovirus) {Akers, 1966 #319}. Survival of infectious picornaviral RNA was found to be stable at all humidity levels, suggesting that inactivation was attributable to damage of the intact virion {Akers, 1968 #315}.

Consistent with previous studies, Abad et al. found that non-enveloped viruses, including HAV, generally survive longer under conditions of high humidity and low temperature {Sobsey, 1988 #476;Sattar, 1988 #478;Buckland, 1962 #470;Abad, 1994 #239}. On the other hand, Mbithi *et al.* reported that the influence of relative humidity on HAV survival is different from that of other non-enveloped viruses {Mbithi, 1991 #249}. Their results indicated that HAV was most persistent under low relative humidity conditions at least on non-porous material. However, the period of virus exposure to relative humidity conditions in the study of Abad et al. (1994) was of greater duration than that of Mbithi et al. (1991).

Abad et al. also found that rotavirus persisted to a greater extent on porous material at high relative humidity compared to lower relative humidities {Abad, 1994 #239}. In contrast, previous studies have found rotavirus to persist the greatest extent either both at high and low relative humidity, or at low relative humidity {Sattar, 1986 #260;Moe, 1982 #277}. These reported differences in rotavirus persistence can not be readily explained. Perhaps they are due to differences in the methodologies between these studies. For example, Sattar et al. suspended their virus in infant stool and Abad et al. use a healthy adult stool.

Measles and influenza, both enveloped viruses, survive best in aerosols at low relative humidity {de Jong, 1964 #424;Hemmes, 1960 #423}. Similarly, Japanese encephalitis virus has been demonstrated to be most stable in stabilized aerosols at low relative humidity, with half lives of 28, 38, and 62 minutes at 80, 55, and 30%, respectively {Larson, 1980 #281}. Some enveloped viruses have been demonstrated to be least persistent at intermediate relative humidity. Semliki Forest virus in aerosols has been demonstrated to be most vulnerable to inactivation at intermediate RH {de Jong, 1976 #462}. SFV has also been shown to be sensitive to small pressure fluctuations {Druett, 1973 #463}.

Vesicular exanthema virus and feline calicivirus, both non-enveloped and members of the Caliciviridae family, are most stable in aerosols under low (20-30%) or high (70-80%) relative humidity, and least stable at intermediate relative humidity (40-60%) {Donaldson, 1976 #439}. In contrast, at 22°C, the best survival of psuedorabies virus, an enveloped virus also called Aujesky's disease virus and a member of the Herpesviridae family, was shown to occur at intermediate (50%) relative humidity (half life of 36.1 minutes) {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Survival times of this virus were lower and similar at high and low humidity, with half lives of 17.4 and 18.8 minutes, respectively. At 4°C, the pattern of survival was similar with pseudorabies viruses persisting better at 50% humidity than at 80%.

In a study on the aerosol stability of bovine parainfluenza virus type 3, no significant difference was observed in virus survival during stabilization of the aerosols (during spraying; zero to seven minutes) when there were changes in suspending media, temperature, or relative humidity {Elazhary, 1979 #288}. This appears to be in agreement with another study on parainfluenza performed at room temperature, but is somewhat inconsistent with the results reported by Donaldson and Ferris, where bovine strains of parainfluenza virus were sensitive to high humidity during stabilization of the aerosols {Donaldson, 1976 #439;Miller, 1967 #426}. During the aging of the parainfluenzavirus aerosols (from 1 to 3 hours), virus survival was shown to be greatest under low temperature conditions regardless of relative humidity and suspending media. During

aging of aerosols at 32° C and 30% relative humidity, parainfluenza virus was less stable in Eagle's minimum essential medium than in nasal secretion from a noninfected calf, but at 6 °C and 30% relative humidity, the virus was more stable in Eagle's minimum essential medium. At 32° C, inactivation of bovine parainfluenza virus was greater at high relative humidity (90%) compared to low relative humidity (30%) {Elazhary, 1979 #288}. A similar relative humidity-dependent inactivation was observed at room temperature {Miller, 1967 #426}.

Other studies also report that the effects of relative humidity on virus survival can be influenced either positively or negatively by temperature. For example, simian virus 40 has been demonstrated to display increased inactivation at 50-60% relative humidity only at high temperature (32° C) {Akers, 1973 #446}. At 20°C human coronavirus was found to be most stable at intermediate humidity, but was also relatively stable at low humidity {Ijaz, 1985 #261}. At 6° C the inactivation pattern at low and medium humidity was similar to that observed at 20° C, but survival was enhanced. In contrast to the poor survival of human coronavirus at 20° C and high (80%) humidity, virus survival at 6° C and 80% humidity was very similar to the best survival at intermediate humidity {Ijaz, 1985 #261}.

Temperature: Generally virus survival varies inversely with temperature. However the degree to which virus survival in aerosols is affected by temperature depends on the virus type and relative humidity. Early studies found Yellow Fever virus (YFV) survival was not significantly affected by temperature, from freezing to 27° C {Mayhew, 1968 #324}. Similarly, Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis (VEE) virus has been reported to be stable in air from -40° C to 26° C {Ehrlich, 1971 #326}. Both YFV and VEE are enveloped viruses and their patterns of survival at different temperatures in may not be the same for non-enveloped viruses, especially when the viruses are on surfaces. In studies examining the survival of Coxsackie B3, a non-enveloped enterovirus in the Picornaviridae family, suspended in Tris buffer and applied to various surfaces (paper, plastic, and glass), the virus was found to be stable at 6° C and room temperature (23° C), while at 37° C rapid inactivation was observed {McGeady, 1979 #364}. Lower air temperature was also found to enhance the survival of aerosolized human rotavirus at low and medium relative humidity, but not at high relative humidity {Ijaz, 1985 #263}. Colder temperatures have also been shown to enhance rhinovirus survival at high relative humidities {Karim, 1985 #262}.

Temperature also has been shown to be a factor in the aerosol survival of herpesviruses. Psuedorabies virus in aerosolized form survives better at 4° C than at 22°, although not significantly {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Herpesvirus of turkeys (HVT) survived better at 0° C than at either 25° or 37° C. HVT was reduced by 0.3 to >2.0 log₁₀ at 37° C after only 90 minutes {Colwell, 1975 #430}.

Composition of Suspending Media: The stability of virus infectivity in aerosols at different relative humidities is affected by solutes in the suspending media used for aerosolization. That is, certain solutes in the medium from which virus aerosols are generated influence the survival of aerosolized viruses. Benbough found that the removal or reduction of salts from the solution used to aerosolize Langat virus (Flaviviridae family) was protective of virus infectivity at moderate relative humidity {Benbough, 1971 #306}. In contrast, Semliki Forest virus (Togaviridae family) was affected by salts in the solution used for aerosolization only at high relative humidity. However in further studies, the removal of salts from the suspending media resulted in improved survival of Semliki Forest virus in aerosols under all humidity conditions tested {Benbough, 1969 #309}.

Poliovirus and T coliphages (T1, T2, and T7) were found to survive best at high relative humidity and their survival at low relative humidity was found to decrease further when solutes were

removed from the virus suspension from which aerosols were generated {Benbough, 1971 #306; Strange, 1972 #302}.

However, salts may be toxic to certain viruses in aerosols and frozen or freeze-dried stocks by modifying the rehydration rate {Benbough, 1971 #306}. Other potential mechanisms for salt toxicity on frozen viruses have also been described, and include the ability of strong salt solutions to dissolve lipoprotein {Lovelock, 1957 #428;Greiff, 1966 #427}.

The effects of the composition of the suspending medium from which viruses are aerosolized are difficult to predict and characterize because the effects vary depending on relative humidity and a number of the constituents within the suspending medium. For example, Influenza A virus, strain WSNH, propagated in bovine, human and chick embryo cell cultures and aerosolized from the cell culture medium, was maximally stable at low relative humidity (RH), minimally stable at mid-range RH, and moderately stable at high RH. Most lots of WSNH virus propagated in embryonated eggs and aerosolized from the allantoic fluid were also least stable at mid-range RH. but two preparations after multiple serial passage in eggs showed equal stability at mid-range and higher RH levels. Airborne stability varied from preparation to preparation of virus propagated both in cell culture and embryonated eggs. There was no apparent correlation between airborne stability and protein content of spray fluid above 0.1 mg/ml, but one preparation of lesser protein concentration was extremely unstable at 50-80% RH. Polyhydroxy compounds exerted a protective effect on airborne stability. Removal of calcium and magnesium from preparations of influenza was shown to have variable effects on virus survival, with greater virus survival at intermediate humidity being documented in some cases, and no effect seen in other cases. Replacement of the divalent cations had no effect on virus survival {Schaffer, 1976 #296}. Adjustment of the pH in allantoic influenza preparations had no appreciable effect on the survival of influenza at intermediate humidity {Schaffer, 1976 #296}.

T3 coliphage is rapidly inactivated in an aerated solution of 2.6M NaCl. Inactivation does not occur with out aeration suggesting that the air water interface is important for inactivation. Addition of 1% peptone provided a protective effect against inactivation by aeration. Similar protection was afforded to aerosolized T3 by peptone {Trouwborst, 1974 #299}. These findings are consistent with previous studies suggesting that certain viruses, both enveloped, hydrophobic viruses as well as non-enveloped, hydrophilic viruses, have a tendency to migrate to the surface of the air-water interface in liquid solutions or in aqueous aerosol particles. At the air-water interface, these viruses are inactivated by shear forces and other forces that alter virus structures. Indeed, it is well documented that viruses become inactivated and proteins lose activity upon exposure to air-water interfaces (AWIs) (Adams, 1948; James and Augenstein, 1966; Trouwborst *et al.*, 1972; Trouwborst, and de Jong, 1973; Trouwborst *et al.*, 1974). These phenomena will be discussed in more detail in a later section of this report.

The stability of viruses in the environment relates is also related to the partition of bound and unbound water, as well as the other constituents of the aerosol particle containing the virus, and the surrounding atmosphere {Benbough, 1971 #306}. Consequently the survival of the viruses may depend on the water activity of the aerosol solution. The water activity of the aerosol particle is also related to its composition.

In a study examining the effect of suspending media on survival of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus, IBR virus was shown to survive spraying of the aerosol best at 32° C than at low temperature 6° C in both Eagle's minimal essential medium (EMEM) and bovine nasal secretions at 30% relative humidity {Elazhary, 1979 #290}. At 90% percent humidity, survival of IBR virus was better in bovine nasal secretion at 6° C. The authors hypothesized that the reason for the better survival at the higher temperature during spraying of the aerosol might be due to transitory freezing and thawing events occurring at 6° C that were damaging to the virus. Generally virus survival was found to be better in bovine nasal secretion during spraying, but survival was better in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium in stabilized aerosols. The authors suggest that the better survival in bovine nasal secretion during spraying may be due to greater protein and lower salt content.

In a similar study examining the aerosol stability of bovine adenovirus, EMEM was found to be generally more protective during aerosol stabilization than bovine nasal secretions at both 30 and 90% relative humidity {Elazhary, 1979 #444}. Also, EMEM was generally more protective during aging of the aerosols, although inactivation rates were similar to those in bovine nasal secretions. Generally bovine adenovirus was found to be most persistent in aerosols at high humidity and low temperature {Elazhary, 1979 #444}. These results are consistent with other studies reporting that other non-enveloped viruses, including human adenovirus type 7, are most stable in aerosols under conditions of high humidity and low temperature {Davis, 1971 #445;Miller, 1967 #426}.

The previously noted effects of protein and organic matter content of the suspending media on virus survival in aerosols has also been reported in other studies. Addition of salt and protein (in the form of BSA) to coxsackieviruses suspended in Tris buffer provided a protective effect even at 37°C {McGeady, 1979 #364}. Salt and protein have also been observed to provide some protection against dehydration and thermal inactivation for Rhinovirus {Reagan, 1981 #363}. Removal of protein from the suspending medium was shown to increase the inactivation of SFV at high relative humidity {Benbough, 1971 #306}. Addition of protein to aerosolization solution also resulted in improved survival of SFV, especially at high relative humidity {Benbough, 1969 #309}. Influenza virus from a cell culture preparation with a protein content of 0.075 mg/ml was very unstable in aerosolized form {Schaffer, 1976 #296}. Airborne stability of influenza was improved at 0.1 mg/l protein in the solution from which the aerosol was generated, but it was not further improved by protein content greater than 0.1 mg/ml.

The effects of organic matter on virus survival in aerosols and on surfaces also have been studied for rotaviruses. Human rotavirus aerosolized in a 10% fecal suspension was found to have improved survival compared to virus aerosolized in tryptose phosphate buffer {Ijaz, 1985 #263}. Studies also have been performed to examine the protective effects of organic suspensions on the survival of human rotavirus (CJN) during drying {Ward, 1991 #370}. Previous studies had shown fecal matter to be protective of rotavirus against inactivation in a dried state {Moe, 1982 #277;Sattar, 1986 #260;Woode, 1975 #477}. However, Ward *et al.* found fecal matter to be only slightly more protective than distilled water {Ward, 1991 #370}. Other organic suspensions (tryptose, tryptose phosphate, and gelatin) were found to be much more protective, especially non-fat dry milk. The reason for the apparent discrepancy in the protective effect of fecal matter compared to other organics tested may have been due to the presence of neutralizing antibody in the faeces (coproantibodies); or perhaps seeded fecal material may not be as protective as naturally shed fecal material containing viruses{Ward, 1991 #370}.

Addition of porcine mucin to suspending medium was demonstrated to improve the aerosol survival of psuedorabies virus {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Mucus is composed mucin (a glycoprotein usually present in mucus as a polymer or complex) and cells, and is intimately associated with viruses originating from nasal and nasopharyngeal surfaces.

The addition of polyhydroxy compounds has been found to protect against or overcome the negative effects of salt on the survival of viruses in aerosols {Benbough, 1971 #306;Webb, 1967

#317}. Addition of polyhydroxy compounds (inositol, glucose, raffinose) improved survival at low and moderate relative humidity, but they were ineffective at high relative humidity {Benbough, 1969 #309}. Addition of inositol to the aerosolized solution stabilized RSV at all lower humidity levels tested {Webb, 1963 #321}. Inositol (6%) has been shown to stabilize survival of influenza at intermediate humidity, however inactivation was enhanced at high relative humidity. The presence of inositol had no effect on virus survival at low humidity compared to samples lacking inositol {Schaffer, 1976 #296}. Sucrose was shown to be equally or more effective than inositol in stabilizing influenza at intermediate humidity, and it did not increase inactivation at high relative humidity. Glucose was less stabilizing than sucrose at the same concentration. Dimethylsulfoxide did not show a significant stabilizing effect on influenza survival.

In another study, inositol was found not to protect vesicular exanthema virus (VEV) from inactivation under unfavorable relative humidity {Ferris, 1980 #284}. NaCl was found to increase VEV survival at intermediate relative humidity. Inclusion of glucose, glycerol, DMSO, and BSA were not found to improve survival at intermediate humidity.

Inositol is thought to replace structural water molecules thus stabilizing the virus {Webb, 1963 #321}. Aerosolization in citrate buffer reversed the survival pattern for RSV with survival becoming greatest at low humidity (>50% survival at five hours in 20% humidity) and poor at high humidity (above 60% humidity less than 10% of virus remained at 5 hours) {Webb, 1963 #321}. Addition of serum to salt buffer counteracted the protective effect on RSV survival at lower humidity {Webb, 1963 #321}.

Oxygen and Air Ions: Atmospheric oxygen was found not to effect aerosolized viruses {Benbough, 1971 #306}. Similarly, Webb found that oxygen concentration had little effect on survival of bacteriophages T7 and F2 in aerosols {Webb, 1967 #317}. However, the airborne stability of T1 coliphage was shown to be affected by air ions {Happ, 1966 #407}.

OAF: Open air has virucidal activity {May, 1969 #442;Benbough, 1971 #431}. The term "open air factor" (OAF) was coined in studies to explain the significantly lower survival observed for aerosolized bacteria in open air as compared to clean laboratory air {Druett, 1973 #456;Cox, 1995 #458;Mohr, 1991 #454}. It has been proposed that the OAF may be attributed to reactions between ozone and olefins, or a combination of factors including pollutant concentration, relative humidity, pressure fluctuations, and air ions {Mohr, 1991 #454;Cox, 1987 #457;Cox, 1995 #458;Druett, 1968 #440;De Mik, 1977 #443}. The reactive products of ozone and olefin also have virucidal properties {Druett, 1972 #441}. Bacteriophage Φ X174 is relatively stable in clean air of high humidity. In a study examining the effect of ozone and olefins on bacteriophage Φ X174, ozone alone was found to have a minimal effect on virus survival with a maximum effect observed at 30ppb {De Mik, 1977 #293}. In the presence of various olefin compounds, however, viral inactivation increased with increasing ozone concentrations.

The influence of OAF and daylight on the survival of foot and mouth disease (FMD) virus was examined by Donaldson and Ferris {Donaldson, 1975 #298}. OAF was not shown to be a significant factor in inactivation of FMD virus in aerosols. Semliki forest virus and T coliphages, on the other hand, were inactivated at a considerably greater rate in open air, as opposed to enclosed aerosol studies {Benbough, 1971 #431}. SFV has been demonstrated to be rapidly inactivated by OAF {Benbough, 1971 #431}.

Other Antiviral chemicals: Berendt and Dorsey examined the effects of light and sodium fluoresce in on the recovery of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEE) after one hour at 30

or 60% relative humidity {Berendt, 1971 #328}. Inactivation of aerosolized VEE in a dark environment at 30 and 60% relative humidity was found to be less than or equal to $0.1 \log_{10}$ reduction. Under simulated solar radiation, inactivation increased to 3.7 and 4.2 \log_{10} reduction at 30% and 60%, respectively. The addition of sodium fluorescein had a negative effect on the survival of VEE in non-irradiated aerosols at 60% relative humidity, and under simulated solar conditions, no VEE could be detected after 30 minutes at either humidity level {Berendt, 1971 #328}.

Light: As previously noted, the main antiviral component of sunlight is UV radiation, primarily UVA (320-400 nm). Virus susceptibility to UV exposure also appears to vary with virus type. Early studies suggested that viruses, such as coxsackie, influenza, sindbis, and vaccinia, are highly susceptible (>3 log₁₀ inactivation) to UV radiation at an exposure of 0.03 watt-minutes/ft³. Adenoviruses, on the other hand, were demonstrated to be more resistant (<2 log₁₀ inactivation) {Jenson, 1964 #327}. Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus has been demonstrated to be highly sensitive to radiation {Berendt, 1971 #328}. Compared to other viruses (vaccinia, herpes simplex, fowl plaque, louping-ill, Borna disease, vesicular stomatitis, influenza, Newcastle Disease, and canine distemper), FMD virus has been show to be relatively photoresistant {Skinner, 1954 #433;Perdau, 1933 #432;Donaldson, 1975 #298}. Whereas other viruses were inactivated by exposure to daylight for 4 hours, FMD virus was stable. Other picornaviruses have also been shown to be relatively photoresistant. Over a similar four hour period, poliovirus was not inactivated {Appleyard, 1967 #434}.

Aerosol Particle Size: Aerosol particle size may effect the survival of airborne viruses, with more rapid inactivation in smaller particles than large ones {Moe, 1983 #273}. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) in small particle aerosols is rapidly inactivated {Rechsteiner, 1969 #472}. Naneva et al. (1983) also evaluated the stability Aujeszky's disease virus in aerosol particles of different size, with 5 min. exposure at 80-100 per cent relative humidity, and a temperature range of 14-21.2° C. The sizes of all aerosol particles were >5 micron, and they differed according to the composition of the suspending medium. Viruses differed in their survival in different aerosols, but the effects of different aerosol particle size and different particle composition were not resolved. Therefore, the effects particle size alone could not be specifically determined. Highest virus stability (11.2% survival) was in a 0.2 M buffered saline containing 10% peptone and 0.8% saccharose at pH 7.

Aerosol Collection Method: Rehydration of aerosol particles upon collection may be responsible for either inactivation or protection of viruses in aerosols and the effects can differ for enveloped and non-enveloped viruses. Salts and other solutes may protect T coliphages and poliovirus by influencing the rehydration rate during collection {Benbough, 1971 #306}. With some viruses (e.g. poliovirus, FMDV, and bacteriophages T3) prehumidification prior to or during aerosol particle collection has been demonstrated to increase the level of infectious virus that may be recovered {Hatch, 1969 #448;Warren, 1969 #449;Dubovi, 1976 #450;Benbough, 1971 #306;Barlow, 1972 #451;de Jong, 1974 #452;de Jong, 1975 #453}. This suggests that inactivation occurs during aerosol particle collection unless steps are taken to protect the virus during collection by prehumidification. However, prehumidification during aerosol particle collection does not appear to significantly effect recovery of vesicular exanthema virus or mengovirus {Ferris, 1980 #284;Warren, 1969 #449}. {de Jong, 1970 #459}. Prehumidification was not shown to improve recovery of infectious encephalomyocarditis virus from aerosols {de Jong, 1970 #459}.

Factors Affecting the Survival of Viruses on Surfaces and in Soil

Introduction: The same factors that influence virus survival in water, wastes and other aqueous environmental media and in aerosols also influence virus survival on surfaces and in soils. Virus type, virus physical state (dispersed, aggregated, or solids-associated; especially and the extent and state of virus adsorption), temperature, particles and suspended matter, organic matter, salts, pH, specific antiviral chemicals, UV radiation in sunlight, relative humidity, moisture content and water activity all influence virus survival on surfaces and in soils.

Adsorption State: The extent and state of virus adsorption has an important influence on virus survival on surfaces and in soils. Most studies have shown that the survival of some viruses is increased with increased adsorption to soil or surfaces {Hurst, 1980 #552}.

However, the phenomena influencing virus interactions with and survival on surfaces and in soils are somewhat similar to those influencing the survival of viruses at the air-water interface, but they are further influenced by the solid surface with which the aqueous medium and the air are in contact. As previously noted, studies have shown that viruses become inactivated and proteins lose activity upon exposure to air-water interfaces (AWIs). However, more recent studies by Thompson et al. (1998) suggest that factors other than the AWI alone are responsible for viral inactivation when the viruses are in a three-part system consisting an aqueous medium, a surface and air. This is referred to as a triple-phase-boundary [TPB]) system and can be depicted as a line or boundary (perimeter) at which an AWI meets a surface, such as a soil particle or table top.

Thompson et al. hypothesize that viruses in solution reach the AWI, where they adsorb, via convection and diffusion. This adsorption is dominated by electrostatic, hydrophobic, hydration, and capillary forces; solution ionic strength; pH; and various other factors. As a virus adsorbs to the AWI, hydrophobic domains on the protein surface (e.g., the capsid of a nonenveloped virus) partition out of the solution and into the more nonpolar gas phase. They suggest that such exposed domains on the virus capsid are susceptible to forces at the TPB which are not present at the AWI itself. Unlike the AWI, the balance of forces at the TPB will be influenced by the surface characteristics of the solid (surface or soil). The forces acting on the aqueous droplet will balance at equilibrium, and these are the solid-air, solid-water, and air-water surface tensions are described by a contact angle, which is cosine of the angle of contact between liquid and solid. Forces influencing an exposed virus particle at the TPB are much different from those at the bulk AWI and are dictated by the type of surface with which the virus containing aqueous medium and air are in contact. The orientations of water molecules at large surfaces are different from those in bulk water, especially for hydrophobic surfaces. Therefore, it is proposed that virus particles partitioned at the TPB experience destructive forces as a result of the reconfiguration of water molecules near the surface. Virus surface proteins projecting into the gas phase may also interact with the surface at the TPB. As the AWI is shears away from a surface, such as during evaporation or transport, partitioned virus particles can undergo shear stress. Such forces can cause structural changes to a virus capsid, resulting in loss of infectivity. Hence, it is proposed that the main site of phage inactivation is the interface at which the liquid, solid, and gas phases meet.

These TPB effects are more likely to occur when the surface is hydrophobic, as was demonstrated in the study by Thompson et al. (1998). In comparing the inactivation of MS2 coliphage in an experimental system consisting of air-water-solid interfaces with glass and polypropylene (PP) surfaces, inactivation was much greater in the presence of the PP surface than the glass surface. Glass is hydrophilic, with a contact angle against water of 45°, while PP is a hydrophobic organic polymer with a contact angle of 108°. There was a lack of MS2 inactivation in the presence of a hydrophilic glass surface, indicating that the forces responsible for virus loss are greater in the hydrophobic PP system, or if they are present in the glass system they do not influence virus fate in the same manner.

While the above phenomena suggest that air-water-solid interfaces can contribute to virus inactivation, it has been repeatedly observed that viruses adsorbed to soils and surfaces are inactivated at lower rates than they are when simply in an aqueous suspension alone. Therefore, there must be other explanations for the apparent protective effects of virus adsorption to surfaces and soils. It is possible that adsorption to some surfaces stabilizes virus structures or that virus sites for attack by antiviral agents are blocked due to their adsorption to the surface. The protective as well as antagonistic factors influencing virus survival on surfaces will be discussed in later sections of this report.

Relative Humidity: The effect of relative humidity on the survival of viruses on surfaces is virus type dependent. The half-life of HIV in phosphate buffer with 2% fetal bovine serum at room temperature was similar both dried and in solution {Tjotta, 1991 #369}. Similarly, the inactivation rate of hepatitis B virus was demonstrated not to be affected by relative humidity {Favero, 1974 #343}. However, Mahl and Sadler generally found that the viruses they tested survived longer at low humidity than at mid or high RH. However, coxsackie virus, vaccinia, and adenovirus appeared to be minimally affected by relative humidity {Mahl, 1975 #366}. Studies examining the loss of infectious titer due to drying of rhinovirus have reported greater losses at 84% relative humidity than at 20% relative humidity {Buckland, 1962 #470}. Herpes Simplex Virus type 1 has been demonstrated to be very susceptible to desiccation in studies examining its survival on surfaces with reductions of 2 log₁₀ accompanying evaporation of the suspending medium {Bardell, 1994 #336}.

Rotaviruses in faeces have been shown to be most stable at higher relative humidities (>75%). On surfaces, rotavirus was also more stable at very low relative humidities (<14%) than at moderate humidities (15-74%) {Moe, 1982 #277}. There results are in contrast to studies, in which rotavirus in aerosols was rapidly inactivated at high relative humidity and 22°C. Other studies with rotavirus aerosols have shown a similar sensitivity of various rotaviruses to high relative humidity {Sattar, 1984 #269;Ijaz, 1985 #265;Ijaz, 1985 #263}. It is likely that methodological or virus strain differences are responsible for the different patterns of inactivation between these studies on surfaces versus those in aerosols. Given the proposed differences in mechanisms of virus inactivation at air-water interfaces (AWI) and in triple phase boundary (TPB) systems consisting of air-water-surfaces, such differences in rotavirus survival with respect to relative humidity are plausible.

Some studies on the survival of foot and mouth disease (FMDV) have assumed that relative humidity was only important in aerosol transport and not in the survival of FMDV on surfaces {McColl, 1995 #235}. However, this was contradicted by the differences in virus survival observed in dry rather than wet hay and bran {Bartley, 2002 #225;McColl, 1995 #235}.

Vaccinia virus has been shown to be generally not as stable at high humidity (78%) as lower humidity on wool or cotton {Sidwell, 1966 #464}. Poliovirus survival on fabrics also was also dependent on relative humidity, with greatest persistence under low humidity conditions. Initial inactivation was less extensive under high relative humidity, but the subsequent rate of inactivation was higher than at low relative humidity {Dixon, 1966 #350}.

Moisture content: The extent of virus survival in the subsurface seems to relate directly to the amount of moisture in the soil {Vaughn, 1983 #530}. As a general rule, pathogens survive longer under moist conditions {Gerba, 1984 #554;Hurst, 1980 #552}. As soil moisture levels decrease,

viruses may be inactivated by desiccation or enhanced predation due to a thinner moisture layer with biological activity around individual soil particles. Inactivation of poliovirus type 1, echovirus type 1, and indigenous enteroviruses in the soil of a wastewater infiltration basin has been shown to be dependent on the rate of moisture loss, with drying cycles increasing virus inactivation {Hurst, 1980 #282}. Drying of soil is virucidal to both poliovirus and coxsackievirus {Yeager, 1979 #376}.

Temperature: Temperature plays an important role in virus survival in soils and on surfaces, however the degree of the effect is virus type dependent. As in other environmental media viruses in soils and on surfaces are inactivated more rapidly as temperature increases. For example, poliovirus type 1 and Coxsackievirus B1 were more rapidly inactivated in soil as temperature increased {Yeager, 1979 #376}.

Under low moisture conditions, such as on surfaces, temperature can either protect against or enhance the virucidal effects of relative humidity. Temperature has been shown to have a variable effect on the survival of different viruses on glass surfaces {Mahl, 1975 #366}. Whereas coxsackie virus and adenovirus survival was not affected by increased temperature, vaccinia survival at 25° C was greater than at 37° C, independent of relative humidity. Poliovirus and herpes simplex virus survival at mid (55%) and high (93-96%) relative humidity was also greater at 25° C than at 37° C, but at low humidity, temperature was not a factor. Influenza virus was shown to survive less well at 37° C than at 22° C on glass slides {Edward, 1941 #466}. Reduction of the temperature to 4°C from 22°C, has been shown to have a protective effect on human rotavirus survival on surfaces especially at high relative humidity {Sattar, 1986 #260}.

The effect of air temperature as well as relative on survival of hepatitis A virus on environmental surfaces has been studied (Mbithi et al., 1991). Stainless steel disks contaminated with fecally suspended hepatitis A virus (HAV; strain HM-175) were held at RH levels of 25, 55, 80 and 95% and at air temperatures of 5, 20, or 35° C. HAV survival was inversely proportional to the level of RH and temperature, and the half-lives of the virus ranged from greater than seven days at the 25% RH and 5° C to about 2 h at the 95% RH and 35° C. In parallel tests with fecally suspended Sabin poliovirus (PV) type 1 at the 25 and 95% RH, all PV activity was lost within four and twelve hours, respectively. HAV could therefore survive much better than PV on nonporous environmental surfaces. Moreover, the ability of HAV to survive better at low levels of RH is in direct contrast to the behavior of enteroviruses.

Laundering of poliovirus contaminated fabrics was shown to significantly reduce viral contamination {Sidwell, 1971 #344}. Water temperature was an important factor in virus inactivation with greater inactivation observed at higher temperatures {Sidwell, 1971 #344}.

Suspending Media: Similar to virus survival in aerosols, the composition of the suspending medium may effect the virus survival on surfaces. When applied to countertops in water, rotavirus was inactivated with in 45 minutes, while poliovirus and bacteriophages survived to 90 minutes with reductions of 1.5 and 3 log₁₀, respectively {Keswick, 1983 #270}. Application in 10% stool suspension improved survival of SA11 and f2 coliphage to 90 minutes with inactivation of about 3 and 1.5 log₁₀, respectively. The inactivation kinetics of poliovirus were initially increased, but overall virus survival did not appear to be improved. The presence of fecal material was also shown to have a protective effect on the survival of rotavirus on non-porous surfaces, as determined by similar experiments using tryptose phosphate buffer to suspend the viruses {Sattar, 1986 #260}.

Type of Surface: Little effect on virus survival has been documented for the type non-porous surfaces used in most studies of virus survival. For example, no difference in adenovirus persistence was observed on different non-porous surfaces (glass, vinyl asbestos tile, ceramic tile, or stainless steel) {Mahl, 1975 #366}. On the other hand, Herpes simplex virus type 2 survival on surfaces was shown to be related to the surface type {Larson, 1985 #355}.

The effect of surface type on virus survival is much more pronounced with porous surfaces. Differences have been documented between different fabrics of the same material, and for different materials {Sidwell, 1966 #464;Dixon, 1966 #350}. A "wash and wear" fabric finish was found to negatively effect the persistence of both vaccinia and poliovirus. Fabrics containing synthetic fibers have been shown to allow a greater viral persistence than seen in cotton fabrics {Sidwell, 1970 #346}. Viral contamination on cotton, wool and synthetic fabrics has been shown to be transferable to sterile fabrics by dry contact {Sidwell, 1970 #346}. Poliovirus could be isolated from laundry rinse water, and from previously sterile fabrics co-washed with the contaminated fabrics.

The survival of viruses in soil has been shown to be soil type dependent {Sobsey, 1980 #283;Meschke, 1999 #571}. Composition of soil surface to which viruses are adsorbed can effect their viability. In studies by Murray et al. no loss of infectivity was observed when sorbed viruses were recovered from silicates and iron oxides, whereas recovery from other metal oxides (CuO, MnO₂, and Al₂O₃) revealed that viruses were physically disrupted and non-infectious {Murray, 1980 #536;Murray, 1979 #535}.

The amount of organic matter in a system can influence virus adsorption to soils and surfaces. Generally, organic matter will have a low isoelectric point and thus carry a net negative surface charge at most naturally occurring pH levels. Consequently, organic matter can compete with viruses for adsorption sites on soil particles, thus negatively impacting virus survival {Gerba, 1985 #562;Gerba, 1984 #554}. This may also be the reason why soils with high organic matter content are relatively poor adsorbers of virus {Gerba, 1984 #556;Sobsey, 1986 #563}. However, it has been suggested that soils with low clay content require conditioning with treated effluents (and thus organic matter) for efficient virus removal {Vaughn, 1983 #530}. Paradoxically, organic matter also has been shown to increase survival of certain enteric bacteria and viruses in soil, perhaps by forming protective barriers around viral particles, or by acting as targets or substrates for enzymes and other antiviral chemicals. Organic matter competition for adsorption sites on soils may increase virus mobility, through reduction of virus adsorption. This is one reason that organic matter (e.g. beef extract) is often included in eluants used to recover adsorbed viruses {Wait, 1983 #564}.

Light: The effects of light on the survival of viruses on surfaces has not been extensively studied. The few studies reported indicate generally less virus survival when surfaces are exposed to light. Foot and mouth disease virus persisted longer in the dark than in the light on hay {Bartley, 2002 #225}. Viruses on inanimate surfaces exposed to light survived less than one week, whereas those maintained in the dark survived between 3 and 6 weeks {Mahl, 1975 #366}. Light had no effect on virus survival at low humidity. At high humidity, exposure to light had a significant negative impact on adenovirus survival {Mahl, 1975 #366}.

Antiviral Chemicals: Studies found that fabrics impregnated with quaternary ammonium compounds had a virucidal effect on vaccinia, but not poliovirus {Sidwell, 1967 #348}. Type of detergent during laundering (ionic or anionic) was not a significant factor in viral inactivation on fabrics {Sidwell, 1971 #344}. The effect of chemical disinfectants on viruses on surfaces has been extensively studied because of its importance in preventing the spread of viruses in

healthcare settings, food establishments and other settings. This subject will not be reviewed here because it has been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Sattar *et al.*, 2001; 1994). However, it is important to note that disinfection of human enteric viruses (HAV and rotavirus) on fomites (polystyrene) by several commercially available disinfectants has been reported to be poor, with less than $3 \log_{10}$ inactivation (Abad *et al.*, 1997). Furthermore, suspension tests performed with the same disinfectants showed different virus inactivation rates, thus failing to provide a reliable indication of the extent of virus disinfection on fomites.

VIRUS SURVIVAL TIMES AND INACTIVATION KINETICS IN DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA

Introduction

In the following section of this report data are presented in tabular form and in text on the survival times of different viruses in various environmental media and under different environmental conditions such as temperature and pH. The purpose of these data compilations is to provide some quantitative information on the extent of virus survival and the rates and extent of virus inactivation in various environmental media and at different conditions.

Survival Studies in Liquid Media

Table 3. summarizes some survival data for human and animal viruses in various liquid and semisold media, including faeces, sludges and soil materials. Additional study descriptions are included below by type of medium.

Distilled and Drinking Water: Keswick and Gerba cite virus survival for up to 200 days in drinking water {Keswick, 1980 #531}. Rotavirus has been demonstrated to be stable in drinking water (loss of less than 1 log₁₀) for up to 64 days at 4° C, while at 20° C virus infectivity was reduced by 2 log₁₀ after the same period {Sattar, 1984 #374}. Rotavirus has been shown to be less stable in distilled water than in drinking water, with 1 log₁₀ loss of infectivity after less than one hour {Sattar, 1984 #374}. Herpes Simplex Virus has been shown to persist up to 24 hours in distilled water, while in tap water it persisted less than 4 hours, and in spa water was immediately inactivated {Nerurkar, 1983 #361}. In sterile water at pH 7, Schwartzbrod et al. found poliovirus could survive for 296 days at a temperature of 18-23° C, and longer at a temperature of 4°C {Schwartzbrod, 1975 #537}. Pseudorabies virus has been shown to be persistent in chlorinated water for less than 1 day {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Astrovirus persistence has been documented to be as long as 90 days in dechlorinated drinking water at both 4 and 20°C. Astrovirus was also relatively resistant to chlorination, persisting at detectable levels in water with a free chlorine level of 1.0 mg/L, although a 4 log₁₀ reduction was seen {Abad, 1997 #483;Abad, 1994 #484}.

Fresh Surface Water: Data compiled by Sattar (19??) on virus survival in surface waters indicates that many enteric viruses require weeks to months to be reduced by 99 to 99.99% (2 -4 log₁₀). Virus survival has been reported to be as long as 188 days in surface water {Keswick, 1980 #531}. Coxsackie A9 has been reported to survive for up to 30 days in 15°C stream water and seawater with reductions of 2.0 log₁₀ {Nasser, 2003 #224}. Human astrovirus survival in water has been shown to be similar to that of the enteric adenoviruses and human rotavirus {Abad, 1997 #483; Abad, 1994 #484}. During a waterborne outbreak of Norovirus, epidemiologic evidence suggested that the source of the virus was water from a frozen river that had been contaminated upstream 4 months earlier {Kukkula, 1999 #233}.

Soil and Groundwater: In a study by Landry et al., virus survival in groundwater was calculated to be at least 200 days based on the velocity of groundwater movement {Vaughn, 1983 #530}. Wellings et al. report the survival of enteroviruses for at least 28 days in a Florida cypress dome {Wellings, 1975 #378}. Other researchers have reported the survival of poliovirus in sand for up to 91 days {Lefler, 1974 #402}. Anecdotal evidence from an outbreak at a caravan park in Australia, suggests that enteroviruses and caliciviruses may persist in groundwater and sediments for at least 4 weeks {McAnulty, 1993 #244}. Other evidence suggests that viruses may persist in sediments and that sediments may serve as a reservoir for viral infection {Ellender, 1987 #389}. Bagdarsar'yan reported survival of echovirus 7 for up to 170 days in seeded sandy soil during winter months {Bagdasar'yan, 1964 #532}. Tierney et al. reported survival of viruses in sewage sludge, effluent irrigated soil, or sludge amended soils for 11 days in the summer and up to 96 days under winter conditions {Tierney, 1977 #421}. Pseudorabies virus was found to be persistent in well water for up to 7 days {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Hurst et al. found that viral inactivation rates during sludge treatment were slight. However during field application and drying, inactivation rates ranged from $1.5-2.3 \log_{10}$ over a period of seven days. However, enteric viruses have been isolated from dried sludge and field sprayed sludge {Wellings, 1976 #377}.

Sea and Brackish Water: Coxsackie A9 has been reported to survive for up to 30 days in seawater with 2.2 log_{10} reduction in titer, and in brackish water there was no observed reduction in infectivity titer (<0.1 log_{10}) {Nasser, 2003 #224}. Callahan *et al.* (1995) studied the survival of two groups of enteric bacteriophages, F-specific coliphages (FRNA phages) and somatic *Salmonella* bacteriophages (SS phages) and two human enteric viruses, hepatitis A virus (HAV) and poliovirus type 1 (PV-1), in coastal seawater from three geographic areas (Southern California, Hawaii, and North Carolina) at 20° C. Concentrations of all four viruses decreased over 30 days from their initial titers and there was little difference in the survival of a particular virus among the three seawaters. However, the extent of reduction varied among the four viruses. Survival was greater for the SS phages than for any of the other viruses, with an estimated 4 log_{10} reductions in ~ 1 week. HAV reductions were intermediate between SS phages and FRNA phages, with 4 log_{10} reductions in about four weeks.

The survival of four strains of feline calicivirus in marine water was measured diluting virus stock 1/10 with marine water maintained at 4, 10 and 20 °C (Kadoi and Kadoi, 2001). Considerable amounts of infective viruses remained at 10 and 4°C for a period of 30 days.

Wait and Sobsey (2001) studied the survival of enteric bacteria (*Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Shigella sonnei*), and enteric viruses (poliovirus type 1 and the parvovirus Minute Virus of Mice in Atlantic Ocean seawater. Seeded seawater was incubated in the laboratory at 6, 12, 20 and 28°C for up to 40 d. *In-situ* survival studies were done seasonally (winter, spring, summer and fall) using seeded microbial dialysis equipment placed in the Atlantic Ocean off coastal North Carolina at water depths of 3-10 m. In laboratory studies all test microbes survived longer at lower temperatures with typical times for 90% inactivation (T₉₀) of 1-3 d at the highest temperature and >10 d at the lowest temperature. Of the microbes tested, *E. coli* survived least well while *S. typhi* and *Sh. sonnei* survived similar to or greater than enteric viruses. Parvovirus survival was similar to that of poliovirus. Overall, microbial survival in seawater was greater under laboratory conditions than under in-situ conditions. There was no clear association between microbial survival and water temperature.

Enveloped virus also have been shown to survive for extended periods of time in water. For example, bovine diarrhea virus, a member of the Flaviviridae family and Pestivirus genus, has

been shown to persist in various types of water for periods ranging from 6 ± 24 days at 20° C (Pagnini et al., 1984). Kocan et al. (2001) studied the survival of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) (Rhabdoviridae family). VHSV could be recovered for up to 40 h in natural filtered seawater (27 ppt) with a 50% loss of infectivity after approximately 10 h at 15 degrees C. Addition of 10 ppb North Slope crude oil to the seawater had no effect on virus survival. However, when various concentrations of teleost ovarian fluid were added to seawater, virus could be recovered after 72 h at 0.01% ovarian fluid and after 96 h at 1.0%. When cell culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum was added to the seawater, 100% of the virus could be recovered for the first 15 d and 60% of the virus remained after 36 days. Ovarian fluid occurs naturally during spawning events, so its prolongation of virus survival may have practical implications.

Faeces, Wastewater and Sludges: Both non-enveloped and enveloped viruses can survive for extended time periods in untreated as well as treated faeces, wastewater, animal waste slurry and sludges. Studies on the survival of viruses in human fecal wastes and agricultural animal wastes have indicated that persistence is dependent on several factors, including the virus type, waste type, temperature and other environmental conditions and processes. In both human and animal waste treatment processes virus pathogen concentrations are reduced at various rates and to various extents depending on conditions, but they are not completely eliminated. Furthermore, they may be enriched in certain treated or separated waste fractions (such as waste solids) by sedimentation and solids-liquids separation processes {Strauch, 1991 #248}.

Studies by Pesaro *et al.*, concluded that viral persistence in animal wastes was highly dependent on virus type, waste type and environmental conditions. Depending on ambient temperature, pH, and type of animal waste, time in day required for a 90% ($1 \log_{10}$) reduction of virus titer varied widely, ranging from less than 1 week for herpesvirus (an enveloped virus) to more than 6 months for rotavirus (a non-enveloped virus). Virus inactivation progressed substantially faster in liquid cattle manure, a mixture of urine and water (pH > 8.0), than in semiliquid wastes that consisted of mixtures of faeces, urine, water, and bedding materials (pH < 8.0). Overall, nonenveloped viruses such as encephalomyocarditis virus, bovine rotavirus, bovine adenovirus and bovine parvovirus were more persistent than an enveloped virus, with 1 log₁₀ reduction times ranging from 9.4 to 197 days, depending on waste source and virus type. Bovine herpesvirus was reduced by 1 log₁₀ within 2.5 days {Pesaro, 1995 #236}.

Other studies also report survival times of up to months for non-enveloped viruses. Fu et al. (1989) reported that a cytopathic porcine rotavirus (British isolate SW20/21) kept at room temperature for four months survived with titers reduced by 2 log₁₀. Foot and Mouth Disease Virus, a picornavirus, can survive for 100 days in fecal slurry (Bartley *et al.*, 2002). Other studies also report the survival of enveloped viruses for periods of up to days or weeks. Pseudorabies virus was persistent in swine urine, lagoon liquid, and waste pit effluent for 2 weeks, 2 days, and <1 day, respectively {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Classical swine fever virus, member of the Flaviviridae family and Pestivirus genus, can survive for 15 days or more in manure, with inactivation occurring more rapidly in the liquid phase of slurry than in the solid phase (Have, 1984).

In biologically treated sludges from municipal wastewater plants using extended-aeration or oxidation ditch processes, indigenous viruses have been shown to survive up to 35 days at 5° C {Berg, 1988 #256}. Hepatitis A virus has been shown to resist drying and storage for at least 1 month in faeces {McCaustland, 1982 #274}. At 4°C, poliovirus persisted in sand saturated in septic liquor for 180 days with <2 log₁₀ reduction {Yeager, 1979 #376}. Under conditions of higher temperature, drying conditions, or suspension in groundwater, persistence was not as great.

Studies on virus survival in undisturbed soil cores which had been amended with sewage sludge have indicated that enteroviruses may survive for up to 35 days depending on temperature and moisture conditions {Bitton, 1984 #267}. Polioviruses have been shown to persist within septic tank sludge for at least 100 days {Stramer, 1984 #400}. In sewage sludge samples and sewage sludge eluates, indigenous viruses have been shown to remain stable (less than 1 log10 reduction) for up to 27 days at room temperature, and at temperatures of 2° C or -70° C viruses were shown to be stable for greater than 160 days {Hurst, 1986 #258;Hurst, 1986 #259}.

JC virus (JCV) particles have been detected in urban sewage of diverse geographical areas and some JCV isolates from sewage are infectious (Bofill-Mas and Girones, 2003; Bofill-Mas et al., 2003). The oral route could be significant for transmission of JCV infections because JCV virions have demonstrated relative resistance in the environment and to some of the conditions present in the gastrointestinal tract. It has been suggested that the archetypal strains commonly detected in the environment may be implicated in the transmission of JCV among the population.

Mineral Water: In mineral water, HAV and poliovirus 1 have been demonstrated to persist for up to 1 year at 4°C, while at room temperature poliovirus persisted for 300 days and HAV remained infective longer {Biziagos, 1988 #330}. Noroviruses have also been found to persist in mineral water {Beuret, 2000 #570;Beuret, 2002 #569}.

Other Environmental Liquid Media: Virus survival in mock solid waste leachates has been shown to be temperature dependent with considerable survival at temperatures of $4^{\circ}C$ (<1 log₁₀ reduction of poliovirus in 27 days), lesser survival at 20° C (~2 log₁₀ reduction in 27 days), and least survival at 37° C (>2 log₁₀ within 6 days) {Sobsey, 1975 #297}. However, greater inactivation in mock leachates than in glycine controls incubated at the same temperature indicates factors other than temperature also affect virus survival in solid waste leachates {Sobsey, 1975 #297}.

In saliva, HIV may persist either wet or dry for up to 7 days {Barre-Sinoussi, 1985 #340}. Studies examining the survival of Pseudorabies virus in buffered solutions found the virus was stable for at least 10 days in PBS and saline solution {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}.

Exposure to blood containing viruses, such as Hepatitis B Virus, Hepatitis C Virus and HIV, and other pathogens is a risk in healthcare settings and in a variety of other environmental settings and exposure circumstances (Sattar and Springthorpe, 1991; Sattar et al., 2001). Studies by van Bueren et al. (1994) found that cell-free and cell-associated human immunodeficiency virus cultures suspended in 10% serum remained infectious for several weeks at room temperature. Virus stability was further increased when cell-associated virus was suspended in neat serum. Therefore, even enveloped viruses in blood can remain infectious for long periods of time. Weber et al. (1999) assessed the virucidal activity of three disinfectants (sodium hypochlorite, a phenolic, and a quaternary ammonium compound) in the presence and absence of blood against vaccine strain of poliovirus type 1 (prototype for relatively resistant hydrophilic viruses) and herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 1 (prototype for relatively susceptible lipophilic viruses). Viral survival was tested at room temperature and times of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 minutes. In the absence of blood, complete inactivation of HSV was achieved within 30 seconds with 5,000 (1:10 dilution of bleach) and 500 (1:100 dilution of bleach) ppm chlorine, 1:10 and 1:128 diluted phenolic (use dilution), and 1:10 and 1:128 diluted quaternary ammonium compound (use dilution). In the presence of 80% blood, only 5,000 ppm hypochlorite, 1:10 phenolic, and 1:10 or 1:128 guaternary ammonium compound were effective. In the absence of blood, complete inactivation of poliovirus was achieved within 30 seconds by 5,000 and 500 ppm chlorine and

1:10 quaternary ammonium compound. In the presence of 80% blood, no solution tested was capable of completely inactivating poliovirus within ten minutes. It was recommended that hypochlorite at a final concentration of 5,000 ppm (1:10 dilution) should be used to decontaminate blood spills.

Survival Studies in Aerosols

Table 4 summarizes some data for human and animal virus survival in aerosols under various conditions. Additional study descriptions are included below by typical viral transmission routes.

Laboratory studies: The following generalizations can be made about aerosol survival of viruses: 1) viruses with lipids in their outer coat or capsid are more stable at low relative humidity (RH) than at high RH, 2) viruses without lipids are most stable in aerosols at high RH than at low RH, 3) when viruses can no longer be detected after aerosol generation, viral nucleic acid can be isolated and may still be active (suggesting inactivation occurs by altering of coat proteins), 4) pre-humidification upon sampling increases the recovery of non-enveloped viruses {Mohr, 1991 #454}. The mechanisms of virus inactivation in aerosols are poorly documented. However, two mechanisms of inactivation have been proposed for bacteriophage T3 in aerosols: 1) at high relative humidity surface inactivation, and 2) at low humidity removal of structural water molecules {Trouwborst, 1974 #299}.

Several groups report biphasic inactivation kinetics for aerosolized viruses {Hinshaw, 1976 #295;Schaffer, 1976 #296;Larson, 1970 #230;Songer, 1967 #318}. These inactivation kinetics can be explained by an initial rapid loss of viral titer as the aerosol is generated and as the newly formed aerosol is dried and equilibrates with the environment. The second phase is a more gradual long-term inactivation rate once the aerosol has been generated and become stabilized in the airborne state {Schaffer, 1976 #296}. At 24°C and 35% relative humidity, murine leukemia virus demonstrated an initial 2 log₁₀ inactivation during aerosolization. However, the virus was relatively stable for at least 35 minutes after stabilization of the aerosol, showing inactivation rates of 1% per minute {Larson, 1970 #230}. At lower relative humidity, reovirus demonstrates a rapid initial inactivation followed by a more gradual inactivation rate {Adams, 1982 #460}.

Early studies showed the survival of detectable amounts of vaccinia virus, Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEE), and influenza A virus in aerosols for up to 23 hours under various temperature (10, 22, and 32°C) and relative humidity (20, 50, and 80 %) conditions {Harper, 1961 #322}. Poliovirus was also examined in these studies, but only at 22° C. Vaccinia could be detected at 23 hours under all temperature and humidity conditions tested. Survival of VEE in detectable amounts was also documented at 23 hours under all conditions but the highest humidity and highest temperature. Trace levels of influenza also survived for 23 hours at low temperature and any humidity, and at higher temperatures at low humidity. VEE and influenza could be detected in all other samples for greater than six hours. Greatest survival of vaccinia, VEE and influenza was documented at low temperature and low humidity, with 66%, 26%, and 61% remaining at 23 hours, respectively. Poliovirus demonstrated a different survival pattern than the other viruses tested, showing greatest survival under high humidity. Other early studies on poliovirus and influenza virus in aerosols also found different survival patterns of the two viruses with regard to relative humidity {Hemmes, 1960 #423}. While poliovirus was still found to be most stable at high humidity, rapid decay below 50% humidity was not observed.

Pigeon pox virus has been shown to be stable in aerosols for up to five hours with >70% of virus remaining regardless of humidity {Webb, 1963 #321}. Rous Sarcoma virus was shown to be

most stable in water aerosols at high humidity (>60%) with up to 85% of virus remaining at five hours {Webb, 1963 #321}. Below 60% humidity, inactivation of RSV was greater.

In a study examining the aerosol stability of Newcastle Disease Virus, vesicular stomatitis virus, infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus, and bacteriophages T3, loss of infectious titer during generation of aerosols has been shown to be consistently less at 90% relative humidity (RH) than at 10% or 35% RH for all viruses {Songer, 1967 #318}. However during aerosol aging at 23°C, Songer demonstrated that Newcastle disease virus and vesicular stomatitis virus survived best at low humidity (10%). On the other hand infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus and bacteriophages T3 survived aerosol aging better at 23°C and 90% humidity. In another study examining the effect of temperature and relative humidity on the survival of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus, the virus was shown to be the most persistent in stabilized aerosols under conditions of high relative humidity and low temperature {Elazhary, 1979 #290}. In contrast, Donaldson and Ferris found IBR virus to be less stable at high relative humidity and room temperature {Donaldson, 1976 #439}.

Three strains of New Castle Disease Virus (NCDV) were found to survive best at high humidity (>70%). At least 1% of virus from each strain could be detected 4 hours after aerosolization. One strain persisted in detectable amounts for up to 16 hours post-aerosolization {Hugh-Jones, 1973 #300}. Using a micro-thread technique developed by May and Druett, survival of NDV was also documented for up to 1 hour in open air with variable humidity and wind conditions {Hugh-Jones, 1973 #300;May, 1968 #429}.

Mayhew, et al. found that the survival of Yellow Fever Virus in aerosols for up to 60 minutes was not significantly affected by temperature or humidity. However, a slight decrease in survival was noted at the 27° C and 50% humidity {Mayhew, 1968 #324}.

Aerosolized Semliki Forest Virus has been shown to survive best ($<1 \log_{10}$ inactivation after 24 hours) at low humidity (20%){Benbough, 1969 #309}. Inactivation increased above 50% relative humidity and was greatest (5 log₁₀ inactivation in less than 24 hours) at high relative humidity (90%).

Bovine rotavirus in aerosols has been shown to survive best under high relative humidity and low temperature conditions {Moe, 1983 #273;Ijaz, 1985 #265}. However, simian rotavirus SA11 was reported to be most stable at intermediate (50%) relative humidity {Sattar, 1984 #269}. SA11 also is stable at low (25%) humidity, but at high humidity (80%) SA11 is rapidly inactivated (no infectious virus detected at 24 hours post-aerosolization). Other experiments documented detection of infectious SA11 in aerosols after as long as 223 hours {Sattar, 1984 #269}. A similar inactivation pattern in relation to relative humidity has been demonstrated for human and calf rotaviruses {Ijaz, 1985 #265;Ijaz, 1985 #263}. The apparent inconsistencies of more recent results for rotavirus survival in aerosols compared to previous studies by Moe and Harper, may be explained by methodological differences including a longer sampling period, a longer aerosol stabilization period and perhaps differences in the strain of virus used {Ijaz, 1985 #265;Moe, 1983 #273}.

Reovirus may be shed in two forms, infectious virions (IV) and potentially infectious virions (PIV). Aerosol survival studies have shown that both forms are stable at high relative humidity {Adams, 1982 #460}. Other studies have examined the aerosol survival of IV and PIV for multiple strains of reovirus (Type 1 Lang, Type 2, Jones, and Type 3 Dearing) at three temperatures and four relative humidities {Mohr, 1984 #461;Mohr, 1991 #454}. These studies

found greater inactivation at intermediate RH (40-60%). Generally inactivation of IV was greater for type 2 and type 3, whereas inactivation of PIV was greater for type 1.

Rhinovirus 14 in aerosols was found to persist well at high relativity humidity, with 30% of virus remaining infectious after 24 hours at 20°C {Karim, 1985 #262}. At low and intermediate humidity, rhinovirus was rapidly inactivated.

Human coronavirus (229E) has been demonstrated to be very persistent in aerosols {Ijaz, 1985 #261}. After 6 days in aerosol at 20°C and 50% relative humidity, 20% of human coronavirus was demonstrated to be infectious.

Studies have shown that despite the loss of infectivity of Picornaviruses in aerosols, intact and active nucleic acid may be recovered. Although poliovirus is rapidly inactivated at low relative humidity, active nucleic acid may still be extracted and free RNA may remain active for a short period {de Jong, 1970 #459}. Similarly, after the infectivity of encephalomyocarditis virus was lost, active nucleic acid could be recovered {de Jong, 1970 #459;de Jong, 1974 #452}.

Survival Studies on Surfaces

Table 5. summarizes some data for human and animal virus survival on surfaces under various conditions. Additional study descriptions are included below by typical viral transmission route.

Enteric viruses: In studies of surface survival, poliovirus was found to persist at up to 20 weeks on wool blanket fabric {Dixon, 1966 #350}. Survival of poliovirus was not as great on wool gabardine fabric or on cotton fabrics. An initial rapid inactivation of poliovirus was documented followed by a more gradual rate of decline. FMD, a picornavirus of animals, has been found to persist on wool at detectable levels for at least 31 days at 4° C {McColl, 1995 #235}. Inactivation of FMD on wool was shown to be inversely related to temperature and at 18° C, FMDV was shown to persist as long as 14 days. Other studies have documented the survival of enteroviruses for 2-12 days on painted wood, glass, and cotton fabric {Kiselva, 1968 #474}. At 20° C enterovirus 70 has been shown to persist best on surfaces at very high relative humidity (95%). The inactivation rate increases with decreasing humidity and at low humidity the virus is quickly inactivated, >2.5 log₁₀ reduction per hour. At higher temperatures, inactivation rates greatly increased, even at high (80%) relative humidity. However extreme high (>95%) relative humidity was still protective of enterovirus infectivity. Similar results have been shown for coxsackievirus B3 virus as well.

Hepatitis A is persistent on environmental surfaces, with recovery of infectious virus documented at 96 hours from stainless steel {Mbithi, 1991 #249}. Infectious HAV has been recovered from polystyrene surfaces after 1 month {Sobsey, 1988 #476}. HAV has also been shown to survive well in faeces {McCaustland, 1982 #274}. HAV survival on skin has also been examined. A rapid initial inactivation associated with drying of the inoculum (0.5 log₁₀) was observed within the first 60 minutes, after which the virus was relatively stable {Ansari, 1991 #247}. A study on the persistence of a number of taxonomically different virus types found that HAV and rotavirus when dried on fomite surfaces are generally more resistant to inactivation than poliovirus or enteric adenovirus {Abad, 1994 #239}.

In a one-week parallel study, astrovirus type 2 was shown to persist longer than Adenovirus or poliovirus on surfaces {Abad, 2001 #229}. However it was not as persistent as HAV and rotavirus. Lower temperature (4°C, compared to 20°C) has been shown to improve the survival of astrovirus and adenovirus, but not of rotavirus. Astrovirus is sensitive to high humidity,

especially at 20°C. Fecal matter was shown to be somewhat protective of astrovirus infectivity against high humidity at 4°C.

The survival of human rotavirus has been examined on several surfaces, including stainless steel, glass, plastic, cloth, paper, and the hands of volunteers {Sattar, 1986 #260;Ansari, 1988 #255;Keswick, 1983 #270;Moe, 1983 #273}. Keswick et al. showed that rotavirus SA11, poliovirus type 1 and bacteriophage f2 were capable of surviving drying on countertop surfaces between 45 to 90 minutes {Keswick, 1983 #270}. The survival of purified human rotavirus has been shown to be similar to that of purified bovine rotavirus when dried onto coverslips and maintained at 20°C and 75% relative humidity {Moe, 1983 #273}. However, human rotavirus in faeces was significantly more persistent. In more recent studies, rotavirus has been found to be very stable on non-porous surfaces, with inactivation of less than $1 \log_{10}$ in ten days, depending on relative humidity {Sattar, 1986 #260}. At low and intermediate relative humidity, rotavirus infectivity was reduced $<1 \log_{10}$ on all surfaces examined after 10 days. Rotavirus can also persist on non-porous surfaces at 36° C for up to six days {Sattar, 1986 #260}. The survival of human rotavirus has also been studied on the hands of volunteers {Ansari, 1988 #255}. At 60 minutes after inoculation, infectious virus was reduced by 0.4 log₁₀, while after 260 minutes, infectious virus was reduced by 1.1 log₁₀. Unlike results from studies on non-porous surfaces, results on the survival of human rotavirus on porous surfaces were variable, depending on the environmental surface and exposure conditions {Sattar, 1986 #260}. No infectious virus could be recovered from contaminated poster card. However, infectious rotavirus could occasionally be recovered from experimentally contaminated writing paper and paper currency. Recovery from cotton cloth was highly variable, but survival to ten days at 4°C was documented in one set of experiments.

A number of studies have reported virus survival on foods. Croci *et al.* (2002) studied the survival of HAV on vegetables that has been experimentally surface-contaminated with HAV and stored at 4° C for up to nine days. Virus was consistently detected on lettuce, with only a 2 log_{10} decrease over time. Greater decreases were observed for carrots and fennel, with complete inactivation at day 4 and 7, respectively. In a recent study, poliovirus survival was determined on fresh produce stored at refrigeration temperature for 2 weeks (Kurdziel et al., 2001). D-values (time for 90% or 1 log_{10} reduction) were: lettuce, 11.6 days; green onion, no decline; white cabbage, 14.2 days; fresh raspberries, no decline; and frozen strawberries, 8.4 days. Therefore, enteric viruses such a poliovirus can persist on fresh fruits and vegetables for at least several days under conditions commonly used for storage in households. FMDV can persist for 105 days in hay and 140 days in bran (Bartley, 2002).

Enveloped viruses also have been shown to persist in foods. Classical swine fever virus (Flaviviridae family, Pestivirus genus), like many enveloped viruses, is considered relatively labile in the environment. It shows short but variable survival times in the environment, depending on physical conditions. For example, Edwards, summarizing data from studies by Slavin (1938), notes that bricks or chopped hay, exposed to air but protected from direct sunlight and rain, still contained infectious classical swine fever virus (Family Flaviviridae, genus Pestivirus) after 7 days but not after 14 days (Edwards, 2000). Classical swine fever virus can persist for long periods in the cold, is relatively stable between pH 3 and 11 and is partially resistant to a moderate temperature of 56°C. The virus can remain viable for prolonged periods in favorable circumstances as found, for example, in stored meat, and it can survive smoking and curing procedures (Edwards, 2000; Panina *et al.*, 1992).

Respiratory viruses: Rhinovirus type 39 has been shown to persist for up to 72 hours non-porous surfaces {Hendley, 1973 #357}. Infectious rhinovirus has also been recovered for up to three

hours from porous surfaces, such as natural and synthetic fabrics, and paper products. Survival of rhinovirus on skin has also been documented up to 3 hours {Hendley, 1973 #357}. Rhinovirus in nasal secretions has been shown to be inactivated by 0.4 to 2 log₁₀ when dried on skin or other surfaces {Reed, 1975 #365}. Sattar et al. have examined the survival of human rhinovirus 14 on stainless steel discs under various conditions of relative humidity {Sattar, 1987 #372}. Rhinovirus was found to survive best at high relative humidity, with 1.2 log₁₀ reduction after 24 hours when suspended in tryptose phosphate buffer. A similar pattern of inactivation was observed when rotavirus was applied to stainless steel surfaces in bovine mucin, however rates of inactivation were initially much higher. Bovine mucin was found to better approximate nasal secretions and results were more consistent with those of previous studies {Buckland, 1962 #470; Reed, 1975 #365}.

Influenza (PR-8 strain) has been demonstrated to survive for several weeks on dust, cotton sheets, and glass slides at 22°C {Edward, 1941 #466}. Survival of influenza has also been studied on skin and glass slides up to 200 minutes {Parker, 1944 #467}.

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) suspended in minimal essential media or pooled adult nasal secretions can survive up to 8 hours on countertops, 5 hours on rubber gloves, 2.5 hours on cloth gowns, and 1 hour on paper towels or skin {Hall, 1980 #356}. The pattern of survival for RSV in nasal secretions obtained from confirmed RSV infected infants on the same surfaces was similar to seeded virus in negative nasal secretions, but of shorter duration {Hall, 1980 #356}. Respiratory syncytial virus in tissue culture homogenate was shown to be reduced by 1 log₁₀ when dried on polythene surfaces and was reduced another 1 log10 after 24 hours {Kingston, 1968 #468}.

Human parainfluenza viruses types 1, 2, and 3 have been shown to persist at room temperature on a plastic surface under dry conditions for periods of up to four, seven and twelve days, respectively {Parkinson, 1983 #362}. At temperatures of -22 to -33° C (midsummer conditions at South Pole), parainfluenza virus type 1 survival on plastic was as long as 7 days, while the survival of types 2 and 3 was as long as 17 days. Parainfluenza types 1, 2, and 3 were found to persist similarly on non-porous and porous surfaces {Brady, 1990 #251}. Viruses persisted for at least 10 hours on non-porous surfaces as long as the surface remained moist. When surfaces dried, infectious virus was still recoverable at 2 hours. On adsorptive surfaces, parainfluenza viruses could be recovered up to 4 hours post-inoculation. Drying of the material appeared to be associated with increased viral inactivation. Survival of parainfluenza viruses for 1 hour on skin has also documented {Brady, 1990 #251;Ansari, 1991 #247}.

Human coronavirus has been shown to survive up to six days in saline solution, but was found to survive for a shorter period in culture medium with or without added cells {Sizun, 2000 #572}. After drying on surfaces at 21°C and 55-70% relative humidity, strain HCoV-229E survived for up to 3 to 6 hours with reductions of 1-2 log₁₀ on aluminum foil, latex gloves, and sterile sponges {Sizun, 2000 #572}. Under similar conditions, strain HCoV-OC43 persistence was <1 to 2 hours with for similar (1-2 log₁₀) reductions. Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRS) is a member of the Arteriviridae from the order Nidovirales, like the Coronaviridae. PRRS appears to be very sensitive to drying on non-porous (plastic, stainless steel, and rubber) and porous fomites (alfalfa, wood shavings, denim cloth, corn, starter feed, and straw), as no virus could be recovered from any of these materials after the day of application {Pirtle, 1996 #358}. However, the virus did however persist from 9 to 11 days in water.

Viruses infecting by the ocular route: At room temperature, adenovirus type 19 has been shown to survive on porous (cloth and paper) and non-porous (metal and plastic) for 7-35 days post

inoculation {Nauheim, 1990 #354}. Maximum survival was demonstrated on plastic. Various strains of adenovirus types 5, 8, and 19 have been shown to persist on metal and plastic surfaces for up to 49 days {Kowalski, 1998 #352}. Inactivation was greatest during the first 7 days and the rate of inactivation declined after 14 days. Adenovirus type 3 and echovirus type 1 have been shown to persist for up to ten and 30 days, respectively, on dried films of adhesive gum on envelopes {Selwyn, 1965 #379}.

Bloodborne viruses: Favero et al. examined the inactivation of hepatitis B antigen in blood on stainless steel and cotton surfaces and found hepatitis B titers to be stable (<0.5 log_{10} reduction) for up to 14 days {Favero, 1974 #343}. Studies have shown that hepatitis B virus retains its infectivity for up to seven day even when dried in human plasma {Bond, 1981 #341}. Differences have been documented in the survival of cell-free and cell-associated HIV diluted on surfaces {van Bueren, 1994 #242}. Cell free virions were found to be much more persistent than cell-associated virus when dried onto glass coverslips at room temperature, with >70 hours for 1 log_{10} inactivation of the former, as compared to 1 log_{10} within 18 hours for the latter. As previously noted in an earlier section of this report, HIV infectivity in liquid suspensions was generally more persistent than viruses dried on surfaces and cell-associated virions were found to be more persistent than cell-free virions. The inactivation of HIV on coins at room temperature is thought to be due partially to drying and partially to metallic nature of the coins.

Dermal Route: A number of studies have documented the survival of herpes simplex virus type 1 on human skin for at least 2 hours {Bardell, 1989 #339;Turner, 1982 #475}. Herpes simplex virus type 1 has been shown to be reduced by 1.5 log₁₀ on plastic door handles and chrome plated wash basin tap handles over a two -our period {Bardell, 1990 #338}. Herpes Simplex Virus has been demonstrated to survive on plastic surfaces for less than 6 hours in a humid atmosphere at 37 – 40° C {Nerurkar, 1983 #361}. Herpes simplex virus type 2 from genital lesions has been shown to persist on fomite surfaces for as long as 72 hours {Larson, 1985 #355}. Gauze was the surface on which the virus was shown to be most persistent. Survival on toilet seats was up to 4 hours. Herpes simplex type 2 was found to persist better on skin than metal {Graham, 1996 #479}. HSV2 persisted on skin for up to 90 minutes. Herpes simplex virus and vaccinia viruses have been isolated from floor sweepings for up to 2 weeks after patients with generalized eruptions vacated the room {Kibrick, 1959 #469}. Vaccinia has been shown to persist on wool for up to 14 weeks at 25°C under conditions of low humidity (35%) {Sidwell, 1966 #464}. Vaccinia was also recovered from cotton, although for shorter time periods (six to twelve weeks, depending on fabric type).

The survival of Pseudorabies virus was examined on the surface of a range of materials commonly found in swine-raising environments {Schoenbaum, 1990 #250}. Pseudorabies virus was found to be relatively stable in saline solution (up to ten days with less than 2 log_{10} inactivation) on both whole corn and steel. On polypropylene, vinyl, loam soil, and meat and bone mea, virus inactivation rates were more rapid with a 7 log_{10} reduction within seven days. For straw, concrete, and pelleted feed, virus dropped below detectable levels within four days. For wood, faeces, green grass, alfalfa, and denim fabric, virus dropped to below detectable levels in one to two days.

Purified human and bovine papilloma viruses dried in tubes have been shown to persist up to one week at room temperature {Roden, 1997 #367}. Bovine papilloma virus and human papilloma virus 16 were also been show to be relatively thermo-stable, surviving treatment at 56° C for one hour, unlike cottontail rabbit papillomavirus and HPV-11, which were more labile {Bonnez, 1994 #480;Roden, 1997 #367}.

The SARS Associated Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and the Environment

The virus associated with sudden acute respiratory syndrome has been identified as a novel coronavirus {Ksiazek, 2003 #381;Drosten, 2003 #382}. As previously noted, Coronaviruses are circular to pleoporphic enveloped viruses with a positive sense, single stranded RNA genome, a helical nucleocapsid and they are about 120-140 nm in size. Coronaviruses have previously been associated with both respiratory and enteric illnesses in a range of animals. Infection for the SARS-CoV appears to occur primarily by the respiratory route, but a fecal-oral route of infection may also be possible. Epidemiologic evidence suggests that the major mode of transmission for SARS-CoV is by close personal contact with an infected individual, by droplet secretions (Seto et al., 2003){Poutanen, 2003 #383}. However, exposure to bioaerosols or contact with environmental surfaces contaminated with respiratory secretions or other bodily fluid (e.g. urine or faeces) may also play a role in transmission {Tsang, 2003 #386}.

Concern over the environmental transmission of SARS-CoV arose after clusters of cases were recognized in a Hong Kong hotel and in the Amoy Gardens apartment, and it was further heightened after other buildings with multiple cases were identified. SARS-CoV has been isolated from faeces, urine, and respiratory secretions of infected individuals {Peiris, 2003 #385}. Additionally, SARS-CoV has been isolated from a number of animal samples, including the Palm Civet, indicating a possible animal reservoir or source {Stein, 2003 #388}. Further, SARS-CoV has been detected in number of environmental samples including: a swab of the toilet rim in the flat of an infected individual; surface and gut swabs of cockroaches; rodent droppings; throat and anal swabs of cats and dogs; the soil stack on the rooftop of a building with multiple cases; and swabs from the bathroom floor, internal surface of balcony window, and wall between kitchen and bathroom in rooms adjacent to the room of an infected individual in a building with multiple cases {Tsang, 2003 #386}.

Prevailing hypothesis for environmental transmission in the Amoy Gardens building and other nearby buildings are that: (1) virus-contaminated sewage droplets were sucked back into bathrooms by powerful bathroom fans through dried-up floor drains, then escaped through windows and rose as a plume in a narrow light well (chimney effect); (2) passive carriage by pests, such as cockroaches and rodents; and (3) fecal-oral transmission through contact with contaminated surfaces and other fecally contaminated vehicles and fomites. Recently another hypothesis has been proposed: human infection of roof rats with subsequent spread by infected rat vectors (Ng et al., 2003). None of these hypotheses are mutually exclusive and potentially all of them could be correct. However, there are inadequate data to fully elucidate, document and characterize the roles of various indirect personal contact, zoonotic and environmental transmission routes for the SARS Co-V.

Very little is known about the survival, persistence environmental fate of SAR-CoV. Information is very limited on the environmental stability of coronaviruses in general. Laboratory studies on the environmental stability of the SARS-CoV and its susceptibility to various disinfectants are currently be performed by the WHO Laboratory Network. Initial results as summarized on the WHO web site are presented in Table 6. However, initial results are difficult to interpret because of inadequately described methods and the format in which results are presented. No final virus concentrations were reported so survival at the indicated time can not be fully interpreted on a quantitative basis. However, the SARS-CoV appears to survive in urine for at least 1 day, while in fecal samples it may persist for up to 4 days {WHO, 2003 #387}. Stability in virus culture medium is much greater, with persistence of greater than 21 days being reported {WHO, 2003 #387}. The virus appears to be more stable at higher pH levels. The stability of the virus appears to be inversely related to temperature (i.e. the virus is relatively stable at temperatures $\leq 4^{\circ}C$ and

is readily inactivated at temperatures above 37°C) {WHO, 2003 #387}. The SARS-CoV appears to be susceptible to laboratory fixatives, like acetone and formaldehyde, and is readily inactivated by alcohol and bleach {WHO, 2003 #387}. On surfaces, the virus is typically more stable in sterilized stool than in PBS (or tissue culture medium) {WHO, 2003 #387}. Survival on surfaces is greater than or equal to the survival expected in stool or culture medium {WHO, 2003 #387}. Anecdotal evidence indicates that viral genomic material may be detected in environmental samples, such as carpets, despite disinfection efforts {Tsang, 2003 #386}. This is consistent with previous studies indicating that RT-PCR may detect inactivated viruses {Sobsey, 1998 #568}.

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A variety of different kinds of viruses, both enveloped and non-enveloped and representing many taxonomic groups, are likely to be present in human and animal fecal wastes, combined fecal and urine wastes (sewage, nightsoil, slurry, etc.), and respiratory secretions entering sewage and other domestic waste streams. New viruses, such as the SARS Coronavirus, Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome Virus (Bunyaviridae family) and Nipah Virus (Paramyxoviridae family), continue to be recognized in human and in animal populations and the host ranges of many of these viruses are uncertain. There are concerns that some of these recently discovered animal viruses do infect or may be able to infect humans or that they have the potential to recombine with human and other animal viruses and produce new virus strains capable of infecting humans or having greater potential to cause human infection and illness. Examples of viruses of particular concern in this regard include the SARS Coronavirus, hepatitis E virus, Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome virus, caliciviruses, orthhomyxoviruses (influenza viruses) and paramyxoviruses (such as Nipah Virus).

The persistence of enteric and respiratory viruses in various fecally contaminated environmental media has been studied, but reliable quantitative information is lacking for many of the recently recognized and emerging viruses, especially in certain environmental media and under certain environmental conditions that represent potential reservoirs and vehicles of transmission. Therefore, there are considerable uncertainties about the extent to which some human animal viruses survive in the environment, are released into the environment and are available to be transported for further human or animal exposure, contact and transmission.

The survival times and kinetics of inactivation of some human and animal viruses in various environmental media under certain environmental conditions has been determined, primarily in laboratory and less frequently in pilot scale or field studies. Further studies are recommended to better characterize virus survival times and inactivation rates, particularly for the newly recognized and emerging viruses of concern. Of particular importance are determining the media and environmental conditions that lead to or facilitate virus persistence for periods of time that provide for their further transmission, identifying media and conditions where virus reductions occur and quantifying the rates and extents of virus reductions in specific environmental media and under specific environmental conditions.

In general, many different kinds of viruses can persist in and on environmental media, including liquid and solid media and in the airborne state, with half-lives of hours, days, weeks or even months. The extent of persistence depends on the type of virus, it physical state (dispersed, aggregated, cell-associated, membrane-bound, adsorb to other solids, etc.), the medium in which it is present (faeces, respiratory secretions, tissues, other liquids or solids, air, etc. and prevailing environmental conditions that influence virus survival. The environmental conditions influencing virus survival generally include: temperature; pH and other physical and chemical properties of the medium in which the viruses are present, such as moisture content, organic matter, particulates, salt concentration, protective ions, and antiviral chemicals such as proteolytic

enzymes; antiviral microbial activity, and light. On environmental surfaces and in aerosols additional environmental factors also influence virus survival, such as relative humidity and physico-chemical forces at air-water and air-water-solid interfaces.

Quantitative information is needed on the survival, persistence and inactivation rates of many enteric and respiratory viruses, including the SARS Coronavirus, in various sources of fecal and respiratory material at ambient environmental conditions in wet states (as faeces, sewage, respiratory secretions, etc.), when subjected to drying and desiccation, when deposited on various porous and non-porous surfaces, when aerosolized, when subjected to mesophilic and thermophilic biological processes in both natural environments and in engineered treatment systems, when subjected to chemical treatments such as germicides, disinfectants and other waste treatment chemicals (e.g., lime or other alkaline material treatments), and when subjected to physical process such as sedimentation, filtration, UV irradiation, sonication and pressure changes, shearing forces and extreme changes in temperature.

Also needed are definitive, reliable, robust and quantitative methods to recover and detect viruses in human and animal fecal wastes and fecally contaminated environmental media, especially for enveloped viruses such as the SARS Coronavirus. Such methods are needed to determine virus presence in fecal and other wastes and in fecally contaminated environmental media and the extent to which these viruses are transported, removed or inactivated under natural environmental conditions or in waste treatment processes and management systems. The development, evaluation and application of reliable, sensitive and affordable methods to recover and detect human and animal viruses in fecal wastes and in fecally contaminated environmental media is recommended.

In principle, methods are available to recover and detect some human and animal viral pathogens as well as indicator viruses, such as somatic and male-specific coliphages, in human and animal wastes and fecally contaminated environmental media. However, these methods have not been applied to some of the viruses of interest and concern, such as the SARS Coronavirus, and they have not been adequately verified and collaboratively tested in some of the fecal wastes and fecally contaminated environmental media of interest and concern. Such validation, verification and performance characterization studies are recommended. Also recommended are comparative studies on the removal, inactivation and fate of indicator viruses and human and animal viral pathogens in fecal wastes and fecally contaminated environmental media, including waste treatment processes and management systems. If such studies show that the indicator viruses reliably reflect or predict the responses of the human and animal enteric viruses, than it may become possible to use them in practical, rapid and affordable monitoring and surveillance activities to assess environmental survival and persistence, the presence of enteric viruses in environmental media, the efficacy of treatment processes and systems to reduce viruses, and to determine the virological quality of treated liquid and solid residuals, such as sewage effluents and biosolids.

REFERENCES CITED

- 1. Gerba, C.P. Applied and Theoretical Aspects of Virus Adsorption to Surfaces. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*. 30: 133-168 (1984).
- 2. Mandel, B. Characterization of type 1 poliovirus by electrophoretic analysis. *Virology.* 44: 554 (1971).
- 3. Murray, R.K. et al. *Harper's Biochemistry*. Stamford, CT, Appleton & Lange, 1996.
- 4. Wait, D.A. & Sobsey, M.D. Method for Recovery of Enteric Viruses from Estuarine Sediments with Chaotropic Agents. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 46 (2): 379-385 (1983).
- 5. Denis, F. et al. Recherche sur l'inactivation des enterovirus dans les eaux de mer. *C R Acad Sci.* 281: 471 (1975).
- 6. Block, J.C. Viruses in environmental waters. In: Berg, G., ed. *Viral pollution of the environment*. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, Inc., 1983, pp. 117- 145.
- 7. Slade, J.S. et al. The survival of human immunodeficiency virus in water, sewage, and sea water. *Water Sci Technol.* 21: 55-59 (1989).
- 8. Moore, B.E. Survival of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), HIV-infected lymphocytes, and poliovirus in water. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 59: 1437-1443 (1993).
- 9. Platt, K.B. et al. Differentiation of vaccine strains and field isolates of Pseudorabies (Aujesky's disease) virus: Thermal sensitivity and rabbit virulence markers. *Arch Virol.* 60: 13-23 (1979).
- 10. Golais, F. & Sabo, A. The effect of temperature and urea on virulent and attenuated strains of pseudorabies virus. *Acta Virol.* 19: 393-400 (1975).
- 11. Bartha, A. et al. Trypsin and heat-resistance of some strains in the herpesvirus group. *Acta Vet Acad Sci Hung.* 19: 97-99 (1969).
- 12. Mitchell, C.A. & Guerin, L.F. Influenza A of human, swine, equine and avian origin: comparison of survival in aerosol form. *Can J Comp Med.* 36 (1): 9-11 (1972).
- 13. Harper, G.J. The influence of environment on the survival of airborne virus particles in the laboratory. *Arch Ges Virusforsch.* 13: 64-71 (1963).
- 14. Harper, G.J. Airborne microorganisms: survival tests with four viruses. *Journal of Hygiene* (*Cambridge*). 59: 479-486 (1961).
- 15. Hemmes, J.H. et al. Virus survival as a seasonal factor in influenza and poliomyelitis. *Nature*. *188*: 430-8 (1960).
- 16. Hood, A.M. Infectivity of influenza virus aerosols. J Hyg (Camb). 61: 331-335 (1963).
- 17. Shechmeister, I.L. Studies on teh experimental epidemiology of respiratory infections. III. Certain aspects of the behavior of type A influenza virus as an air-borne cloud. *J Infect Dis.* 87: 128-132 (1950).
- 18. Schaffer, F.L. et al. Survival of airborne influenza virus: effects of propagating host, relative humidity, and composition of spray fluids. *Arch Virol.* 51 (4): 263-73 (1976).
- 19. Hearn jr., H.J. et al. Loss of virulence of yellow fever virus serially passaged in HeLa cells. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 119*: 319-322 (1965).
- 20. Hinshaw, V.S. et al. Evaluation of Moloney murine sarcoma and leukemia virus complex as a model for airborne oncogenic virus biohazards: survival of airborne virus and exposure of mice. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 57 (4): 775-8 (1976).
- 21. Benbough, J.E. Some factors affecting the survival of airborne viruses. *J Gen Virol. 10* (3): 209-20 (1971).
- 22. Mahl, M.C. & Sadler, C. Virus survival on inanimate surfaces. *Can J Microbiol.* 21 (6): 819-23 (1975).
- 23. Melnick, J.L. & Gerba, C.P. The ecology of enteroviruses in natural waters. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Control.* 10: 65-93 (1980).
- 24. Deng, M.Y. & Cliver, D.O. Persistence of inoculated hepatitis A virus in mixed human and animal wastes. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 61 (1): 87-91 (1995).
- 25. Dimmock, N.L. Differences between the thermal inactivation of picornaviruses at high and low temperatures. *Virology.* 31: 338-353 (1967).

- 26. Yeager, J.G. & O'Brien, R.T. Structural changes associated with poliovirus inactivation in soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 38 (4): 702-9 (1979).
- 27. Yeager, J.G. & O'Brien, R.T. Enterovirus inactivation in soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 38 (4): 694-701 (1979).
- 28. Yates, M.V. et al. The Effect of Indigenous Bacteria on Virus Survival in Groundwater. Journal of Environmental Science and Health. A25 (1): 81-100 (1990).
- 29. Hurst, C.J. et al. Effects of Environmental Variables and Soil Characteristics on Virus Survival in Soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 40 (6): 1067-1079 (1980).
- 30. Hurst, C.J. Influence of aerobic microorganisms upon virus survival in soil. *Can J Microbiol.* 34: 696-699 (1988).
- 31. Nasser, A.M. et al. Comparative survival of E.coli, F+ bacteriophages, HAV, and poliovirus 1 in wastewater and groundwater. *Water Sci Technol.* 27: 401-407 (1993).
- 32. Chung, H. & Sobsey, M.D. Comparative survival of indicator viruses and enteric viruses in seawater and sediment. *Water Sci Technol.* 2: 425-428 (1993).
- 33. Nasser, A.M. et al. Comparative survival of Cryptosporidium, coxsackievirus A9 and Escherichia coli in stream, brackish and sea waters. *Water Sci Technol.* 47 (3): 91-6 (2003).
- 34. Biziagos, E. et al. Long-term survival of hepatitis A virus and polivirus type 1 in mineral water. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 54: 2705-2710 (1988).
- 35. Moe, K. & Shirley, J.A. The effects of relative humidity and temperature on the survival of human rotavirus in faeces. *Arch Virol.* 72 (3): 179-86 (1982).
- 36. Clarke, N.A. et al. Removal of enteric viruses from sewage by activated sludge treatment. *Amer. J. Public Health.* 51: 1118-1129 (1961).
- 37. Wellings, F.M. et al. Demonstration of solids-associated virus in wastewater and sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 31 (3): 354-8 (1976).
- 38. Stramer, S.L. & Cliver, D.O. Septage treatments to reduce the numbers of bacteria and polioviruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 48 (3): 566-72 (1984).
- **39.** Tennant, B.J. et al. Studies on the survival of canine coronavirus under different environmental conditions. *Vet Microbiol.* 42 (2-3): 255-9 (1994).
- 40. Hirano, N. et al. Physico-chemical properties of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV-2) grown on DBT cell culture. *Microbiol Immunol. 22* (7): 377-90 (1978).
- 41. Tjotta, E. et al. Survival of HIV-1 activity after disinfection, temperature and pH changes, or drying. *J Med Virol.* 35 (4): 223-7 (1991).
- 42. Spire, B. et al. Inactivation of lymphadenopathy-associated virus by heat, gamma rays, and ultrviolet light. *Lancet. i*: 188-189 (1985).
- 43. Barre-Sinoussi, F. et al. Laboratory and serological studies argue against possible transmission of AIDS by hepatitis B vaccine. *Lancet. ü*: 274 (1985).
- 44. Harada, S. et al. Effect of heat and fresh human serum on infectivity of human T-cell lymphotrophic virus type III evaluated with new bioassay system. *J Clin Microbiol.* 22: 908-911 (1985).
- 45. Einarsson, M. et al. Heat inactivation of human immunodeficiency virus in solutions of antithrombin III. *Transfusion.* 29: 148-152 (1989).
- 46. Yoshikura, H. Thermostability of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) ina liquid matrix is far higher than that of an ectropic murine leukemia virus. *Japanese Journal of Cancer Research.* 80: 1-5 (1989).
- 47. Hilfenhaus, J. et al. A strategy for testing established human plasma protein manufacturing procedures for their ability to inactivate or eliminate human immunodeficiency virus. *Journal of Biol. Stand.* 15: 251-263 (1987).
- 48. Prince, A.M. Effect of heat treatment of lypholized blood derivatives on infectivity of human immunodeficiency. *Lancet. i*: 1280-1281 (1986).
- 49. Bray, M. et al. A mouse model for evaluation of prophylaxis and therapy of Ebola hemorrhagic fever. *J Infect Dis. 179 (Suppl)*: 248-258 (1999).
- 50. Chepurnov, A.A. et al. The effects of some physical and chemical facotrs on inactivation of Ebola virus. *Vopr Virusol.* 40: 74-76 (1995).
- 51. Bond, W.W. et al. Survival of hepatitis B virus after drying and storage for one week. *Lancet. 1* (8219): 550-1 (1981).

- 52. Shikata, T. et al. Incomplete inactivation of hepatitis B virus after heat treating at 60C for 10 hours. *J Infect Dis.* 138: 242-44 (1978).
- 53. Block, J.C. et al. Persistence of poliovirus 1 during the freezing and thawing of hydroxide sludges from a water treatment plant un laboratory conditions. *Eur J Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 5: 331 (1978).
- 54. Gerba, C.P. & Bitton, G. Microbial Pollutants: Their Survival and Transport Pattern to Groundwater. In: Bitton, G., ed. *Groundwater Pollution Microbiology*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1984, pp. 65-88.
- 55. Fujioka, R.S. & Ackermann, W.W. The inhibitory effects of MgCl2 on the inactivation kinetics of poliovirus by urea. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 148*: 1063 (1975).
- 56. Bitton, G. Adsorption of viruses to surfaces: technological and ecological implications. In: Bitton, G. & Marshall, K.C., eds. *Adsorption of Microorganisms to Surfaces*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1980, pp. 332.
- 57. Pollard, M. & Connolly, J. pH stability of MEF poliomyelitis. *Texas Rept Biol Med.* 7: 92-95 (1949).
- 58. Pancorbo, O.C. et al. Infectivity and antigenicity reduction rates of human rotavirus strain WA in fresh waters. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 53 (8): 1803-1811 (1987).
- 59. Lancz, G. Influence of environmental pH on the preservation and inactivation of herpes simplex virus (40656). *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 162: 238-240 (1979).
- 60. Salo, R.J. & Cliver, D.O. Effects of acid pH, salts, and temperature on the infectivity and physical integrity of enteroviruses. *Arch Virol.* 52: 269 (1976).
- 61. Cords, C.E. et al. Alteration of capsid proteins of coxsackie A13 by low ionic concentrations. *J Virol.* 15: 244 (1977).
- 62. Rao, V.G. et al. Isolation of enterovirus from water, suspended solids and sediments from Gavelston bay: survival of poliovirus and rotavirus adsorbed to sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 48: 404-409 (1984).
- 63. Yana Moto, S. & Shingu, M. The effect of cations (Mg++ and Ca++) on enteroviruses. *Kuramo Med J.* 9: 126 (1962).
- 64. Wallis, C. & Melnick, J.L. Cationic stabilization a new property of enteroviruses. *Virology*. *16*: 504 (1962).
- 65. Wallis, C. & Melnick, J.L. Stabilization of poliovirus by cations. *Texas Rept Biol Med.* 19: 683-700 (1961).
- 66. Melnick, J.L. & Wallis, C. Effect of pH on thermal stability of oral poliovirus vaccine by magnesium chloride. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 112: 894-897 (1963).
- 67. Majer, M. & Thomassen, R. Thermal inactivation of 32P-poliovirus at 37C and 50C in the presence of NaCl of high molarity. *Arch Ges Virustorsch.* 17: 585-595 (1965).
- 68. Lo, S. et al. Stability of human enteroviruses in estuarine and marine waters. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 32: 245 (1976).
- 69. Dinchere, M.L. & Schwartzbrod, L. Inactivation of poliovirus in seawater: study of some parameters. *A paraitre*, 1992.
- 70. Fujioka, R.S. et al. Survival of human enteroviruses in the Hawaiian ocean environment: evidence for virus-inactivating microorganisms. *Appl Environ Microbiol. 39* (6): 1105-10 (1980).
- 71. Sobsey, M.D. et al. Studies on the survival and fate of enteroviruses in an experimental model of a municipal solid waste landfill and leachate. *Appl Microbiol. 30* (4): 565-74 (1975).
- 72. Magnusson, S. et al. Marine bacteria and their possible relation to the virus inactivation capacity of seawater. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand.* 71: 274 (1967).
- 73. Lycke, E. et al. Studies on the nature of the virus inactivating capacity of seawater. *Arch Ges Virusforsch.* 17: 409 (1965).
- 74. Matossian, A.M. & Garabedian, G.A. Virucidal action in seawater. *Am J Epidemiol.* 85: 1 (1967).
- 75. Shuval, H.I. et al. Natural virus inactivation processes in seawater. In: *Proc Natl Specialty Conf Disinfection, New York, NY, 1971*, pp. 429.
- 76. Hermann, J.E. et al. Persistence of enteroviruses in lake water. *Appl Microbiol.* 28: 895 (1974).

- 77. O'Brien, R.T. & Newman, J.S. Inactivation of polioviruses and coxsackieviruses in surface water. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 33: 334 (1977).
- 78. Katayoshi, M. et al. Antiviral substances from photrophic bacteria. Micro Res. 4: 4-12 (1983).
- 79. Girones, R. et al. Isolation of marine bacteria with antiviral properties. *Can J Microbiol.* 35: 1015-1021 (1989).
- 80. Katznelson, E. Survival of viruses. In: Berg, G., ed. *Indicators of viruses in water and food*. Ann Arbor, MI, Ann Arbor Science, 1978, pp. 39.
- 81. Kelly, S. et al. Removal of enteroviruses from sewage by activated sludge. *J Water Pollut Contol Fed.* 33: 1056 (1961).
- 82. Mitchell, R. Destruction of bacteria and viruses in seawater. *J Sanit Eng Div Am Soc Civ Eng.* 97: 425 (1971).
- 83. Gunderson, K. et al. Characterization of a marine bacterium associated with virus inactivating capacity. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand.* 71: 281 (1967).
- 84. Leogrande, G. & Iandolo, E. Effect of seawater on survival of virulent and attenuated poliovirus. *Ig Mod. 62* (705) (1969).
- 85. Metcalf, T.G. & Stiles, W.C. Survival of enteric viruses in estuary waters and shellfish. In: Berg, G., ed. *Transmission of viruses by the waer route*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1967.
- 86. Sobsey, M.D. & Cooper, R.C. Enteric virus survival in agal-bacterial wastewater treatment systems, I. Laboratory studies. *Water Research.* 7: 669 (1973).
- 87. Ehresmann, D.W. et al. Antiviral substances from California marine algae. *J Phycol.* 13: 37 (1977).
- 88. Cliver, D.O. & Hermann, J.E. Proteolytic and microbial inactivation of enteroviruses. *Water Research.* 6: 797 (1972).
- 89. Hermann, J.E. & Cliver, D.O. Degradation of coxsackievirus type A9 by proteolytic enzymes. *Infect Immun.* 7: 513 (1973).
- 90. Grabow, W.O.K. et al. Absence of hepatitis B antigen from faeces and sewage as a result of enzymatic destruction. *J Infect Dis. 131*: 658 (1975).
- 91. Sobsey, M.D. et al. Interactions and survival of enteric viruses in soil materials. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 40 (1): 92-101 (1980).
- 92. Cheo, P.C. Antiviral factors in soil. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 44: 62 (1980).
- 93. LaBelle, R.L. & Gerba, C.P. Influence of estuarine sediment on virus survival under field conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 39: 749-755 (1980).
- 94. Pesaro, F. et al. In situ inactivation of animal viruses and a coliphage in nonaerated liquid and semiliquid animal wastes. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 61 (1): 92-7 (1995).
- 95. Raphael, R.A. et al. Long-term survival of human rotavirus in raw and treated river water. *Can J Microbiol. 31* (2): 124-8 (1985).
- 96. Cliver, D.O. & Herman, J.E. Proteolytic and microbial inactivation of enteroviruses. *Water Research.* 6: 797-805 (1972).
- 97. Lipson, S.M. & Stotsky, G. Effect of bacteria on the inactivation and adsorption on clay minerals of reovirus. *Can J Microbiol.* 31: 730-735 (1985).
- 98. Murray, J.P. & Parks, G.A. Poliovirus Adsorption on Oxide Surfaces: Correspondence with the DLVO-Lifshitz Theory of Colloidal Stability. In: Kavanaugh, M.C. & Leckie, J.Q., eds. *Particulates in Water: Characterization, Fate, Effects, and Removal.* Washington, D.C., American Chemical Society, 1980, pp. 97-.
- 99. Stumm, W. Chemistry of the Solid-Water Interface. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1992.
- 100. Bales, R.C. et al. Bacteriophage adsorption during transport through porous media: Chemical peturbations and reversibility. *Environ Sci Technol.* 25 (12): 2088-2095 (1991).
- 101. Yeager, J.G. & O'Brien, R.T. Structural Changes Associated with Poliovirus Inactivation in Soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 38 (4): 702-709 (1979).
- 102. Reneau, R.B. et al. Fate and Transport of Biological and Inorganic Contaminants from On-Siute Disposal of Domestic Wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 18 (2): 135-144 (1989).
- 103. Bitton, G. et al. Virus transport and survival after land application of sewage sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 47 (5): 905-9 (1984).

- 104. Pancorbo, O.C. et al. Effect of sludge type on poliovirus association with and recovery from sludge solids. *Can J Microbiol.* 27 (3): 279-287 (1981).
- 105. Nakajima, M. et al. Adhesion and releasing of Poliovirus to activated sludge of wastewater purifying plants. *Water Sci Technol.* 47 (9): 117-121 (2003).
- 106. Gerba, C.P. et al. Fate of Wastewater Bacteria and Viruses in Soil. Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, Proceedings of the American Society or Civil Engineers. 101 (IR3): 157-174 (1975).
- 107. Liew, P.F. & Gerba, C.P. Thermostabilization of enteroviruses by estuarine sediment. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 40: 305 (1980).
- 108. Stotsky, G. et al. Surface interactions between viruses and clay materials and microbes: mechanisms and implications. In: *International Symposium on Viruses and Wastewater Treatment, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK, 1980.*
- 109. Young, D.C. & Sharp, D.G. Poliovirus aggregates and their survival in water. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 33 (1): 168-77 (1977).
- 110. Hejkal, T.W. et al. Survival of poliovirus within organic solids during chlorination. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 38 (1): 114-8 (1979).
- 111. Chattopadhyay, D. et al. Effect of surfactants on the survival and sorption of viruses. *Environ Sci Technol. 36* (19): 4017-24 (2002).
- 112. Ward, R.L. & Ashley, C.S. pH modification of the affects of detergents on the stability of enteric viruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 38: 314-322 (1979).
- 113. Ward, R.L. & Ashley, C.S. Identification of the virucidal agent in wastewater sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 33: 860-864 (1977).
- 114. Attree-Pietri, C. & Breittmayer, J.P. Etude comparee de germes-test de contamination fecale et de bacteriophages en eau de mer: action du rayonnement solaire. *J Fr Hydrol. 10*: 103 (1970).
- 115. Bitton, G. et al. Effect of solar radiation on poliovirus: preliminary experiments. *Water Research.* 13: 225 (1979).
- 116. Hill, W.F. et al. Ultraviolet devitalization of eight selected viruses in estuarine water. *Appl Microbiol.* 19: 805 (1970).
- 117. Zafiriou, O.C. Marine organic photochemistry previewed. Mar Chem. 5: 497 (1977).
- 118. Wallis, C. et al. Photodynamic inactivation of viruses and bacteria in sewage effluents. In: Berg, G., Lennette, E.H. et al., eds. *Viruses in water*. Washington, DC, APHA Publications, 1979, pp. 180.
- 119. Mohr, H. et al. Virus inactivation of blood products by phenothiazene dyes and light. *Photochem Photobiol.* 65 (3): 441-5 (1997).
- 120. Teschner, H. et al. Photoinactivation of the encephalomyocarditis virus. *Arch Virol.* 58 (3): 249-252 (1978).
- 121. Mueller-Breitkreutz, K. et al. Inactivation of viruses by chemically and photochemically generated singlet molecular oxygen. *Photochem Photobiol.* 30: 63-70 (1995).
- 122. Jockusch, S. et al. Photo-induced inactivation of viruses: Adsorption of methylene blue, thionine, and thiopyronine on QB bacteriophage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 93: 7446-7451 (1996).
- 123. Fukada, T. et al. Photodynamic antiviral substance extracted from Chlorella cells. *Appl Microbiol. 16*: 1809 (1968).
- 124. Malherbe, H.H. & Strickland-Cholmley, M. Survival of virus in the presence of algae. In: Berg, G., ed. *Transmission of viruses by the water route*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1967, pp. 449.
- 125. Songer, J.R. Influence of relative humidity on the survival of some airborne viruses. *Appl Microbiol.* 15 (1): 35-42 (1967).
- 126. Webb, S.J. et al. The effect of relative humidity and inositol on airborne viruses. *Can J Microbiol.* 9: 87-92. (1963).
- 127. Loosli, C.G. et al. Experimental Airborne Influenza Infection: I. influence of humidity on survival of virus in air. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 53: 205-6 (1943).
- 128. Ijaz, M.K. et al. Comparison of the airborne survival of calf rotavirus and poliovirus type 1 (Sabin) aerosolized as a mixture. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 49 (2): 289-93 (1985).

- 129. Elazhary, M.A. & Derbyshire, J.B. Aerosol stability of bovine parainfluenza type 3 virus. *Can J Comp Med.* 43 (3): 295-304 (1979).
- 130. Hemmes, J.H. De overleving van microorganismin lucht. Utrecht, Labor, 1959.
- 131. Ehrlich, R. et al. Effects of environmental factors on the survival of airborne T3 coliphage. *Appl Microbiol.* 12: 479-482 (1964).
- 132. Happ, J.W. et al. Effect of air ions on submicron T1 bacteriophage aerosols. *Appl Microbiol.* 14: 888-891 (1966).
- 133. Assar, S.K. & Block, S.S. Survival of microorganisms in the Environment. In: Block, S.S., ed. *Disinfection, Sterilization, and Preservation*, Lippinkott-Williams, 2000.
- 134. Miller, W.S. & Artenstein, M.S. Aerosol stability of three acute respiratory disease viruses. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 125: 222-227 (1967).
- 135. Reagan, K.J. et al. Persistence of human rhinovirus infectivity under diverse environmental conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 41 (3): 618-27 (1981).
- 136. McGeady, M.L. et al. Survival of coxsackievirus B3 under diverse environmental conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 37 (5): 972-7 (1979).
- 137. Akers, T.G. & Hatch, M.T. Survival of a picornavirus and its infectious ribonucleic acid after aerosolization. *Appl Microbiol. 16* (11): 1811-3 (1968).
- 138. de Jong, J.G. Decay mechanism of polio and EMC viruses in aerosols. In: Silver, I.H., ed. *Third International Symposium on Aerobiology*. New york, Academic Press, Inc., 1970, pp. 210-245.
- 139. de Jong, J.G. et al. Inactivation of encephalomyocarditis virus in aerosols: fate of virus protein and ribonucleic acid. *Appl Microbiol.* 27: 59-65 (1974).
- 140. Akers, T.G. et al. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on survival of airborne Columbia SK group viruses. *Appl Microbiol.* 14 (3): 361-4 (1966).
- 141. Sobsey, M.D. et al. Survival and persistence of hepatitis A virus in environmental samples. In: A., Z., ed. *Viral hepatitis and liver disease*. New York, NY, Alan R. Liss, Inc., 1988, pp. 121-124.
- 142. Sattar, S.A. et al. Spread of acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis due to enterovirus 70: effect of air temperature and relative humidity on virus survival on fomites. *J Med Virol.* 25: 289-296 (1988).
- 143. Buckland, F.E. & Tyrrell, D.A.J. Loss of infectivity on drying various viruses. *Nature*. 195: 1063 (1962).
- 144. Abad, F.X. et al. Survival of enteric viruses on environmental fomites. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 60 (10): 3704-10 (1994).
- 145. Mbithi, J.N. et al. Effect of relative humidity and air temperature on survival of hepatitis A virus on environmental surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 57 (5): 1394-9 (1991).
- 146. Sattar, S.A. et al. Institutional outbreaks of rotavirus diarrhoea: potential role of fomites and environmental surfaces as vehicles for virus transmission. *J Hyg (Lond).* 96 (2): 277-89 (1986).
- 147. de Jong, J.G. & Winkler, K.C. Survival of measles virus in air. *Nature*. 201: 1054-1055 (1964).
- 148. Larson, E.W. et al. Aerosol stability and respiratory infectivity of Japanese B encephalitis virus. *Infect Immun. 30* (2): 397-401 (1980).
- 149. de Jong, J.G. et al. Aerosol stability functions of Semliki Forest virus. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 32: 315-319 (1976).
- 150. Druett, H.A. Effect on the viability of microorganisms in aerosols of the rapid rarefication of the surrounding air. In: Hers, F.P. & Winkler, K.C., eds. *Airborne transmission and infection*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1973.
- 151. Donaldson, A.I. & Ferris, N.P. The survival of some airborne animal viruses in relation to relative humidity. *Vet Microbiol.* 1: 413-420 (1976).
- 152. Schoenbaum, M.A. et al. Survival of pseudorabies virus in aerosol. *Am J Vet Res. 51* (3): 331-3 (1990).
- 153. Akers, T.G. et al. Airborne stability of simian virus 40. Appl Microbiol. 26: 146-148 (1973).
- 154. Ijaz, M.K. et al. Survival characteristics of airborne human coronavirus 229E. *J Gen Virol.* 66 (Pt 12): 2743-8 (1985).

- 155. Mayhew, C.J. et al. Assessment of aerosol stability of yellow fever virus by fluorescent-cell counting. *Appl Microbiol.* 16: 263-266 (1968).
- 156. Ehrlich, R. & Miller, S. Effect of relative humidity and temperature on airborne Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus. *J Appl Microbiol.* 22: 194-200 (1971).
- 157. Ijaz, M.K. et al. Effect of relative humidity, atmospheric temperature, and suspending medium on the airborne survival of human rotavirus. *Can J Microbiol. 31* (8): 681-5 (1985).
- 158. Karim, Y.G. et al. Effect of relative humidity on the airborne survival of rhinovirus-14. *Can J Microbiol. 31* (11): 1058-61 (1985).
- 159. Colwell, W.M. et al. Influence of some physical factors on the survival of Marek's disease vaccine virus. *Avian Diseases*. *19* (4): 781-790 (1975).
- 160. Benbough, J.E. The effect of relative humidity on the survival of airborne Semliki forest virus. *J Gen Virol.* 4 (4): 473-7 (1969).
- 161. Strange, R.E. et al. Methods for the assessment of microbial populations recovered from enclosed aerosols. *J Gen Microbiol.* 72 (1): 117-25 (1972).
- 162. Lovelock, J.E. the denaturation of lipid-protein complexes as a cause of damage by freezing. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London. B147*: 427 (1957).
- 163. Greiff, D. & Rightsell, W. Freezing and freeze-drying of viruses. In: Meryman, H.T., ed. *Cryobiology*. London, Academic Press, 1966, pp. Ch. 15.
- 164. Trouwborst, T. & Kuyper, S. Inactivation of bacteriophage T3 in aerosols: effect of prehumidification on survival after spraying from solutions of salt, peptone, and saliva. *Appl Microbiol.* 27 (5): 834-7 (1974).
- 165. Elazhary, M.A. & Derbyshire, J.B. Effect of temperature, relative humidity and medium on the aerosol stability of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus. *Can J Comp Med.* 43 (2): 158-67 (1979).
- 166. Elazhary, M.A. & Derbyshire, J.B. Aerosol Stability of Bovine Adenovirus Type 3. *Can J Comp Med.* 43: 305-312 (1979).
- 167. Davis, W.G. et al. Effect of relative humidity on dynamic aerosols of adenovirus 12. *Appl Microbiol.* 21: 676-679 (1971).
- 168. Ward, R.L. et al. Prevention of surface-to-human transmission of rotaviruses by treatment with disinfectant spray. *J Clin Microbiol.* 29 (9): 1991-6 (1991).
- 169. Woode, G.N. & Bridger, J.C. Viral enteritis in calves. Vet Rec. 96: 85-88 (1975).
- 170. Webb, S.J. The influence of oxygen and inositol on the survival of semidried microorganisms. *Can J Microbiol. 13* (7): 733-42 (1967).
- 171. Ferris, N.P. & Donaldson, A.I. The influence of various chemical additives on the survival of vesicular exanthema virus in aerosols. *J Gen Virol.* 48 (Pt 2): 411-5 (1980).
- 172. Jenson, M.M. Inactivation of airborne viruses by ultraviolet radiation. *Appl Microbiol.* 12: 412-18 (1964).
- 173. Berendt, R.F. & Dorsey, R.F. Effect of simulated solar radiation and sodium fluorescein on the recovery of Venezuelan equine encephalomyelitis virus from aerosols. *Appl Microbiol.* 21: 447-450 (1971).
- 174. Skinner, H.H. & Bradish, C.J. Exposure to light as a source of error in the estimation of the infectivity of virus suspensions. *Journal of General Microbiology*. 10: 377 (1954).
- 175. Perdau, J.R. & Todd, C. The photodynamic action of methylene blue on certain viruses. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London. B112*: 288 (1933).
- 176. Donaldson, A.I. & Ferris, N.P. The survival of foot-and-mouth disease virus in open air conditions. *J Hyg (Lond)*. 74 (3): 409-16 (1975).
- 177. Appleyard, G. The photosensitivity of Semiliki Forest and other viruses. *Journal of General Virology*. 1: 143 (1967).
- 178. Moe, K. & Harper, G.J. The effect of relative humidity and temperature on the survival of bovine rotavirus in aerosol. *Arch Virol.* 76 (3): 211-6 (1983).
- 179. Rechsteiner, J. & Winkler, K.C. Inactivation of respiratory virus in aerosol. *J Gen Virol.* 5: 405-410 (1969).
- 180. May, K.R. et al. Toxicity of open air to a variety of microorganisms. *Nature*. 221: 1146 (1969).
- 181. Benbough, J.E. & Hood, A.M. Viricidal activity of open air. *Journal of Hygiene.* 69: 619 (1971).

- 182. Druett, H.A. The open air factor. In: Hers, F.P. & Winkler, K.C., eds. *Airborne Transmission and Infection*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1973, pp. 141-151.
- 183. Cox, C.S. Stability of airborne microbes and allergens. In: Cox, C.S. & Wathes, C.M., eds. *Bioaerosols handbook*. Boca Raton, FL, Lewis Publishers, 1995.
- 184. Mohr, A.J. Development of models to explain the survival of viruses and bacteria in aerosols. In: Hurst, C.J., ed. *Modeling the environmental fate of microorganisms*. Washington, DC, ASM Press, 1991, pp. 160-190.
- 185. Cox, C.S. *The aerobiological pathway of microorganisms*. Chichester, UK, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1987.
- 186. Druett, H.A. & May, K.R. Unstable germicidal pollutant in rural air. *Nature*. 220: 395 (1968).
- 187. De Mik, G. & De Groot, I. The germicidal effect of the open air in differrent parts of the Netherlands. *Journal of Hygiene*. 78: 175 (1977).
- 188. Druett, H.A. & Packman, L.P. The germicidal properties of ozone olefin mixtures. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*. 35: 323 (1972).
- 189. De Mik, G. et al. Survival of aerosolized bacteriophage phi X174 in air containing ozone-olefin mixtures. *J Hyg (Lond)*. 78 (2): 189-98 (1977).
- **190.** Hatch, M.T. & Warren, J.C. Enhanced recovery of airborne T3 coliphage adn Pasturella pestis bacteriophage by means of a presampling humidification technique. *Appl Microbiol. 17*: 685-689 (1969).
- **191.** Warren, J.C. et al. Effect of prehumidification on sampling of selected viruses. *Appl Microbiol.* 18: 893-896 (1969).
- **192.** Dubovi, E.J. & Akers, T.G. The survival of some air-borne animal viruses in relation to relative humidity. *Vet Microbiol.* 1: 413-420 (1976).
- **193.** Barlow, D.F. The effects of various protecting agents on the inactivation of foot and mouth disease virus in aerosols and during freeze drying. *Journal of General Virology*. *17*: 281-288 (1972).
- **194.** de Jong, J.G. et al. Factors in the inacitvation of encephalomyocarditis virus in aerosols. *Infect Immun. 12*: 29-35 (1975).
- 195. Favero, M.S. et al. Detection methods for study of the stability of hepatitis B antigen on surfaces. *J Infect Dis. 129* (2): 210-2 (1974).
- **196.** Bardell, D. Studies on the survival and inactivation of herpes simplex virus type 1 on coins. *Microbios.* 77 (312): 161-6 (1994).
- **197.** Sattar, S.A. et al. Effect of relative humidity on the airborne survival of rotavirus SA11. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 47 (4): 879-81 (1984).
- 198. McColl, K.A. et al. The persistence of foot-and-mouth disease virus on wool. *Aust Vet J.* 72 (8): 286-92 (1995).
- 199. Bartley, L.M. et al. Review of foot-and-mouth disease virus survival in animal excretions and on fomites. *Vet Rec. 151* (22): 667-9 (2002).
- 200. Sidwell, R.W. et al. Quantitative studies on fabrics as disseminators of viruses: I. persistence of vaccinia virus on cotton and wool fabrics. *Appl Microbiol.* 14 (1): 55-59 (1966).
- 201. Dixon, G.J. et al. Quantitative studies on fabrics as disseminators of viruses II. Persistence of poliomyelitis virus on cotton and wool fabrics. *Appl Microbiol.* 14 (1): 55-9 (1966).
- 202. Vaughn, J.M. & Landry, E.F. Viruses in soils and groundwaters. In: Berg, G., ed. *Viral pollution of the environment*. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, Inc., 1983, pp. 163-210.
- 203. Hurst, C.J. et al. Survival of enteroviruses in rapid-infiltration basins during the land application of wastewater. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 40 (2): 192-200 (1980).
- 204. Edward, D.G. Resistence of influenza virus to drying and its demonstration on dust. *Lancet.* 241: 664-666. (1941).
- 205. Sidwell, R.W. et al. Quantitative studies on fabrics as disseminators of viruses V. Effect of launderingon poliovirus-contaminated fabrics. *Appl Microbiol. 21* (2): 227-34 (1971).
- 206. Keswick, B.H. et al. Survival and detection of rotaviruses on environmental surfaces in day care centers. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 46 (4): 813-6 (1983).
- 207. Larson, T. & Bryson, Y.J. Fomites and herpes simplex virus. *J Infect Dis. 151* (4): 746-7 (1985).

- 208. Sidwell, R.W. et al. Potentially infectious agents associated with shearling bedpads I. Effect of laundering with detergent-disinfectant combinations on polio and vaccinia viruses. *Appl Microbiol.* 19 (1): 53-9 (1970).
- 209. Meschke, J.S. & Sobsey, M.D. Comparative Adsorption and Survival of Norwalk Virus, Poliovirus type 1, and F+ RNA Coliphage in Soils Suspended in Groundwater. In: *Proceedings of the 1999 Water Quality Technology Conference 1999*.
- 210. Murray, J.P. Physical chemistry of virus adsorption and degradation on inorganic surfaces. Cinncinatti, OH, Environmental Protection Agency, 1980.
- 211. Murray, J.P. & Laband, S.J. Degradation of poliovirus by adsorption on inorganic surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 37: 480 (1979).
- 212. Gerba, C.P. Microbial Contamination of the Subsurface. In: Ward, C.H., Giger, W. et al., eds. *Ground Water Quality*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, 1985, pp. 53-67.
- 213. Sobsey, M.D. et al. Survival and Transport of Hepatitis A Virus in Soils, Groundwater and Wastewater. *Water Science Technology*. *18* (10): 97-106 (1986).
- 214. Sidwell, R.W. et al. Quantitative studies on fabrics as disseminators of viruses 3. Persistence of vaccinia virus on fabrics impregnated with a virucidal agent. *Appl Microbiol.* 15 (4): 921-7 (1967).
- 215. Keswick, B.H. & Gerba, C.P. Viruses in the Environment. *Environ Sci Technol. 14* (11): 1290-1297 (1980).
- 216. Sattar, S.A. et al. Rotavirus survival in conventionally treated drinking water. *Can J Microbiol.* 30 (5): 653-6 (1984).
- 217. Nerurkar, L.S. et al. Survival of herpes simplex virus in water specimens collected from hot tubs in spa facilities and on plastic surfaces. *Jama. 250* (22): 3081-3 (1983).
- 218. Schwartzbrod, J. et al. Etude du comportement du virus poliomy`elitique de type 1 dans diff`erents milieux hydriques. *Rev Epidem Med Soc et Sant`e Publi. 23*: 235-52 (1975).
- 219. Abad, F.X. et al. Astrovirus survival in drinking water. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 63 (8): 3119-3122 (1997).
- 220. Abad, F.X. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. (1994).
- 221. Kukkula, M. et al. Outbreak of viral gastroenteritis due to drinking water contaminated by Norwalk-like viruses. *J Infect Dis. 180* (6): 1771-6 (1999).
- 222. Wellings, F.M. et al. Demonstration of virus in groundwater after effluent discharge onto soil. *Appl Microbiol. 29* (6): 751-7 (1975).
- 223. Lefler, E. & Kott, Y. Virus retention and survival in sand. In: *Proceedings of the Center for Research in Water Resources Symposium Number Seven on Virus Survival in Water and Wastewater Systems 1974*, pp. 84-91.
- 224. McAnulty, J.M. et al. An outbreak of Norwalk-like gastroenteritis associated with contaminated drinking water at a caravan park. *Aust J Public Health.* 17 (1): 36-41 (1993).
- 225. Ellender, R.D. et al. Role of sediment in the persistence and transport of enteric viruses in the estuarine environment. In: Rao, C.V. & Melnick, J.L., eds. *Human viruses in sediments, sludges, and soils*. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1987, pp. 37-55.
- 226. Bagdasar'yan, G.A. Sanitary examination foil and vegetables from irrigation fields for the presence of viruses. *Gig Sanit.* 11: 37 (1964).
- 227. Tierney, J.T. et al. Persistence of poliovirus 1 in soil and on vegetables grown in soil previously flooded with innoculated sewage sludge or effluent. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 33: 109-113 (1977).
- 228. Berg, G. et al. Low-temperature stability of viruses in sludges. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 54 (3): 839-41 (1988).
- 229. McCaustland, K.A. et al. Survival of hepatitis A virus in faeces after drying and storage for 1 month. *J Clin Microbiol.* 16 (5): 957-8 (1982).
- 230. Stramer, S.L. Fates of poliovirus and enteric indicator bacteria during treatment in a septic tank system including septage disinfection. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Wisconsin, Madision, WI. (1984).
- 231. Hurst, C.J. & Goyke, T. Stability of viruses in waste water sludge eluates. *Can J Microbiol.* 32 (8): 649-53 (1986).
- 232. Hurst, C.J. & Goyke, T. Survival of indigenous enteric viruses during storage of waste water sludge samples. *Can J Microbiol.* 32 (8): 645-8 (1986).

- 233. Strauch, D. Survival of pathogenic micro-organisms and parasites in excreta, manure and sewage sludge. *Rev Sci Tech.* 10 (3): 813-46 (1991).
- 234. Beuret, C. et al. Norwalk-like virus sequences detected by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction in mineral waters imported into or bottled in Switzerland. *J Food Prot. 63* (11): 1576-82 (2000).
- 235. Beuret, C. et al. Norwalk-like virus sequences in mineral waters: one-year monitoring of three brands. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 68 (4): 1925-31 (2002).
- 236. Barre-Sinoussi, F. et al. Resistance of AIDS virus at room temperature. *Lancet.* 2 (8457): 721-2 (1985).
- 237. Larson, E.W. et al. Investigations of survival properties of airborne murine leukemia virus. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 44 (4): 937-41 (1970).
- 238. Adams, D.J. et al. Aerosol stability of infectious and potentially infectious reovirus particles. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 44: 903-908 (1982).
- 239. Hugh-Jones, M. et al. The evidence for the airborne spread of Newcastle disease. *J Hyg* (*Lond*). 71 (2): 325-39 (1973).
- 240. May, K.R. & Druett, H.A. A microthread technique for studying the viability of microbes ina simulated airborne state. *Journal of General Microbiology*. 51: 353 (1968).
- 241. Mohr, A.J. Aerosol stability of reovirus. Ph.D. Dissertation. Utah State University, Logan, UT. (1984).
- 242. Kiselva, L.F. Survival of enteric viruses in water and food stuffs and on various surfaces. *Hyg Sanit.* 33: 439-440 (1968).
- 243. Ansari, S.A. et al. Potential role of hands in the spread of respiratory viral infections: studies with human parainfluenza virus 3 and rhinovirus 14. *J Clin Microbiol.* 29 (10): 2115-9 (1991).
- 244. Abad, F.X. et al. Potential role of fomites in the vehicular transmission of human astroviruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 67 (9): 3904-7 (2001).
- 245. Ansari, S.A. et al. Rotavirus survival on human hands and transfer of infectious virus to animate and nonporous inanimate surfaces. *J Clin Microbiol.* 26 (8): 1513-8 (1988).
- 246. Hendley, J.O. et al. Transmission of rhinovirus colds by self-inoculation. *N Engl J Med.* 288 (26): 1361-4 (1973).
- 247. Reed, S.E. An investigation of the possible transmission of Rhinovirus colds through indirect contact. *J Hyg (Lond)*. 75 (2): 249-58 (1975).
- 248. Sattar, S.A. et al. Survival of human rhinovirus type 14 dried onto nonporous inanimate surfaces: effect of relative humidity and suspending medium. *Can J Microbiol.* 33 (9): 802-6 (1987).
- 249. Parker, E.R. & MacNeal, W.J. Persistence of influenza on the human hand. *J Lab Clin Med.* 29 (121-126) (1944).
- 250. Hall, C.B. et al. Possible transmission by fomites of respiratory syncytial virus. *J Infect Dis. 141* (1): 98-102 (1980).
- 251. Kingston, D. Towards the isolation of respiratory syncytial virus from the environment. *J Appl Bacteriol.* 31: 498-510 (1968).
- 252. Parkinson, A.J. et al. Survival of human parainfluenza viruses in the South Polar environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 46 (4): 901-5 (1983).
- 253. Brady, M.T. et al. Survival and disinfection of parainfluenza viruses on environmental surfaces. *Am J Infect Control. 18* (1): 18-23 (1990).
- 254. Sizun, J. et al. Survival of human coronaviruses 229E and OC43 in suspension and after drying on surfaces: a possible source of hospital-acquired infections. *Journal of Hospital Infection.* 46: 55-60 (2000).
- 255. Pirtle, E.C. & Beran, G.W. Stability of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in the presence of fomites commonly found on farms. *J Am Vet Med Assoc. 208* (3): 390-2 (1996).
- 256. Nauheim, R.C. et al. Prolonged recoverability of desiccated adenovirus type 19 from various surfaces. *Ophthalmology*. 97 (11): 1450-3 (1990).
- 257. Kowalski, R.P. et al. The survival of adenovirus in multidose bottles of topical fluorescein. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 126 (6): 835-6 (1998).
- 258. Selwyn, S. The transmission of bacteria and viruses on gummed paper. *J Hyg (Lond).* 63 (3): 411-6 (1965).

- 259. vanBueren, J. et al. Survival of human immunodeficiency virus in suspension and dried onto surfaces. *J Clin Microbiol.* 32 (2): 571-4 (1994).
- 260. Bardell, D. Hand-to-hand transmission of herpes simplex virus type 1. *Microbios*. 59 (239): 93-100 (1989).
- 261. Turner, R. et al. Shedding and survival of herpes simplex virus from fever blisters. *Pediatrics*. 70: 547-9 (1982).
- 262. Bardell, D. Survival of herpes simplex virus type 1 on some frequently touched objects in the home and public buildings. *Microbios.* 63 (256-257): 145-50 (1990).
- 263. Graham, M.L. et al. Ex vivo protocol for testing virus survival on human Skin experiments with herpesvirus 2. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 62 (11): 4252-4255 (1996).
- 264. Kibrick, S. The persistence of virus in the environment of patients with Kaposi's varicelliform eruption. *J Dis Child.* 98: 609-611 (1959).
- 265. Roden, R.B. et al. Papillomavirus is resistant to desiccation. *J Infect Dis. 176* (4): 1076-9 (1997).
- 266. Bonnez, W. et al. Evaluation of the temperature sensitivity of human pappillomavirus type 11 by using the human xenograft severe combined immunodeficiency mouse model. *J Clin Microbiol.* 32: 1575-1577 (1994).
- 267. Ksiazek, T.G. et al. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine*: Anderson 1-Anderson 12 (2003).
- 268. Drosten, C. et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine*: Drosten2- Drosten10 (2003).
- 269. Poutanen, S.M. et al. Identification of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Canada. *New England Journal of Medicine*: Low1-Low11 (2003).
- 270. Tsang, T. SARS- Environmental Issues. In: WHO Conference on Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, June 17-18, 2003 2003.
- 271. Peiris, J.S.M. et al. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. *Lancet.* 361: 1767-1772 (2003).
- 272. Stein, R. & Connolly, C. Tests Indicate an Animal Link for SARS: Scientists say mammals from China market may be source of human infection. *Washington Post*. Washington, DC, 2003, pp. A25, 5/25/03.
- 273. WHO. First data on stability and resistance of SARS coronavirus compiled by the WHO laboratory network. <u>www.who.int/csr/sars/survival 2003 05 04/en/index.html</u>, World Health Organization, 2003.
- 274. Sobsey, M.D. et al. RT-PCR amplification detects inactivated virusse in water and wastewater. *Water Science Technology.* 38 (12): 91-94 (1998).

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES CITED

Adams, M. H. 1948. Surface inactivation of bacterial viruses and of proteins. J. Gen. Physiol. 31:417-432.

Alvarez AJ, Buttner MP, Stetzenbach LD. (1995) PCR for bioaerosol monitoring: sensitivity and environmental interference. Appl Environ Microbiol., 61(10):3639-44

Bofill-Mas S, Girones R. (2003) Role of the environment in the transmission of JC virus. J Neurovirol. 2003;9 Suppl 1:54-8.

Bofill-Mas S, Clemente-Casares P, Major EO, Curfman B, and R. Girones (2003) Analysis of the excreted JC virus strains and their potential oral transmission. J Neurovirol. 2003 Aug;9(4):498-507.

Bosch A. (1998) Human enteric viruses in the water environment: a minireview. Int Microbiol. 1998 Sep;1(3):191-6.

Burge, H.A. (1995) Bioaerosols, 336 pp., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL

Casson, L.W., Sorber, C.A., Palmer, R.H., Enrico, A., and Gupta, P., "HIV Survivability in Wastewater", <u>Water Environment Research</u>, Vol. 64, No. 3, pp. 213-215, May/June 1992.

Callahan, K.M., D.J. Taylor and M.D. Sobsey (1995) Comparative survival of hepatitis A virus, poliovirus and indicator viruses in geographically diverse seawaters. Water Science and Technology 31(5-6): 189-193

Chung H, Jaykus LA, Sobsey MD. (1996) Detection of human enteric viruses in oysters by in vivo and in vitro amplification of nucleic acids. Appl Environ Microbiol. 62(10):3772-8.

Cole EC, Cook CE. (1998) Characterization of infectious aerosols in health care facilities: an aid to effective engineering controls and preventive strategies. Am J Infect Control. 1998 Aug;26(4):453-64.

Donaldson, T. L., E. F. Boonstra, and J. M. Hammond. 1980. Kinetics of protein denaturation at gas-liquid interfaces. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 74: 441–450.

Douwes J, Thorne P, Pearce N, Heederik D (2003) Bioaerosol health effects and exposure assessment: progress and prospects. Ann Occup Hyg. 2003 Apr;47(3):187-200

Edwards S. (2000) Survival and inactivation of classical swine fever virus. Vet Microbiol., 73(2-3): 175-81

Fu, Z.F., Hampson, D.J., and D.K. Blackmore (1989) Detection and survival of group A rotavirus in a piggery. Vet Rec. 1989 Dec 2;125(23):576-8.

Gerba, C.P. and S.M. Goyal 1992. Methods in Environmental Virology, 392pp. Marcel Dekker, New York

Grabow, W.O.K. (2001) Bacteriophages: Update on application as models for viruses in water. Water SA, 27(2): 251-267

Have, P., 1984. Survival of swine fever virus in liquid manure. FAO/EEC Expert Consultation on Classical Swine Fever, Rome, October 1984.

Hughes, R.W., Gustafson, D.P., 1960. Some factors that may influence hog cholera transmission. Am. J. Vet. Res., 21, 464-471.

IAWPRC Study Group (1991) Bacteriophages as model organisms in water quality control. <u>Wat. Res.</u>, 25:529-545.

James, L. K., and L. G. Augenstein. 1966. Adsorption of enzymes at inter-faces: film formation and the effect on activity. Adv. Enzymol. 28:1–40.

Kadoi K. and B.K. Kadoi (2001) Stability of feline caliciviruses in marine water maintained at different temperatures. New Microbiol., 24(1): 17-21

Kocan RM, Hershberger PK, and N.E. Elder (2001) Survival of the North American strain of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) in filtered seawater and seawater containing ovarian fluid, crude oil and serum-enriched culture medium. Dis Aquat Organ. 2001 Jan 26;44(1):75-8.

Leclerc H, Edberg S, Pierzo V, J.M. Delattre (2000) Bacteriophages as indicators of enteric viruses and public health risk in groundwaters. J Appl Microbiol., 88(1):5-21.

Metcalf TG, Melnick JL, Estes MK. (1995) Environmental virology: from detection of virus in sewage and water by isolation to identification by molecular biology--a trip of over 50 years. Annu Rev Microbiol. 49:461-87.

Naneva G, Vasileva I, Motovski A, and P. Gergov (1983) Stability of Aujezky's disease virus strain MK25 in an aerosol. Vet Med Nauki, 20(9): 25-9.

Ng, S. (2003) Possible role of an animal vector in the SARS outbreak at Amoy Gardens. Lancet, 362: 570-72

Nuanualsuwan S, Cliver DO. (2002) Pretreatment to avoid positive RT-PCR results with inactivated viruses. J Virol Methods. 2002 Jul;104(2):217-25.

Pagnini, P., Rossi, G., de Tomassis, B., di Matteo, R., Martone, F., 1984. Ricerche sulla resistenza del virus della diarrea virale del bovino agli agenti fisici e nelle acque. Acta Med. Veterinaria 30, 277-284.

Panina GF, Civardi A, Cordioli P, Massirio I, Scatozza F, Baldini P, Palmia F.(1992) Survival of hog cholera virus (HCV) in sausage meat products (Italian salami). Int J Food Microbiol., 17(1):19-25

Reynolds KA, Gerba CP, and I.L. Pepper (1996) Detection of infectious enteroviruses by an integrated cell culture-PCR procedure. Appl Environ Microbiol., 62(4):1424-7.

Roberts, M., 1995. Evaluation of optimal size of restriction zones in disease-control with particular reference to classical swine fever. Proceedings of Society for Veterinary Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Reading, 1995, pp. 119-130.

Sattar SA, Springthorpe VS. (1991) Survival and disinfectant inactivation of the human immunodeficiency virus: a critical review. Rev Infect Dis. 1991 May-Jun;13(3):430-47.

Sattar SA, Tetro J, Springthorpe VS, Giulivi A. (2001) Preventing the spread of hepatitis B and C viruses: where are germicides relevant? Am J Infect Control. 29(3): 187-97.

Seto WH, Tsang D, Yung RW, Ching TY, Ng TK, Ho M, Ho LM, Peiris JS; Advisors of Expert SARS group of Hospital Authority. (2003) Effectiveness of precautions against droplets and contact in prevention of nosocomial transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Lancet, 361(9368): 1519-20.

Slavin, G., 1938. The resistance of the swine fever virus to physical agents and chemical disinfectants. J. Comp. Pathol. Therapeutics 51, 213-224.

Soule H, Duc DL, Mallaret MR, Chanzy B, Charvier A, Gratacap-Cavallier B, Morand P, and JM Seigneurin (1998). Virus resistance in a hospital environment: overview of the virucide activity of disinfectants used in liquid form. Ann Biol Clin (Paris), 56(6): 693-703.

Straub TM and D.P. Chandler DP.(2003) Towards a unified system for detecting waterborne pathogens. J Microbiol Methods, 53(2):185-97.

Straub TM, Pepper IL, Gerba CP. (1993) Hazards from pathogenic microorganisms in land-disposed sewage sludge. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol., 132:55-91.

Terpstra, C., 1988. Epizootiology of hog cholera. In: Liess, B. (Ed.), Classical Swine Fever and Related Infections. Martinus Nijhoff, Boston, Dordrecht, Lancaster, pp. 201-216.

Theron J and T.E. Cloete (2002) Emerging waterborne infections: contributing factors, agents, and detection tools. Crit Rev Microbiol., 28(1):1-26

Thompson, SS, M. Flury, M.V. Yates AND W.A. Jusy (1998) Role of the Air-Water-Solid Interface in Bacteriophage Sorption Experiments Appl. Environ. Microbiol, 64(1); 304–309.

Trouwborst, T., J. C. de Jong, and K. C. Winkler. 1972. Mechanism of inactivation in aerosols of bacteriophage T1. J. Gen. Virol., 15: 235–242.

Trouwborst, T., and K. C. Winkler. 1972. Protection against aerosol-inactivation of bacteriophage T1 by peptides and amino acids. J. Gen. Virol. 17:1–11.

Trouwborst, T., and J. C. de Jong. 1973. Interaction of some factors in the mechanism of inactivation of bacteriophage MS2 in aerosols. Appl. Microbiol., 26:252–257.

Trouwborst, T., S. Kuyper, J. C. de Jong, and A. D. Plantinga. 1974. Inactivation of some bacterial and animal viruses by exposure to liquid-air interfaces. J. Gen. Virol., 24:155–165.

Turner C and S.M. Williams (1999b) Laboratory-scale inactivation of African swine fever virus and swine vesicular disease virus in pig slurry. J. Appl. Microbiol. 87(1): 148-57

Turner C, Williams SM, Burton CH, Cumby TR, Wilkinson PJ, Farrent JW. (1999c) Pilot scale thermal treatment of pig slurry for the inactivation of animal virus pathogens. J. Environ. Sci. Health B. 34(6): 989-1007.

Turner C. Williams S M. and P.J. Wilkinson (1999a) Recovery and assay of African swine fever and swine vesicular disease viruses from pig slurry. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 87(3): 447-453.

van Bueren, J., Simpson, R.A., Jacobs, P., and B.D. Cookson (1994) Survival of human immunodeficiency virus in suspension and dried onto surfaces. J Clin Microbiol. 1994 Feb;32(2):571-4.

Wait, D.A. and M.D. Sobsey (2001) Comparative survival of enteric viruses and bacteria in Atlantic Ocean seawater. Water Science & Technology, 43(12): 139–142

Weber DJ, Barbee SL, Sobsey MD, Rutala WA. (1999) The effect of blood on the antiviral activity of sodium hypochlorite, a phenolic, and a quaternary ammonium compound. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol., 20(12): 821-7.

Wilhelm SW, Jeffrey WH, Suttle CA, and D.L. Mitchell DL. (2002) Estimation of biologically damaging UV levels in marine surface waters with DNA and viral dosimeters. Photochem Photobiol., 76(3): 268-73.

Table 1. SOME IMPORTANT FACTORS INFLUENCING VIRUS SURVIVAL IN FAECESAND FECALLY CONTAMINATED ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA

Factor	Effects
Physical	
Heat or thermal effects	Increasing inactivation at higher temperature; pasteurize
Desiccation or drying	Increased inactivation at lower moisture content or relative
	humidity; effects of RH differ between enveloped and non-
	enveloped viruses
Aggregation	Clumping protects viruses from inactivating agents
Asdorption to particles	Adsorption protect viruses from inactivating agents; some specific
or surfaces	chemical surfaces (heavy metals) are virucidal
Encapsulation or	Viruses within membranes or larger particles are protected from
embedding	inactivation
Chemical	
Hydrogen ions; pH	Viruses survive best near neutral pH and worst at pH extremes
Organic matter	Many viruses are stabilized and protected by dissolved, colloidal
	and solid organic matter, including fecal organics and natural
	organic matter (humic materials)
Ammonia	NH ₃ has virucidal activity; manifest at higher pH (>pH 8)
Salts and Ionic Strength	Increased concentrations of salts (e.g., sodium chloride) are antiviral
	for many viruses; some viruses are destabilized and inactivated by
	water lacking stabilizing salts (such as NaCl) ions such as Mg++
Enzymes	Proteases and nucleases contribute to virus inactivation
Biological	
Microbial activity	Biological treatment and microbial activity/metabolism in soils,
	sediments, water; several contributing mechanisms
Proteolytic activity	Proteolytic enzymes inactivate/denature virion proteins
Microbial predation	Engulfment, ingestion, etc. by protozoa, helminths, etc.
Biofilms	Virus adsorption to biofilms can be protective or microbial activity
	in biofilms can cause virus inactivation and degradation

Table 2. SOME IMPORTANT ANIMAL VIRUSES CAPABLE OF INFECTINGHUMANS AND POTENTIALLY PRESENT IN HUMAN AND ANIMAL FAECESAND FECALLY CONTAMINATED WASTES((NOTE: TABLE IS INCOMPLETE; WILL ADD MORE VIRUS FAMILIES)

Virus or Virus Group	Family	Virion Properti es*	Animal Hosts? Y/N (animals)	Disease in Animal Hosts	Human Infection/ Human Disease	Trans- mission Routes	Presence in Faeces
Entero - viruses	Picorna- viridae	NE, icos., 27 nm, ss +RNA	Yes (Bovine, porcine, avian)	Yes in some	Yes for human types maybe for others	Fecal-oral and respiratory	Yes
Calici viruses	Calicivirida e	NE, icos., 35 nm, ss +RNA	Yes (Bovine, porcine, avian)	Yes in some	No, but maybe	Fecal oral and respiratory	Yes
Reovir uses	Reoviridae	NE, icos, 75 nm, dsRNA, 10 seg.	Yes (Wide host range for some)	Some	Some yes, infection/ No illness	Fecal-oral; respiratory	Yes
Rotavi ruses	Reoviridae	NE, icos, 75 nm, dsRNA, 11 seg.	Yes (Found in many animals)	Yes, some	No, but maybe for some	Fecal-oral; possibly respiratory	Yes
Adeno - viruses	Adenovirida e	NE, 70 nm, icos., ds L DNA	Yes (In many animals)	Yes, some	Unknown	Fecal-oral and respir- atory	Yes
Herpes - viruses	Herpesvirid ae	E, ~175 nm ~S/icos., ds L DNA	Yes, (In many animals)	Yes, some	Unknown	Respirator y	Yes
Myxo viruses	Orthomyxo viridae	E, ~100 nm, ~S&F/H, -RNA, 7- 8 seg.	In many animals	Yes, some	Yes, some; No, others	Respirator y	Yes
Pestivi ruses	Filoviridae	E, 800 x 80, H/H, -ss RNA	In many animals	Yes, some	No,	Fecal-oral and respiratory	Yes, some
Coron	Coronavirid	Е, 75-	In many	Yes,	Some Yes	Respirator	Yes

a- viruses	ae	160 nm, S/H,	animals	some	(SARS virus);	y; possibly fecal-oral	
vii uses		+ssRNA			Many No	for some	
Hepati tis A Virus	Picornavirid ae (Hepatoviru s genus)	NE, 27 nm, S/icos., +ssRNA	No, except monkeys ; perhaps other primates	Yes, experime ntally	Yes		Yes
Hepati tis E Virus	Uncertain	NE, 32 nm, S/icos., +ssRNA	Swine, rats, chickens, deer, and others	Yes, but mild effects	Yes	Respirator y and enteric	Yes

E = enveloped, NE = non-enveloped, nm = nanometer, F = filamentous virion shape, S = spherical virion shape, H = helical virion shape or after a "/" = helical nucleocapsid, icos = icosahedral virion shape or after a "/", icosahedral nucleocapsid, ds = double-stranded, ss = single-stranded, L = linear, "+" = plus-sense nucleic acid, "-" = minus-sense nucleic acid, seg. = segmented.

Table 1. SOME IMPORTANT FACTORS INFLUENCING VIRUS SURVIVAL IN FAECESAND FECALLY CONTAMINATED ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA

Factor	Effects
Physical	
Heat or thermal effects	Increasing inactivation at higher temperature; pasteurize
Desiccation or drying	Increased inactivation at lower moisture content or relative
	humidity; effects of RH differ between enveloped and non-
	enveloped viruses
Aggregation	Clumping protects viruses from inactivating agents
Asdorption to particles	Adsorption protect viruses from inactivating agents; some specific
or surfaces	chemical surfaces (heavy metals) are virucidal
Encapsulation or	Viruses within membranes or larger particles are protected from
embedding	inactivation
Chemical	
Hydrogen ions; pH	Viruses survive best near neutral pH and worst at pH extremes
Organic matter	Many viruses are stabilized and protected by dissolved, colloidal
	and solid organic matter, including fecal organics and natural
	organic matter (humic materials)
Ammonia	NH ₃ has virucidal activity; manifest at higher pH (>pH 8)
Salts and Ionic Strength	Increased concentrations of salts (e.g., sodium chloride) are antiviral
	for many viruses; some viruses are destabilized and inactivated by
	water lacking stabilizing salts (such as NaCl) ions such as Mg++
Enzymes	Proteases and nucleases contribute to virus inactivation
Biological	
Microbial activity	Biological treatment and microbial activity/metabolism in soils,
	sediments, water; several contributing mechanisms
Proteolytic activity	Proteolytic enzymes inactivate/denature virion proteins
Microbial predation	Engulfment, ingestion, etc. by protozoa, helminths, etc.
Biofilms	Virus adsorption to biofilms can be protective or microbial activity
	in biofilms can cause virus inactivation and degradation

Virus Rotavirus	Medlum Tepwater	log10 reduction 0.7	Time 64 days	Temp 4°C	pH Conductivity 8.3 start (7.9 end)	Turbidity Other Conditions 10 fold bacterial growth over	Citation Sattar, 1984
			64	2010		time	
Rotavirus	Tapwater	2		20*C	8.3 start (7.9 end)	50 fold bacterial growth over time	Sattar, 1984
Rotavirus NLV	Distilied Water GW and Sediment	1	<1 hr				Sattar, 1984 McAnuty, 1993
Enterovirus	GW and Sediment		4 weeks 4 weeks			anecdotal virus isolation from sediment	McAnuity, 1993
						following repair of leaking septi	•
Coxsackle A9	Stream Water	1.9	30 days	15%C	7.87 (ms)	39 TPC bacteria 1.5E5	Nesser, 2003 Nesser, 2003
Coxsackie A9 Coxsackie A9	Stream Water Brackish water	0.06	30 days 30 days	30°C 15°C	7.8.8 (ms) 8.5 13.9 (ms)	39 TPC bacteria 1.5E5 13.7 TPC bacteria 5.1E4	Nesser, 2003 Nesser, 2003
Coxsackle A9	Brackish water	4,6	30 days	30%C	B.5 13.9 (ms)	13.7 TPC bacteria 5.1E4	Nasser, 2003
Coxsackie A9 Coxsackie A9	Seawater Seawater	2.2	30 days 30 days	16°C 30°C	8.4 55.6 (ms) 8.4 55.6 (ms)	1.7 TPC bacteria 48 1.7 TPC bacteria 48	Nasser, 2003 Nasser, 2003
Polovirus Type 1	Seawater	1.5 - 4.0	4 4	24°C	220,000-240,000	Increasing rate of reduction with	h Fujloka, 1980
					(µs/am)	Increasing fecal contamination	
Pollovirus Type 1	Fresh water	0.7	4	249C	470 (µs/cm)		Fulloka, 1980
Pollovirus Type 1 Pollovirus Type 1	Estuarine water PBS	2.2	4	249C 249C	200,000 (µs/am) 73,000 (µs/am)		Fulloka, 1980 Fulloka, 1980
Coxsackle B4	Marine water	> 2.0	2	240	7 0, 000 (psrcm)		Fulloka, 1980
Coxsackle b4 Echovirus 7	PBS Marine Water	stable > 2.0	2				Fulloka, 1980 Fulloka, 1980
Echovirus 7	PBS	stable	2				Fulloka, 1980
Rotavirus	River Water	< 2	64 days	49C	7.2 start (7.8 end)	3-14 NTU 25-40 fold bacterial growth ove time	r Raphael, 1985
Rotavirus	River Water	2-3	64 days	20°C	7.2 start (7.9 end)	>1000 fold bacterial growth	Raphael, 1985
Rotavirus	Tep Water	<1	64 days	49C	8.4 start (7.8 end)	over time Total CI 0.05 mg/L, Final TPC	
						bactoria 47-68m	
Rotevirus	Tap Water	1 - 1.5	64 days	20°C	8.4 start (7.8 end)	Total CI 0.05 mg/L, Final TPC bacteria upto 375mi	Repheel, 1985
Rotavirus	0.22 µm Filtered River Water	<0.5	64 days	48C	7.6 start (7.8 end)	No detectable TPC bacteria	Rapheel, 1985
Rotevirus	0.22 µm Flitered River Water	0.1 - 0.3	64 days	20ªC	7.6 start (7.8 end)	No detectable TPC bacteria	Rephael, 1985 Martinez-Gamba, 2001
Aujesky's Disease virus Blue eyed Disease virus	enslage enslage	>7	÷		3.93 3.7		
Aujesky's Disease virus Aidean Swige Fewer Virus	Pig Feces Pig Feces		3 - 15 weeks				Martinez Gamba, 2001 Martinez Gamba, 2001
African Swine Fever Virus FMDV	Plo Feces		60 days 21 - 103 days				Straugh 1994
Plg Pavovirus Polovirus Type 1	Pig Feces Mixed Human and Pig Wastes		14 weeks 4 weeks				Mengling, 1999 Ming, 1995
	-						
Hepetitis A virus	Mixed Human and Pig Wastes		5 weeks				Ming, 1995
Polovirus Type 1	Mineral Water	5.25	270 days	23°C ± 3°C	7.0 start	total mineralization at 180°C -	Biziagos, 1988
Hepatitis A Virus	Mineral Water	5.25	330 days	234C ± 340	10.000	110 mg/L total mineralization at 180°C -	
Hepatris A Virus	Mineral Water	0.20	330 days	2310 ± 310	7.0 start	110 mg/L	Biziagos, 1986
Polovirus Type 2	Mineral Water	1.16	1 year	49C	7.0 start	total mineralization at 180°C -	Biziagos, 1988
Hepatitis A Virus	Mineral Water	0.68	1 year	49C	7.0 start	110 mg/L total mineralization at 180°C =	Bizianos, 1988
-						110 mp/L	-
Harpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Salva on Chrome Tap Handle	3.1	2 hours	21 - 2450	7	Saliva Eveporated at - 40 minutes; accompanied by 2	Bardell, 1993
						lag _{to} reduction	
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Saliva on Plastic Doorknob	2.8	2 hours	21 - 24°C	7	Saliva Evaporated at -40	Bardell, 1993
						minutes; accompanied by 2 log _{to} reduction	
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Saliva on Chrome Tap Handle	0.2	2 hours	21 - 24FC	7	Saliva Maintained in Liquid	Bardell, 1993
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Salva on Plastic Doorknob	0.2	2 hours	21 - 2450		State Saliva Maintained in Liquid	Bardell, 1993
renpes ampex virus type t	Salva on Plastic Cooknoo	0.2	2 nuurs	21-200	,	State	Barden, 1985
Harpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Tap Water on Chrome Tap Handle	3.1	2 hours	21 - 24FC	6.5	Water Evaporated at -30	Bardell, 1993
	Handle					minutes; accompanied by 2 log10 reduction	
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Distilled Water on Chrome Tap	3.5	2 hours	21 - 24°C	6.5	Water Evaporated at - 30	Bardell, 1993
	Handle					minutes; accompanied by 2 log10 reduction	
Herpes Simplex Virus	Distilied Water	>3	24 hours	37 -40FC	6.9 - 7.0	<0.1 ppm Cl; <0.1 ppm Br;	Nerukar, 1983
						steady reduction over 24 hours	
Harpes Simplex Virus	Tap Water	3	4 hour	37 -40°C	6.7 - 6.85	4.0 ppm Cl; <0.01 ppm Br; -2.6	Nerukar, 1983
						log ₁₀ reduction within 30 min	
Herpes Simplex Virus Herpes Simplex Virus	SpaWater	3-4	Immediate	37 -40°C	4.8	12 ppm Cl; 16 ppm Br	Nerukar, 1983
Herpes Simplex Virus	Spa Water	3-4	Immediate	37 - 40°C	8.2	12 ppm Cl; 16 ppm Br 20 ppm Cl; 40 ppm Br	Nerukar, 1983
Herpes Simplex Virus	Plastic Surfaces in Hum/d Atmosphere	>4	< 6 hours	37 -40°C			Nerukar, 1983
Norovirus (Genograup II)	Surface Water		4 months	0-450		Epidemiologia evidence	Kukkula, 1999
						suggests survival and mobility In ice covered river	
Colphage F2	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	no observable	11 weeks	490	7.3		Pesaro, 1995
Bovine Parvovirus (Haden strain)	Membrane in PBS Positive Charged Nylon Filter	no observable	6 - 7 months	49C	7.3		Pesaro, 1995
	Membrane In PBS						
Encephalomyoparditis virus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter Membrane in PBS	no observable	6 - 7 weeks	49C	7.3		Pesaro, 1995
Bovine Rotavirus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	no observable	6 - 7 weeks	49C	7.3		Pesaro, 1995
Bovine Adenovirus	Membrane in PBS Positive Charged Nylon Filter	no observable	6.7 marks	49C	7.3		Pesaro, 1995
	Membrane In PBS						
Bovine Herpesvirus 2	Positive Charged Nylon Filter Mombrand In PPS	1.5	7 - 7 weeks	49C	7.3		Pesaro, 1995
Colphage F2	Membrane In PBS Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	9.4 days	15.9 (10.5	8.7	Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
	Membrane In Cattle Liquid			19.9) ⁵ C		factors other than temperature	
Bovine Parvovirus (Haden strain)	Manure Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	8.2 days	15.9 (10.5	. 8.7	eliminated Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
	Membrane In Cattle Liquid		1	19.9) ⁵ C		factors other than temperature	-
Encephalomyoparditis virus	Manure Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	27.5 days	15.9 (10.5	· 8.7	eliminated Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
,	Membrane In Cattle Liquid	1		19.9) ⁵ C		factors other than temperature	
Bovine Rotavirus	Manure Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	20.3 days	15.9 (10.5	. 8.7	eliminated Persistence was longer when	Pesaro 1995
	Membrane In Cattle Liquid	1	and only a	19.9/FC		factors other than temperature	
Bovine Adenovirus	Manure		D A shares	15.9 (10.5	8.7	eliminated Persistence was longer when	Dennin 1005
overte Adenovirus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter Membrane in Cattle Liquid	1	8.4 days	19.9/FC	e./	Persistence was longer when factors other than temperature	Hesaro, 1880
	Manure					eliminated	

And the Specify of Part of Control of Specify of Part of Specify	Virus	Medium	og10 veduction	Time	Tomo	-	Conductivity	Turbidity	Other Conditions	Citation
Deckarge		Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	Time < 2.5 days	15.9 (10.5 -	<u>рн</u>	8.7	Terbiology	Persistence was longer when	
Charge P Partial Control (UP Data Data Data Data Data Data Data Dat		Membrane In Cattle Liquid Manure							eliminated	
Solar Residue Aller of the first o	Colphage F2	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	34.7 days		6.9 (6.6 - 7.1)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Market Specific Allows 1930 Inter agree interplanet. Name Specific Allows Name Specific Allow									eliminated	
Support Partial Part of Part o	Bovine Palvovilus (Haden strain)	Membrane In Cattle Manure	1	78.1 days	19.0)°C	6.9 (6.6 - 7.1)			factors other than temperature	Pesaro, 1995
Market Specifies Name 2 12.00 Market Specifies Auster Specifies Aust	Epoenbalogwonarditis vilue	Positive Charged Mylon Filter	1	197 days	16 2 /11 2	69 (66 - 7 1)			eliminated Parsistence was longer when	Pasara 1995
Bank Result Partial Sense Registric Partial Sense Registri Partial Sense Registri P		Membrane In Cattle Manure	1.1		19.0)FC	0.0 (0.0 111)			factors other than temperature	
Advance Partial Congregations from the second	Bovine Rotavirus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	38 days		6.9 (6.6 - 7.1)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Bins Activation Partial Company by Partial and the second of		Membrane In Cattle Manure			19.0)FC					
Date is granted 2 Performant 2 Performa	Bovine Adenovirus		1	22.9 days		6.9 (6.6 - 7.1)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Munica No. State Munica 19.00 Mater State Munica Association CSPARS F24 Applie State Munica 1 20 mg 7.7.7.0 7.7.7.0 Particle State Munica Pa									eliminated	
Contrage F2 Partice F2 Partin F2 Partin F2 Parti	Bovine Herpesvirus 2	Positive Charged Nylon Filter Membrane in Cattle Manure	1	< 2.5 days		6.9 (6.6 - 7.1)			Persistence was longer when factors other than temperature	Pesaro, 1995
Mussauri Balani Maria 1770 Total Total </td <td>Calabaaa 52</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>22 days</td> <td>127/05-</td> <td>74/20-75</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Desays 1995</td>	Calabaaa 52		1	22 days	127/05-	74/20-75				Desays 1995
Bank Parallal Heden mini Parallal Corpus No. 1 Mar. 1 28 Stag. 27 Stat. 1 / (2, 7, 5) Parallal Heden ministry stagements Parallal Heden Ministry stagemen	conjundge i z	Membrane In Swine Manure	1.1			1.4 (1.4 11.4)			factors other than temperature	
Catagraphysical (s) and Catagraphy of The set of the s	Bovine Parvovirus (Haden strain)	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	28.9 days		7.4 (7.0 - 7.6)			eliminated Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Stagistion you and you		Membrane In Swine Manure		-	17.7)50					
Antire Creater No. Antire	Encephalomycoarditis virus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	104 days		7.4 (7.0 - 7.6)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Martines in Samé Marces 1770 Parties of part transmissions Parties of parties Parties of part 1000000000000000000000000000000000000									eliminated	
Schwarzschulz Annue Compart Nyo France 107.2 dage 107.7 dage	Bovine Rotavirus		1	29.3 days		7.4 (7.0 - 7.6)				Pesaro, 1995
Marthore in Salard Marca 17.70 total a gram fram Segment a 200 min Marge March Mark Attach Segment a 200 min Marge Mark Attach Segment a 200 min Mark <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>elmineted</td> <td></td>									elmineted	
Sin tar (appent) a 2 Partice Cropper V(s - NEU) 1 4 2 5 sign 12 7 5 for 5 - 2 for 5 -	Bovine Adenovirus		1	37.3 days		7.4 (7.0 - 7.6)				Pesaro, 1995
Marbors in Solic Marco 12720 State State Transformute Marco Iss State TransFormute <td>Rovine Hernesvirus 2</td> <td>Positive Charged Nving Filter</td> <td>1</td> <td>× 2.5 days</td> <td>127/95-</td> <td>74/70-76</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>eliminated Persistence was longer when</td> <td>Pasara 1995</td>	Rovine Hernesvirus 2	Positive Charged Nving Filter	1	× 2.5 days	127/95-	74/70-76			eliminated Persistence was longer when	Pasara 1995
Calphage F2 Peaker Changel (yr. Filer Methods in Name Max.) 27.3 space 1.8 (11.5 - 7.8 (8 - 8.7)) (12.77) Peaker areas open view. Peaker (1993) (12.77) Borin Parsolus Desar rent Peaker (1993) 1.4 (5 graph (12.7 (10.7 (factors other than temperature	
Bonne Parcolos (Hodan statu) Papelna Chengad Von Flage 1 4.4 5 dag 1 6.11 N - 7.9 (5 - 6.7) Patiena en songerman Pasen (1952) Stragena myssed file vice Papelna Chengad Von Flage 1 6.11 P - 7.9 (5 - 6.7) Patiena en songerman Pasen (1952) Stragena myssed file vice Papelna Chengad Von Flage 1 6.11 P - 7.9 (5 - 6.7) Patiena en songerman Pasen (1952) Stragena myssed file vice Papelna Chengad Von Flage 1 2.5 Sag 1.6 2 (11 - 7.9 (5 - 6.7) Patiena en songerman Pasen (1952) Stragena Muss Pagelna Chengad Von Flage 1 2.5 Sag (1 - 7.9 (5 - 6.7) Patiena en songerman Pagelna Chengad Von Flage 1 2.5 Sag (1 - 7.9 (5 - 6.7) Pagelna Chengad Von Flage 1 2.5 Sag (1 - 7.9 (5 - 7.9 (5 - 6.7)) Pagelna Chengad Von Flage 1 2.5 Sag (1 - 7.9 (5 - 7.9 (5 - 6.7)) Pagelna Chengad Von Flage Pagelna Chengad Von Flage Pagelna Chengad Von Flage 1 2.5 Sag (1 - 7.9 (5 - 7.	Colphage F2	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	27.3 days		7.9 (6.9 - 8.7)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Banka Parsolus (bisker trans) Pasta (Conget (yr.s. Filer) Pasta (Songet (yr.s. Filer)		Membrane In Mixed Manure			17.7)50					
Answer van wegen werden werden in Maar Darawell, Noo Filar 1 8 18 dags 12 8 0 18 - 7 3 (8 3 - 8.7) Answer van werden werd	Bovine Parvovirus (Haden strain)	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	44.6 days		· 7.9 (6.9 · 8.7)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Marches in Mark Munie 17.70 Receive and the second and									eliminated	
Bartine Rotorius Pratine Oraged Nyon Filar 24.5 days 12.8 million 7.9 k3 = 2.7 million Pratine Oraged Nyon Filar Pratine Orage Nyon Filar Pratine Oraged Nyon Filar Prates Drate Nyon Fi	Encephalomycoarditis virus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter Membrane in Mixed Manure	1	61.8 days		7.9 (6.9 - 8.7)				Pesaro, 1995
Manipera In Mulei Verule 17.7 yrc tables of the seque type in the sequence of the seque	Paulao Dataula e	Basilian Charged Nales Elker	1	24.5 days	14 8 (11 0	70/00.07			eliminated Revelationen was loopprividen	Dorara 1005
Soline Adenoilus Pestis Cange Offen Filer 1 34-8 digs 142 (11.0 - 7 3 (13 - 2.7) Pestigana ass organization Pestigana ass organization Soline Happanitus Manthon unit Manthon unit 1 2.5 digs 2.7 / 0 Pestigana ass organization P	Bovine Rotavirus		1	24.0 Days					factors other than temperature	Pesaro, 1880
Benche Halpspanifus 2 Positive Charged Nyon Filar 1 2.8 days 12.8 (11.6 · 7.9 (8.9 - 8.7) Positive Charged Nyon Filar Passes 1995	Bovine Adenovirus	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	34.9 days	14.B (11.0 ·	7.9 (6.9 - 8.7)			eliminated Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Bonine Hepseninka 2 Pestiss Charge Myon Riaur 1 - 2.5 days 14.6 (11.0 - 7.9 (13 2.7.7) Pestiss conservance Pesson Conse		Membrane In Mixed Manure			17.7) ⁵ C					
Appetitis A virus PES 1 / 2 / 7.4 days 50° 8 are rit (8 5 und) Total Solds - 2 015 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus Septic Terk EPLent (STE) 1 56 5 days 50° 6 area (7 22 and) Total Solds - 2 015 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mag with 60% Daty 1 3.45 days 50° 6 area (7 22 and) Total Solds - 2 015 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mag with 60% Daty 1 4.5 days 50° 6 area (7 22 and) Total Solds - 2 0.15 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mag with 60% 1 4.5 days 20° 6 area (7 15) Total Solds - 2 0.15 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mag with 60% 1 2.1 days 22° 6 area (7 26 m) Total Solds - 2 0.15 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mag with 60% 1 7.1 days 22° 6 area (7 26 m) Total Solds - 2 0.15 mpL Carg, 1995 Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mag with 60% 1 7.1 days 22° 6 area (7 26 m) Carg, 1995 <	Bovine Herpesvirus 2	Positive Charged Nylon Filter	1	< 2.5 days		7.9 (6.9 - 8.7)			Persistence was longer when	Pesaro, 1995
Name No. No. <td></td>										
Appetitis A virus 20% STE Mused with 80% Delry 1 34.5 days STO 6.8 attor (7.64 and) Total Solds - 20.12 mpL Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus Sin Marking Surger Sin Marking Surger Dang, 1995 Sin Marking Surger Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus PES 1 90.1 days, 22/0 Sin more (E.22 and) Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus PES 1 90.1 days, 22/0 Sin more (E.22 and) Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus Septitis A virus Septitis A virus Septitis A virus 20% Sin Mark virus 20% Sin Mark virus 20% Sin Mark virus Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus Septitis A virus Septitis A virus Septitis A virus Septitis A virus Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus Sin Sin Mark virus Sin Virus 1 1.1 days 22% Sin Sin Mark virus Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus Sin Sin Mark virus Sin Virus 1 8.1 days 25% Sin Sin Mark virus Dang, 1995 Appetitis A virus Sin Sin Mark virus Sin Virus 1 8.1 days 25% Sin Sin Mark virus Dang, 1995<	Hepetitis A virus	PBS	1	217.4 days	5ºC	6.9 start (6.65¢	end)			Deng, 1995
Christ Manue Surv. Christ Manue Surv. 1 45.5 days 9°C 6.9 stat (7.56 and) Total Solds - 21.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 1 35.1 days 22°C 6.9 stat (7.56 and) Total Solds - 21.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 1 35.1 days 22°C 6.9 stat (7.6 and) Total Solds - 21.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 200 days 22°C 6.9 stat (7.6 and) Total Solds - 21.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 1 1.1 days 22°C 6.9 stat (7.0 and) Total Solds - 21.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 1 8.1 days 22°C 6.9 stat (7.0 and) Total Solds - 23.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 1 8.1 days 22°C 6.9 stat (7.0 and) Total Solds - 23.07 smpl. Dang. 1995 Appetits A Vius Servit Manue Surv. 1 8.8 days 3°C Servit Manue Manue Surv. Dang. 1995 <td>Hepatitis A virus</td> <td>Septic Tank Effluent (STE)</td> <td>1</td> <td>58.5 days</td> <td>5ºC</td> <td>6.9 start (7.22 c</td> <td>end)</td> <td></td> <td>Total Solids = 2,015 mg/L</td> <td>Deng, 1995</td>	Hepatitis A virus	Septic Tank Effluent (STE)	1	58.5 days	5ºC	6.9 start (7.22 c	end)		Total Solids = 2,015 mg/L	Deng, 1995
Appendix A virus 201/s STE Mined with 60% 1 45.5 days 90 6.9 stat (7,6 & end) Total Solds = 23.37 6 mpl. Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus PS5 1 90.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7,6 & end) Total Solds = 23.37 6 mpl. Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus PS5 1 95.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7,6 end) Total Solds = 23.37 6 mpl. Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus Carlo Manue Surv 1 1.7.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7,6 end) Total Solds = 23.37 6 mpl. Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus 201/s STE Mined with 60% 1 1.8 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7,0 end) Total Solds = 23.37 6 mpl. Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus 201/s STE Mined with 60% 1 8.1 days 29/0 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus 201/s STE Mined with 60% 1 8.1 days 29/0 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus 201/s STE Mined with 60% 1 8.8 days 37/0 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appendix A virus 201/s STE Mined with 60% 1.5 <td>Hepatitis A virus</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>34.6 days</td> <td>5ªC</td> <td>6.9 start (7.04 c</td> <td>end)</td> <td></td> <td>Total Solds = 20,125 mg/L</td> <td>Deng, 1995</td>	Hepatitis A virus		1	34.6 days	5ªC	6.9 start (7.04 c	end)		Total Solds = 20,125 mg/L	Deng, 1995
Appendix A virus PBS 1 B01 days 22°C 6.9 start (8.20 ero) Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus Sopie Tark Efficant (STE) 1 351 days 22°C 6.9 start (7.8 ero) Total Solds - 2.015 mpL Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus Sofk STE Made wirk B0% 1 17.1 days 22°C 6.9 start (7.8 ero) Total Solds - 2.015 mpL Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus Sofk STE Made wirk B0% 1 17.1 days 22°C 6.9 start (7.8 ero) Total Solds - 2.0.75 mpL Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus 20% STE Made wirk B0% 1 8.1 days 22°C 6.9 2 Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus 20% STE Made wirk B0% 1 8.1 days 28°C 6.9 Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus 20% STE Made wirk B0% 1 8.8 days 37°C 6.9 Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus 20% STE Made wirk B0% 1 8.8 days 37°C 6.9 Darg, 1985 Appendix A virus 20% STE Made wir B0% SON Dairy 1.6 & 8 days 37°C 6.9 Darg,	Hepatitis A virus	20% STE Mixed with 80%	1	48.5 days	5ªC	6.9 start (7.58 c	end)		Total Solids = 23,37.5 mg/L	Deng, 1995
Appendix A virus Seption Tank Effuence (STE) 1 251 days 22/0 6 9 stat (7.15) Total Solds - 2.015 mpl/L Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% Dairy 1 21.0 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7.5 stat) Total Solds - 2.015 mpl/L Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% Dairy 1 1.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7.5 and) Total Solds - 2.015 mpl/L Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% 1 1.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7.5 and) Total Solds - 2.015 mpl/L Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% 1 8.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7.5 and) Total Solds - 2.015 mpl/L Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% 1 8.1 days 22/0 6.9 stat (7.5 and) Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% 1 8.8 days 37/0 6.9 Dara, 1995 Appendix A virus 201% STE Mased with S0% 1 8.8 days 37/0 6.9 Dara, 1995 Dara virus X virus	Hanatitie A virue	Swine Manure Slurry PRS	1	90.1 days	2250	6.9 start (6.20 s	end)			Deng 1995
Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% Duty 1 23 0 days 22/0 6.9 start (7.6 end) Total Solds - 20.125 mg/L Darg, 1995 Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% 1 17.1 days 22/0 6.9 start (7.0 end) Total Solds - 20.125 mg/L Darg, 1995 Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% 1 8.1 days 28/0 6.9 Early Darg, 1995 Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% 1 8.1 days 28/0 6.9 Darg, 1995 Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% 1 8.1 days 28/0 6.9 Darg, 1995 Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% 1 8.8 days 37% 6.9 Darg, 1995 Appendix A virus 20% STE Nined with 80% 1 5.8 days 37% 6.9 Darg, 1995 Appendix Type 1 Saptic Tax ET/Lext (STE) 1.5 2.8 days 7% 6.9 Queue, 1975 Policinus Type 1 Saptic Tax ET/Lext (STE) 0.4 2.8 days 7% Queue, 1975 Queue, 1975				-		-	-			-
Appatitis A vilues 20% STE Mused with 80% 1 17.1 days 22/C 6.9 start (7.0 end) Total Solds = 23.97 Sing/L Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% STE Mused with 80% 1 8.3 days 25% 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% STE Mused with 80% 1 8.1 days 25% 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% STE Mused with 80% 1 8.1 days 25% 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% STE Mused with 80% 1 8.1 days 25% 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% STE Mused with 80% 1 8.2 days 37% 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% Sterms Matlum 5 Bandys 7% 6.9 Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% Sterms Matlum 5 Sterms Sterms Dang, 1995 Appatitis A vilues 20% Sterms Matlum 5 Sterms Sterms Dang, 1995 Appatitis Tark EPuext (STE) 0.4 28 days 7% Sterm		20% STE Mixed with 80% Dairy	1	23.0 days	22*0	6.9 start (7.15) 6.9 start (7.6 er	nd)		Total Solds = 2,015 mg/L Total Solds = 20,125 mg/L	Deng, 1995
Swine Menue Sury Bis days 28/0 6.3 Apprite A Vius 20% ST E Mean with 80% Dely 1 8.1 days 28/0 6.9 Deng, 1995 Apprite A Vius 20% ST E Mean with 80% Dely 1 8.1 days 28/0 6.9 Deng, 1995 Apprite A Vius 20% ST E Mean with 80% Dely 1 6.8 days 37% C 6.9 Deng, 1995 Apprite A Vius 20% ST E Mean with 80% Dely 1 6.8 days 37% C 6.9 Deng, 1995 Apprite A Vius 20% ST E Mean with 80% Dely 1 6.8 days 37% C 6.9 Deng, 1995 Apprite A Vius 20% ST E Mean with 80% Dely 1.5 28 days 20% C Grean, 1976 Pollovius Type 1 Seption ank privent (Stip) 0.4 28 days 7% C Oeldows Grean, 1976 Pollovius Type 1 Seption ank privent (Stip) 5.8 dinus as gif Color (Stip) Available vius recovery methods well (Headquote at the Vius recovery methods well (Headquote at theadquote at the Vius r	He patitis A virus	Catile Manure Sluny 20% STE Mixed with 80%	1	17.1 days	22°C	6.9 start (7.0 er	nd)		Total Solids = 23.375 mo/L	Deng. 1995
Christ Manure Survy Christ Manure Survy Dang. 1995 4-partite A vius 20% STE Manure Survy E8 days 374C 6.9 Dang. 1995 4-partite A vius 20% STE Manure Survy E8 days 374C 6.9 Dang. 1995 4-apartite A vius 20% STE Manure Survy E8 days 374C 6.9 Dang. 1995 4-apartite A vius 20% STE Manure Survy Steader Ste		Swine Manure Slury								-
Suring Manues Survy How How How How How How 4 partin A virus 20% STE Market with 80% Daily 1 6 8 days 374C 6.9 Dang, 1995 4 partin A virus 20% STE Market with 80% 1 6 8 days 374C 6.9 Dang, 1995 Solide a Wanues Survy 9 Solide a With 8 20% Gaile A with 8 Common Survy Gaile A with 8 Common Survy Gaile A with 8		Catlle Manure Sluny	1	-						-
Happentin A virus 20% STE Made with 80% Delry 1 6.8 days 37*C 6.9 Dang. 1995 Aspectin A virus 20% STE Made with 80% 1 6.8 days 37*C 6.9 Dang. 1995 Aspectin La Virus 20% STE Made with 80% 1 6.8 days 37*C 6.9 Dang. 1995 Aspectin La Virus Sum Ma Marunus Stury 1.5 28 days 7*C 6.9 Gaven 1976 Aspectin La Kettuert (STE) 1.5 28 days 7*C Gaven 1976 Gaven 1976 Stands Type 1 Call Cuture Growth Medium 5 5 minutes 60°C Mariato virus recorvery Wiley, 1989 Palavirus Type 1 Call Cuture Growth Medium 5 S minutes Boom methods were indequetate to quantifyreabution beyond 0.15 199. Palavirus Type 1 Camposted Sewage 0.8 S minutes Room Clarke, 1981 199. Palavirus Type 1 Mark Solid Waste Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 42°C 5 - 1.7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 5.5 - 1.3 FTU dependent on eachate type Sobsey, 197	Hepatitis A virus		1		26*C		6.9			Deng, 1995
Hepatitis A virus 20% STE Made Wink 40% 1 6.8 days 37% C 6.9 Dang, 1995 Patrotina Type 1 Septic Tank Efficient (STE) 1.5 28 days 20% Genen, 1976 Patrotina Type 1 Septic Tank Efficient (STE) 1.5 28 days 7% Green, 1976 Patrotina Type 1 Call Cuture Growth Meature 5 minutes 60% Wiley, 1889 Patrotina Type 1 Call Cuture Growth Meature 5 Siminutes 60% Wiley, 1889 Patrotina Type 1 Camposted Sewage Solids > 0.15 <1 hour	Hepatitis A virus	20% STE Mixed with 80% Dairy	1	6.8 days	37*C		6.9			Deng, 1995
Palawine Type 1 Septile Tank Effluent (STE) 1.5 22 days 20°C Palawine Type 1 Septile Tank Effluent (STE) 0.4 28 days 740 Green 1976 Palawine Type 1 Call Outure Green Mealum 5 Initiates 60°C Wiley, 1959 Palawine Type 1 Call Outure Green Mealum 5 Initiates 60°C Wiley, 1959 Palawine Type 1 Call Outure Green Mealum 5 30 minutes 50°C Available virus recovery Wiley, 1959 Palawine Type 1 Camposted Sewage Solids > 0.15 <1 hour	Hepetitis A virus	20% STE Mixed with 80%	1	6.8 days	37*C		6.9			Deng, 1995
Palawlar Type 1 Spot Tank Effluent (STE) 0.4 28 data 7/0 Genes Green Spot Status Green Spot Status Spot Status <td>Pollovirus Type 1</td> <td>Septic Tank Effluent (STE)</td> <td></td> <td>28 days</td> <td>2010</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Green, 1976</td>	Pollovirus Type 1	Septic Tank Effluent (STE)		28 days	2010					Green, 1976
Palovirus Type 1 Call Cuture Growth Medium 5 30 minutes 68/C Wiley, 1889 Palovirus Type 1 Composited Sewage Solids > 0.15 < 1 hour	Pollovirus Type 1	Septio Tank Effluent (STE)		28 days						
Poliovinus Rew Sewage 0.9 6 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Poliovinus Rew Sewage 0.9 6 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Poliovinus Rew Sewage 2 45 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Poliovinus Rew Sewage 2 45 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Polivinus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 47C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polivinus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37VC 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polivinus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37VC 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polivinus Type 1 O.05 M Gaycine < 0.5	Pollovirus Type 1	Cell Culture Growth Medlum	5	30 minutes	66°C					Wiley, 1969
Pollovirus Raw Sewage 0.8 5 minutes Imperature Room Temperature Disk 1961 Pollovirus Raw Sewage 2 45 minutes Temperature Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Raw Sewage 2 45 minutes Temperature Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Raw Sewage 2 45 minutes temperature Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates Sold Waste Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 44C Sold Waste Sold Waste Leachates Sold Waste Leachates Sold Waste Sold Waste Leachates Sold Waste Sold Waste Sold Waste Leachates Sold Waste Sold Waste So	Politivirus Type 1	Composted Sewage Solids	> 0.15	< 1 hour	55 - 60°C				methods were inadequate to	wriey, 1969
Pollovirus Rev Sewage 0.8 5 minutes Rom Temperature Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Rev Sewage 2 45 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Rev Sewage 2 45 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Type 1 Motit Solid Waste Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 4*C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 5.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 Motit Solid Waste Leachates 1.7 - 2.4 28 days 20*C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 5.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 Motit Solid Waste Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 3*f C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 5.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 0.05 M Grycine <0.5										
Pollovirus Rew Sewage 2 45 minutes Room Temperature Clarke, 1961 Pollovirus Type 1 Motix Sold Washe Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 44C 51 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on eachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 Motix Sold Washe Leachates 1.7 - 2.4 28 days 20°C 51 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on eachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 Motix Sold Washe Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37°C 51 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on eachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 Motix Sold Washe Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37°C 51 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pollovirus Type 1 0.05 M Grycine < 0.5	Polovirus	Rew Sewage	0.8	6 minutes	Room				ata.	Clarke, 1961
Temperature Polivius Type 1 Motix Solid Washe Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 4*C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polivius Type 1 Motix Solid Washe Leachates 1.7 - 2.4 28 days 20*C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polivius Type 1 Motix Solid Washe Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37*C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polivius Type 1 0.05 M Glycine < 0.5					-	•				
Polivirus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 0.5 - 1.5 27 days 4°C 5.1 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvirus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 1.7 - 2.4 28 days 20°C 5.1 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvirus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37°C 5.1 - 7.5 8580 - 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvirus Type 1 0.65 M Glycine 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 37°C 5.1 - 7.5 NaC/L dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvirus Type 1 0.65 M Glycine < 0.5	Polovirus	Rew Sewage	2	45 minutes						Clarke, 1961
Nac/L Nac/L Nac/L Polvinus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 1.7 - 2.4 28 days 20/C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9905 mg 5.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvinus Type 1 Mack Sold Waste Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 374C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 - 9905 mg 5.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvinus Type 1 0.05 M Glycine < 0.5		March Salla March							decorder and the second	Balance 10
NaC/L NaC/L NaC/L Polvirus Type 1 0.55 kill Waste Leachates 2.2 - 4.4 6 days 374°C 5.1 - 7.5 8550 9080 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on leachate type Sobsey, 1975 Polvirus Type 1 0.55 M Glycine < 1							NaC//L			
Pol/hus Type 1 Mock Sold Washe Leachatus 2.2 + 4.4 6 days 37*C 6.1 - 7.5 8550 - 908 mg 6.5 - 13 FTU dependent on eachate type Sobsey, 1975 Pol/hus Type 1 0.05 M Grydne <0.5	Polivirus Type 1	Mock Solid Waste Leachates	1.7 - 2.4	28 days	20°C	5.1 - 7.5		6.5 - 13 FTU	dependent on leachate type	Sobsey, 1975
Delvfus Type 1 0.05 M Grydne < 0.5 27 days 4/0 Sobrey, 1975 Delvfus Type 1 0.05 M Grydne < 1	Polivirus Type 1	Mock Solid Waste Leachates	2.2 - 4.4	6 days	37°C	5.1 - 7.5	8590 - 9080 mg	6.5 - 13 FTU	dependent on leachate type	Sobsey, 1975
Polytus Type 1 0.05 M Gyrdine < 1 27 days 20°C Sobsey, 1975 Sobsey, 1975 Polytus Type 1 0.05 M Gyrdine 1 - 27 6 days 37°C Sobsey, 1975 Polytus Type 1 Swage Studge Amended Fine 5 - 6 35 days 23°C 92°C Polytus Type 1 Swage Studge Amended Fine 5 - 6 35 days 23°C 92°C Polytus Type 1 Swage Studge Amended Fine 5 - 6 35 days 23.5 - 29°C 5.7 - 7.8 106 - 1360 (µs/cm) 35 day peridistance during Biton, 1984 Polytinus Type 1 Swage Studge Amended Fine 6 < 21 days	Polivirus Type 1	0.05 M Giyalne			490		NEG/L			Sobsey, 1975
Polovirus Type 1 Sewage Sludge Amended Fine 5 - 6 35 days 23.5 - 29°C 5.7 - 7.8 106 - 1360 (usiom) 35 day paraistance during Bitton, 1984 Polovirus Type 1 Sewage Sludge Amended Fine 6 < 21 days 18 - 27°C 5.9 - 6.9 180 - 1200 (usiom) Lear positive detection at 8 Bitton, 1984 Sand Send Sudge Amended Fine 4 < 21 days 19 - 27°C 5.9 - 6.9 180 - 1200 (usiom) days (v. conditions Echovirus Type 1 Sewage Sludge Amended Fine 4 < 21 days 19 - 27°C 5.9 - 6.9 180 - 1200 (usiom) days (v. conditions Echovirus Type 1 Sewage Sludge Amended Fine 4 < 21 days 19 - 27°C 5.9 - 6.9 180 - 1200 (usiom) Lear positive detection at 8 Bitton, 1984	Polivirus Type 1	0.05 M Giyolne	<1	27 days	20°C					Sobsey, 1975
Polovirus Type 1 Sweage Studge Amended Fine 6 < 21 days 18 - 27°C 59 - 59 180 - 1200 (usicm) Lest positive datection et 8 Bitton, 1984 Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand	Polovirus Type 1	Sewage Sludge Amended Fine		35 days		5.7 - 7.8	106 - 1360 (µs/am	0		Bitton, 1984
Sand daysid/y conditions Echowirus Type 1 Sewage Studge Amended Fine 4 < 21 days 19 - 27*C 5.9 - 6.9 180 - 1200 (µstem) Leat positive detection at 8 Bitton, 1984	Polovirus Type 1	Sewage Sludge Amended Fine	6	< 21 days	18 - 27°C	5.9 - 6.9	190 - 1200 (µs/am	0	Last positive detection at 8	Bitton, 1984
		Sand	4	-						Bitton, 1984
								-		

ulturable Enterlo Viruses	Medium h Extended Aeration Sewage Sludge	og10 reduction Stable	up to 38 days	Temp (6ºC	6.4 - 7.4	ConductWay Turbidity Other Condit Assay of In	ions idigenous viruses	Citation Berg, 1988
ulturable Enteric Viruses	Oxidation Ditch Sewage Sludge	Stable	up to 15 days	5ºC	6.3 - 6.9	Assay of It	idigenous viruses	Berg, 1988
uturable EnterloViruses uturable EnterloViruses	Land Disposed Studge Studge Treatment (Activation, Settling, Thickening)	1.5 - 2.3 0.2	7 days 9 hours	20 - 31FC 21 - 31FC		depending o	n molsture loss	Hurst, 1978 Hurst, 1978
Iovirus Type 1	Digested Sludge	2	1 day	28°C				Ward, 1976
Iovirus Type 1	Digested Sludge	2	3 days	20°C				Ward, 1976
Igenous Viruses	Land Disposed Sludge	2	9 days					Farrah, 1981
Ilgenous Viruses Ilovirus Type 1	Sludge agoon		7 months			lagoon froze	n for 6 months	Sattar, 1974
lovirus Type 1	Digested Sludge		≥66 days	4ºC		Completely	ligested with 40	Wellings, 1976
ovirus	Digested Studge		≥66 days	490		Completely	time at 34°C Igested with 40 time at 34°C	Wellings, 1976
hovirus 22/23 complex	Digested Sludge		≥ 65 days	490		Completely	Igested with 40 time at 34°C	Wellings, 1976
IlovirusType 2	Digested Sludge		≅7 days	49C		Completely	Igested with >60 time at 34°C	Wellings, 1976
lovirus Type 3	Digested Sludge		≅7 days	49C		Completely c	lipested with > 60	Wellings, 1976
hovirus 22/23 complex	Digested Sludge		≧8 days	490		Completely a	time at 34°C Igested with >60 time at 34°C	Wellings, 1976
lovirus 3 hovirus Type 7	Fleid Sprayed Sludge Sludge from Diving Bed		a 48 hour			bay recention	time at 34%	Wellings, 1976
novirus Type 7	Sludge from Drying Bed		≥ H3 day	0020			hard all a	Wellings, 1976
tevirus	Feces	2.8	13 days	2010		13 % relative 23 % relative		Moe, 1982
avirus avirus	Feces	4.3	11 days	20°C 20°C 20°C		33 % relative 55 % relative 75% elative	numiety	Moe, 1982 Moe, 1982
evirus	Feces	4.1	9 days	2010		55 % relative	numiaty	wi06, 1962
av rus	Feces	2.9	13 days	20%0			numuny	Mpe, 1982
avirus	Feces	1.9	13 days	20%		92% reative		Moe, 1982
avirus	Feces	2*	33 days	49C		12 - 14% m	tive humidity;	Mpe, 1982
						calculated fr		
	-					Inactivation	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	25 days	49C		33 - 34% w	ative humidity;	Moe, 1982
						Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr		
						Inactivation	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	17 days	49C		51 - 58% w	ative humidity;*	Moe, 1982
						Time for 2 is	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr		
	-					Inactivation	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	33 days	49C		75 - 76% m	ative humidity;	Moe, 1982
						Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr		
						Inactivation	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	59 days	49C		92 - 94% w	stive humidity;*	Moe, 1982
						Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	om reported	
						Inactivation	ates	
wirus	Feces	2*	10 days	20°C		13 - 14% m	ative humidity;	Moo, 1982
			-			Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	om reported	
						Inactivation		
avirus	Feces	2*	6 days	20°C		34 - 34% s	ative humidity; *	Moe, 1982
				-		Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr		
						Inactivation	ates	
whus	Feces	2*	4 days	2040		52 - 59% w	tive humidity; *	Moo, 1982
						Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	om reported	
						Inactivation :	ates ative humidity;*	
avirus	Feces	2*	10 days	20°C		76 - 76% N	stive humidity; *	Moe, 1982
						Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	betrogen mo	
						Inactivation	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	15 days	20°C		93 - 94% w	ative humidity;*	Mpe, 1982
				-		Time for 2 is	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	om reported	
						Inactivation :	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	3 days	20°C		14 - 14% m	stive humidity; *	Moe, 1982
			1			Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	om reported	
						Inactivation	ates	
avirus	Feces	2*	2 days	37°C		35 - 34% w	ative humidity: *	Moe, 1982
						Time for 2 lo	g10 reduction	
						calculated fr	betrogen mo	
						Inactivation	ates	
avirus		2*	1 day	37*C		53 - 59% m	ative humidity; *	Moe, 1982
	Feces						g10 reduction	
	Heces						behogen mo	
	Heces							
		-				Inactivation	ates	
	Faces	2*	2 days	37°C		Inactivation 77 - 7.6% jei	stive humidity: *	Moe, 1982
		2*	2 days	37*C		Inactivation (77 - 76% rei Time for 2 io	ative humidity;* g10 reduction	Mpe, 1982
		2*	2 days	374C		Inactivation (77 - 76% or) Time for 2 io calculated fr	ative hum/dty; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982
avirus	Feces					inactivation 77 - 76% et Time for 2 io calculated f inactivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates	
avirus		2*	2 days 6 days	37°C 37°C		Inactivation 77 - 76% et Time for 2 io calculated f Inactivation 94 - 94% et	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; *	Moe, 1982 Moe, 1982
whus	Feces					incativation 77 - 756 ei Time for 2 is cabulated incativation 84 - 926 ei Time for 2 is	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction	
virus	Feces					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	
whus Whus	Feces Feces					incativation 77 - 756 ei Time for 2 is cabulated incativation 84 - 926 ei Time for 2 is	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Mpe, 1982
wirus	Feces Feces Creek Water Secondary Effuent (pre-					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	
whus whus whus Type 1 (OHAT) whus Type 1 (OHAT)	Feces Feces Greak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicrheation)					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987
wius wius Type I (CHAT) wius Type I (CHAT) wius Type I (CHAT)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- charination) Lake Weer					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987
wius wius Type I (CHAT) wius Type I (CHAT) wius Type I (CHAT)	Feces Feces Orsek Water Secondery Effluent (pre- chierination) Lake Water Groundwater					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987
witus witus witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 1 (CHAT)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary PHLent (pre- chofnetation) Lake Wear Groundwater Chofnetat Tog Weter					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987
avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) avirus Type 2 (CHAT) avirus Type 2 (CHAT)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- charing) Lake Water Chofnated Tap Water Chofnated Tap Water Charlonater					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987
avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) avirus Type 2 (CHAT)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- charing) Lake Water Chofnated Tap Water Chofnated Tap Water Charlonater					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987
avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 2 (strelh We)	Feces Feces Secondary Effuent (pre- chofnation) Lake Weter Groundwater Chofnate Tap Weter Chofnate Tap Weter Chofnate Tap Weter					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987
avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 2 (strelh We)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- charing) Lake Water Chofnated Tap Water Chofnated Tap Water Charlonater					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987
avirus avirus Type 1 (GHAT) avirus Type 2 (strain Wa) avirus Type 2 (strain Wa) avirus Type 2 (strain Wa) avirus Type 2 (strain Wa)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effluent (pre- chiprintal) Charlow Water Charlow tar Charlow tar Charlow tar Secondary Effluent (pre- chiprintal) Charlow tar Secondary Effluent (pre- chiprintal) Lake Water Groundwater					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987
avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) avirus Type 2 (Strain Wa) avirus Type 2 (Strain Wa) avirus Type 2 (Strain Wa)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effluent (pre- chiprintal) Charlow Water Charlow tar Charlow tar Charlow tar Secondary Effluent (pre- chiprintal) Charlow tar Secondary Effluent (pre- chiprintal) Lake Water Groundwater					incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987 Pancorbo, 1987
evinus evinus ovinus Type 1 (CHAT) ovinus Type 2 (strain Wa) ovinus Type 2 (strain Wa) ovinus Type 2 (strain Wa) ovinus Type 2 (strain Wa)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary EffLent (pre- chofned)on / Lake Weter Groundwater Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Tep / Secondary EffLent (pre- chofned) EffLent (pre- chofned) Tep / Chofned Tep / Cho	2*	6 dzys	37*C		incativation 77 - 75% esi Time for 2 calcivate f incativation 94 - 95% esi Time for 2 io calcivato	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987
avirus avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) avirus Type 2 (steller We) avirus Type 2 (steller We) avirus Type 2 (steller We) ovirus Type 2 (steller We) ovirus Type 2 (steller We) ovirus Type 2 (steller We)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicrheation) Lake Water Chichreak Tag Water Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicrheation) Lake Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicrheation) Lake Water Chichreak Tag Water Chichreak Tag Water Chichreak Tag Water	2*	6 days 90 minutes	37×C		incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987 Pancorto, 1987
evirus evirus evirus evirus Type 1 (CHAT) evirus Type 2 (strain Wa) evirus Type 1 (CHAT) evirus Type 1 (CHAT)	Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- phofhation) Lake Water Cholnesk Tap Water Cholnesk Tap Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Cholnesk Tap Water Cholnesk Tap Water Cholnesk Tap Water Secondary Effuent Tap Water Secondary Effuent Tap Water Sectores Sectores Sectores Sectores	2*	6 days 60 minutes 15 - 30 minutes	37*C		incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Stramer, 1984a
evinus evinus evinus Type 1 (CHAT) evinus Type 2 (strain Wa) evinus Type 2 (strain Wa) evinus Type 2 (strain Wa) evinus Type 2 (strain Wa) evinus Type 1 (CHAT)	Feces Feces Creek Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Lake Water Chotheated Tap Water Creek Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Lake Water Chotheated Tap Water Chotheated Tap Water Chotheated Tap Water Chotheated Tap Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Lake Water Chotheated Tap Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- Secondary Effuent (pre- S	2* ≻2 5-6	6 days 90 minutes 15 - 30 minutes	37×C		incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorta, 1987 Pancorta, 1984 Stramer, 1984a Stramer, 1984a
witus witus witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 1 (CHAT) witus Type 2 (chat) witus Type 2 (chat) witus Type 2 (chat) witus Type 2 (chat) witus Type 1 (CHAT)	Feces Feces Feces Creak Water Secondary Effuent (pre- phothration) Lake Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Creak Water Chortneta Top Water Chortneta Top Water Secondary Effuent (pre- phothration) Lake Water Chortneta Top Water Secondary Studge Secondary Studge Secondary Studge Solids	2*	6 days 60 minutes 15 - 30 minutes	37×C		incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Pancorba, 1987 Stramer, 1984a
avirus avirus avirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) ovirus Type 1 (CHAT) avirus Type 2 (steller We) avirus Type 2 (steller We) avirus Type 2 (steller We) ovirus Type 2 (steller We) ovirus Type 2 (steller We) ovirus Type 2 (steller We)	Feces Feces Creek Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Lake Water Chotheated Tap Water Creek Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Lake Water Chotheated Tap Water Chotheated Tap Water Chotheated Tap Water Chotheated Tap Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Lake Water Chotheated Tap Water Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- chicriteation) Secondary Effuent (pre- Secondary Effuent (pre- S	2* ≻2 5-6	6 days 90 minutes 15 - 30 minutes	37×C		incativation 77 - 75% esi Time (57 2) calcivate (incativation 94 - 95% esi Time (57 2) calcivation	ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported ates ative humidity; * g10 reduction om reported	Moe, 1982 Pancorta, 1987 Pancorta, 1984 Stramer, 1984a Stramer, 1984a

ndigenous Viruses	Medium Beef Extract Eluate of Sewage Sludge	log10 reductio 1	n Time 375 days	Temp 70°C	pH	Conduct)	vliy Turbidity	Other Conditions	Citation Hurst, 1986a
Igenous Viruses	Beef Extract Eluate of Sewage	1	198 days	290					Hurst, 1986a
Igenous Viruses	Sludge Beef Extract Eluate of Sewage	1	27 days	23ºC					Hurst, 1986a
genous Viruses	Sludge Beef Extract Eluate of Sewage	1	246 days	-70%					Hurst, 1986a
•	Sludge (concentrated by organic flocoulation)		,-						
Igenous Viruses	Beef Extract Eluate of Sewage Sludge (concentrated by organic flocoulation)	1	132 days	240					Hurst, 1986a
Igenous Viruses	Beef Extract Eluate of Sewage Sludge (concentrated by organic flocoulation)	1	22 days	23ºC					Hurst, 1986a
Igenous Viruses	Sewage Sludge		163	-70°C					Hurst, 1986b
Igenous Viruses	Sewage Sludge	1	180	240					Hurst, 1986b
igenous Viruses patitis A virus	Sewage Sludge Dried Feces	1	26 > 30 days	23%C 25%C				42% relative humidity	Hurst, 1986b McCaustland, 198
lgenous Enteroviruses	Florida Cypress Dome		> 28 days						Wellings, 1975
Iovirus Type 1	Sand Moistened with Oxidation Pond Effluent		91 days						Lefler, 1974
lovirus Type 1 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Dry Sterile Sand Seawater (viruses suspended	2	77 days 1 - 2 days	30 - 33°C	7.85 - 8.9		5.4 - 11		Lefler, 1974 Labelle, 1980
hovirus Type 1 (Farouk)	In dialysis tubing) Seawater (viruses suspended	2	< 1 day		7.85 - 8.9		54-11		Labelle, 1980
lovirus Type 1 (LSc)	In dialysis tubing) Seawater and Sediment	2	2 - 3 days		7.85 - 8.9		5.4 - 11		Labele, 1980
hovirus Type 1 (Farouk)	(viruses suspended in dialysis tubing) Seawater and Sediment	2	4 days	30 - 33°C	7.85 - 8.9		5.4 - 11		Labele, 1980
	(viruses suspended in dialysis tubino)						5.4 - 11		
Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) hovirus Type 1 (Farouk)	Sterile Artificial Seawater Sterile Artificial Seawater	2	2 - 5 days 3 days	30 - 33%C	7.85 - 8.9		5.4 - 11		Labelle, 1980 Labelle, 1980
Iovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sterile Artificial Seawater and	2	≥ 5 days	30 - 33°C 30 - 33°C	7.85 - 8.9		5.4 - 11		Labelle, 1980
hovirus Type 1 (Farouk)	Sediment Sterile ArtHolal Seawater and Sediment	2	4 days	30 - 33°C	7.85 - 8.9		5.4 - 11		Labelle, 1980
Inovirus strain HGP	0.1 M Tils Buffer	2	2 minutes	55ºC	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967
Inovirus strain HGP Iovirus Type 1 (LSc)	0.1 M Tils Buffer 0.1 M Tils Buffer	2	10 minutes 10 minutes	50%C 50%C	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967 Dimmack, 1967
lovirus Type 1 (LSc)	0.1 M Tils Buffer	2	> 5 hours	47°C	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967
Inovirus strain HGP Iovirus Type 1 (LSc)	0.1 M Tils Buffer 0.1 M Tils Buffer	4	< 10 minutes 50 hours	46PC 46PC	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967 Dimmack, 1967
Inovirus strain HGP	0.1 M Tils Buffer	4	3 days	40°C	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967
lovirus Type 1 (LSc) Inovirus strain HGP	0.1 M Tris Buffer 0.1 M Tris Buffer	4	8 days 2 days	40°C	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967 Dimmack, 1967
lovirus Type 1 (LSc)	0.1 M Tils Buffer	6	30 days	3540	7.4 7.6				Dimmack, 1967
Inovirus strain HGP	0.1 M Tris Buffer	3	7 days	30°C	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967
Novirus Type 1 (LSc) Novirus strain HGP	0.1 M Tils Buffer 0.1 M Tils Buffer	2	> 30 days 15 days	30°C 20°C	7.4 - 7.6				Dimmack, 1967 Dimmack, 1967
lovirus Type 1 (LSc)	0.1 M Tils Buffer	2	60 days	20°C	7.4 - 7.8				Dimmack, 1967
olphage T3 olphage T3	Stock Suspension Stock Suspension	0.3	42 72 days	37*C 31*C					Warren, 1969 Warren, 1969
alphage T3	Stock Suspension	0.4	72 days	2190					Warren, 1969
olphage T3 olphage T3	Stock Suspension Stock Suspension	2 stable	72 days 72 days	4%C -20%C 21%C					Warren, 1969 Warren, 1969
olphage T3	Aerosol (In rotating drum)	stable	240 minutes	21ºC				95% RH, Immediate 1 log10 loss then stable	Platt, 1979
olphage T3	Aerosol (In rotating drum)	0.6	240 minutes	2180				80% RH, Immediate 2 log10 loss then 0.5 log10 after 240	Platt, 1980
olphage T3	Aerosol (in rotating drum)	0.5	240 minutes	21°C				minutes 50% RH, immediate 5 log10 loss then 0.5 log10 after 240	Platt, 1981
oliphage T3	Aerosol (In rotating drum)	1.5	240 minutes	21ºC				minutes 30% RH, immediate 6 log10 loss then 1.5 log10 after 240	Platt, 1992
olphage T3	Aerosol (In rotating drum)	> 3.5	240 minutes	21FC				minutes 10% RH, Immediate 4.5 log10 loss then below detection	Piett, 1983
seudorables virus	Salne G (-phenol	2	30 - 130 minutes	48°C		6.9		before 180 minutes Dependent on strain, T99	Platt, 1984
oxsackle B3 (Nancy)	red+phosphate buffer) Tris Buffer w/wo Salt and BSA	0 - 0.2	24 hours	610		7		calculated from Inactivation rates Salt and protein offered	McGeady, 1979
in the second	on Paper, Plastic or Glass							protective effect; higher inactivations under drying conditions	
oxsackle B3 (Nancy)	Tris Buffer wiwo Sait and BSA on Paper, Plastic or Glass	0 - 1.B	24 hours	23°C		7		Salt and protein offered protective effect; higher inactivations under drying conditions	McGeady, 1979
oxsackle B3 (Nancy)	Tris Buffer wiwo Sait and BSA on Paper, Plastic or Glass	0.3 - 6	24 hours	37×C		7		Salt and protein offered protective effect; higher inactivations under drying conditions	McGeady, 1979
hinovirus Type 2 strain HGP	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	0	24 hours	6ºC		7		wet or drying conditions	Reagan, 1981
Inovirus Type 14 strain 1059	Tris Buffer w/wo Sait and BSA	0 - 0.1	24 hours	6ºC		7		wet or drying conditions	Reagan, 1981
inovirus Type 2 strain HGP	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	0.1 - 0.3	24 hours	23°C		7		underwet conditions	Reagan, 1981
Inovirus Type 14 strain 1059	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	0.2 - 0.3	24 hours	23°C		7		underwet conditions	Reagen, 1981
Inovirus Type 2 strain HGP	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	0.5 - 1.0	24 hours	37°C		7		underwet conditions	Reagan, 1981
Inovirus Type 14 strain 1059	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	0.3 - 0.6	24 hours	37×C		7		under wet conditions	Reagan, 1981
Intwirus Type 2 strain HGP	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	0.2 - 0.5	24 hours	23°C		7		under diying conditions	Reagan, 1981
Inovirus Type 14 strain 1059	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	1.3 - 1.7	24 hours	23*C		7		under drying conditions	Reagan, 1981
Inovirus Type 2 strain HGP	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	2.0 - 3.2	24 hours	37*C		7		under drying conditions, slight protective effect from sait and	Reagen, 1981

Second second	/i/us		og10 reduction		Temp	pH Conductivity Turbidity	Other Conditions	Citation
Barbar Market (1997) Status Answer Grantwork BID Status Sta	Rhinovirus Type 14 strain 1059	Tris Buffer w/wo Sa't and BSA	2.6 - 4.5	24 hours	37*C	7	under drying conditions, slight protective effect from sait and	Reagen, 1981
August Jir B D Quester, August Jir B D								
nerical a part of constraints of constraints of part of constraints of part of constraints of co	denovirus Type 19 (Kowaiski strak		2.25	21 days	25°C			Kowalski, 1998
rad Finger Line (1995) Find Finger Line (1995) Second and Second	denovirus Type 28 (Cray strain)	Toplcal Fluoresceln Ophthalmic	2.25	28 days	26°C			Kowalski, 1998
Barter Hart Halfan Decement 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985 yas Brown Let 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Decement 1985 yas Brown Let 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Decement 1985 yas Brown Let 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar Schwart 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985 Sagar 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985 Decement 1985			2.4	a 1 heur				Demessioneki 1000
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	erpes omplex viros i (wonde)			< r naur				
ya Braz Nuri MARA Arten Star Dynamics Arten Star	erpes Simplex virus 1 (McRae)	Proparacalne Hydrochloride	2-4	< 1 hour				Romanowski, 1999
Butter Butter<	erpes Simplex virus 1 (McRae)	Artificial Tears Ophthalmic	3	5 days			Inactivation leveled off after 5	Romanowski, 1999
Sample biolog Single b							days, recovery to at least 7	
Sate Statulo Sing Statuto Sing Statuto<	seudorables virus	PBS	1	10 days	26°C			Schoenbaum, 1991
Additional	seudorzbies virus	Salne G	1	10 days	26°C			Schoenbaum, 1991
Substitution State bits State		Swine Nasal Washings			2510			Schoenbaum, 1991
Addition Build part Name 4 Zange Build part Name 4 Zange Build part Name 5 Build part Name 4 Zange Build part Name 5 Build part Name 5 Build part Name 4 Jane Build part Name Build part Name Constraint Build part Name 5 Build part Name Constraint Build part Name Constraint Build part Name Constraint Build part Name Constraint Source Name So	seudorzbies virus	Swine Sawa Swine Lidna		4 days	25%			
Addition Bind B2 1.3 1.1 <t< td=""><td>seudorzbies virus</td><td>Swine Lagoon Water</td><td></td><td>2 days</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Schoenbaum, 1991</td></t<>	seudorzbies virus	Swine Lagoon Water		2 days				Schoenbaum, 1991
Ale of the status Consideration Consideration Exceeded and the status Description Description <thd< td=""><td>seudorables virus</td><td>Swine Pit Effluent</td><td></td><td></td><td>25%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thd<>	seudorables virus	Swine Pit Effluent			25%			
Business Hull Par. 2 2 Apply 200 In party of TrageTourness Development (1) Development (1) Business Hull Constraint 7 1 - 5 dys 200 Development (1) Development (1) Development (1) Business Hull Projective (1) 7 2 - 7 dys 200 Development (1) D					25°C		very rapid	Schoenbaum, 1991
Substitution Serie 2 7 days 250 Interface (a) registration on the secondary, 197 Address Inta Contrast 7 1 - days 250 Secondary and the secondary, 197 Address Inta Contrast, 197 1 - days 250 Secondary and the secondary, 197 Address Inta Type System 7 2 - 7 days 250 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Type System 7 2 - 7 days 250 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Secondary Interface Secondary, 197 1 - 2 days 250 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Secondary, 197 1 - 2 days 250 Secondary, 197 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Secondary, 197 1 - 2 days 250 Secondary, 197 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Net or Secondary, 197 1 - 2 days 250 Secondary, 197 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Net or Secondary, 197 2 - 2 days 250 Secondary, 197 Secondary, 197 Address Inta Net or Secondary, 197 2 - 2 days 250		Well Water						
Substation Concept 7 1 - 1 days 250 Suppring a fluer game Streambar, 197 Audorities Max Vity, Publik 7 2 - 7 days 260 Superling a fluer game Streambar, 197 Audorities Max Vity, Publik 7 2 - 7 days 260 Streambar, 197 Audorities Max Vity, Publik 7 2 - 7 days 260 Streambar, 197 Audorities Max Streambar, 197 - 1 day 260 Streambar, 197 North East 191 and Streambar, 197 Audorities Max Streambar, 197 - 1 day 260 Streambar, 197 North East 191 and Streambar, 197 Audorities Max Streambar, 197 - 1 - 2 days 260 Streambar, 197 North East 191 and Streambar, 197 Audorities Max North East 197 - 1 - 2 days 260 Streambar, 197 Streambar, 197 North East 197 Streambar, 197	seudorables virus			7 days	26*C		In 2-4 days in saliva or nasal	Schoenbaum, 1991
Butchets Internet Property prevent 7 3 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greaters forwards.n. (197) Audorities Internet Vim, Numer 7 2 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greaters forwards.n. (197) Audorities Internet Description of light and greaters forwards.n. (197) 2 days 250 Description of light and greaters forwards.n. (197) Audorities Internet Ministra Great 7 1 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greater greaters forwards.n. (197) Audorities Internet Ministra Great 7 1 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greater greaters Somethan (197) Audorities Internet Ministra Great 7 1 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greater greater Somethan (197) Audorities Internet Ministra Great 7 1 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greaters Somethan (197) Audorities Internet Ministra Great 7 1 - 7 days 250 Description of light and greaters Somethan (197) Audorities Internet Somethan (197) Ministra Great 7 1 - 7 days <td>seudorables virus</td> <td>Concrete</td> <td>7</td> <td>1 - 4 days</td> <td>25°C</td> <td></td> <td>Depending on Diluent, greater</td> <td>Schoonbaum, 1991</td>	seudorables virus	Concrete	7	1 - 4 days	25°C		Depending on Diluent, greater	Schoonbaum, 1991
Autor Start T 2.7 Exp 250 Build in Start Description Autor Start Control Cont 7 2.7 Exp 250 Scarting in the day and interval an							survival In saliva	
Auberts viru Wyr, Ratar 7 2.7 drys 250 Bayenting virul waters forwaten. If an experiment of a state o	seudorables virus		7				survival In saline G	
Aberders Hut Deck in Com 7 4 day 250 Decker in Com Decker in Com <thdecker c<="" in="" td=""><td>seudorzbles virus</td><td>Vinyi Rubber</td><td>7</td><td>2 - 7 days</td><td>25°C</td><td></td><td>Depending on Diluent, greatest</td><td>Schoenbaum, 1991</td></thdecker>	seudorzbles virus	Vinyi Rubber	7	2 - 7 days	25°C		Depending on Diluent, greatest	Schoenbaum, 1991
Exponents virus Lam Still 7 2,7 styp 2500 Desprings of Linux general Streambar, 1951 autorities virus exponents virus Great Gass 7 1-2 styp 2500 Autorities virus Desprings of Linux general Streambar, 1951 autorities virus exponents virus Mines Can 1 7 styp 2500 Autorities virus autorities virus Desprings of Linux general Streambar, 1951 autorities virus exponents virus Names Can 1 7 styp 2500 Autorities virus autorities virus Desprings of Linux general Streambar, 1951 autorities virus exponents virus Names Can 1 7 styp 2500 Desprings of Linux general Streambar, 1951 autorities virus Despring of Linux general	seudorables virus	Benim Cloth	7	e 1 dev	2580		survival in saline G	Schoenbaum 1991
Audoration Vir.el Gran Grass 7 1 - 2 days 2870 Head State Vir.el (and Vir.el (and Vir.el (seudorables virus seudorables virus						Depending on Diluent, avertest	Schoenbaum, 1991
Suborbis VI.4 Given Creat 7 1 - 2 style 2500 Departing on Their parts Stream Streamstam, 197 Audorities VI.4 Wrase Can 1 7 days 2500 Audorities VI.4 Parts Can 7 1 - 3 days 2500 Audorities VI.4 Parts Can 7 1 - 3 days 2500 Audorities VI.4 Audorities	-						survival in saliva, poprest in	
Audoráties Irika Priva Com 1 7 dys 25°C Initial and the site of th							nasal washings	
Ausdorbits Mus Whee Con 1 7 days 250 In sale G, 7 gg(10 (betridge) or name Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Merez Fasi 7 1-3 days 2500 Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Mere not Bone Mare 7 2->> days 2500 Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Afran 7 1-2 days 2500 Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Mere 7 2->> days 2500 Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Mere 7 1-2 days 2500 Dispecting and (198) Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Street 7 1-2 days 2500 Dispecting and (198) Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Street 7 1-2 days 2500 Dispecting and (198) Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Street 7 1-2 days 2500 Dispecting and (198) Dissessen. (197) Ausdorbits Mus Street Street Street Dissessen. (197) Dissessen. (197) Dissessen. (198)	seudorables virus	Gieen Grass	1	1 - 2 days	26°C			Schoenbaum, 1991
In 2-4 opting Subject Yeas In 2-4 opting Subject Yeas audorities Vica Nar and Bone Maai 7 1-3 days 200 Boyke Integet Subject Yeas Boyke Integet Yeas	seudorables virus	Whole Corn	1	7 days	25°C		In saline G;7 log10 inactivation	Schoenbaum, 1991
Aubust Faci Privat Faci 7 1.3 style 250 Departing splicar, getter autoritation Departing splicar, getter autoritation <thdeparting getter<br="" splicar,="">autoritation</thdeparting>							In 2-4 days in saliva or nasal	
Audorbies Hun Anter and Bone Marie 7 2 - 54 for autorbies Hun Bone Exclusion Atter autorbies Hun 7 2 - 54 for autorbies Hun Bone Exclusion Bonexclusion Bone Exclusion Bonex		Deleted Freed		1.2.			washings	Reheard and
Mate and Box Mas 7 2 - 5 4 Style 2000 Deparent of Dilux 1 pasts Store Handling Store Handling <td>seudorzbies virus</td> <td>Peleted Heed</td> <td></td> <td>1 - 3 days</td> <td>25*0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Schoenbaum, 1991</td>	seudorzbies virus	Peleted Heed		1 - 3 days	25*0			Schoenbaum, 1991
Autor T Alot T Alot T Alot T Autor Status (International Stream Stream 7 3 - 6ays Stream Depending on User years Stream <	seudorzbles virus	Meat and Bone Meal	7	2 - >4 days	26 ³ C		Depending on Diluent, greatest	Schoenbaum, 1991
Burkles Hus			1.1					
Burkles Hus	seudorables virus	Alfafa	7	< 1 day	25°C			Schoenbaum, 1991
Buddebies virus Wood 7 1 - 2 days 260 Buddebies virus Somewham, 1991 Somewham, 1	seudorables virus	Strew	7	3 - 4 days	25°C		Depending on Diluent, greater	Schoenbaum, 1991
Schortback Visa Wood 7 1 - 2 bits 2500 Departing on Tilewit, grants Structure, grant Structure, grant								
automatic Sinth Fass 7 1 - 2 days 250 Schoolstan, 198 Schoolstan, 198 VILAUH LV00 30 minuse 500 1 - 2 days 250 1 - 2 days 2 - 2 - 2 day 0 - 2 - 2 days 0 - 2 - 2 days 1 - 2 days 2 - 2 - 2 days 2 - 2 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 2 days	seudorables virus	Wood	7	1 - 2 days	25%		Depending on Diluent, greater	Schoonbaum, 1991
VI (LAVERT, VIII) Still minutes Still minutes <thstill< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0010</td><td></td><td>survival in saliva</td><td></td></thstill<>					0010		survival in saliva	
VI (LAVERT, VIII) Still minutes Still minutes <thstill< td=""><td></td><td>Swine Feces</td><td></td><td></td><td>2010</td><td></td><td></td><td>Schoenbaum, 1991</td></thstill<>		Swine Feces			2010			Schoenbaum, 1991
U (LV/H1) 30 minute 800 Hends, 1983 ULX/H11/VIII) Salva (maintaled web or direct) associations, 1983 Only sign decease effer. 7 Barchines, 1983 ULX/H11/VIII) Solva (maintaled web or direct) associations, 1983 Only sign decease effer. 7 Barchines, 1983 ULX/H11/VIII) Solva (maintale salva, 1983 F15 days 31-27C Druy sign decease effer. 7 Barchines, 1983 ULX/H11/VIII) Solva maintale salva, 7 11-11 f5 days 31-27C Barchines, 1983 Barchines, 1983 ULX/H11/VIII) Solva maintale salva, 7 11-11 f5 days 31-27C Barchines, 1983 Barchines, 1983 ULX/H11/VIIII) Solva maintale salva, 7 11-11 f5 days 31-27C 7.1 Barchines, 1983 ULX/H11/VIIII Solva maintale salva, 7 11-11 f5 days 31-27C 7.1 Tpitts 1991 U-1 Prosphate Suffer wink 12 f6 F8S 0.3 24 fours 27C 7.1 Tpitts 1991 U-1 Prosphate Suffer wink 12 f6 F8S 0.3 2 days 24C 7.1 Tpitts 1991 U-1 Prosphate Suffer wink 12 f6 F8S 0.3 2 days	V (LAV/HTLV-III)			30 minutes	6010			Elnarsson, 1989
VI (LW/HI) Salva (Individual vet or ofice) salva 4 days 20 - 22/C Ony algny docuses after / Barro Sinces, 19 devs Barro Sinces, 19 devs VI (LW/HI) SSNs, man beams, 2 > 11 - 15 days, 23 - 27/C Barro Sinces, 19 devs Totts 19 devs Tottts 19 devs Devs Devs	IIV (LAV/HTLV-III)			30 minutes	56°C			Harada, 1985
doub doub doub V(14VHT[V+III) 69% numes passes 15 days 23 - 27/C Restold, 1985 V(14VHT[V+III] 59% numes passes 7 11 - 15 days 35 - 37/C Restold, 1985 V(14VHT[V+III) 59% numes passes 7 11 - 15 days 35 - 37/C Restold, 1985 V(14VHT[V+III) 59% numes passes 7 11 - 15 days 35 - 37/C 7.1 Restold, 1985 V(1 Phosphate Sufer with 2% FBS 0.3 30 - 35 hours 20 - 22/C 7.1 Total pH depandent Tpits 1991 V(1 Phosphate Sufer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monter -20/C 7.1 rate pH depandent Tpits 1991 V(1 Phosphate Sufer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monter -20/C 7.1 Tpits 1991 V(1 Phosphate Sufer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monter -20/C 7.1 Tpits 1991 Ior/Lei Phosphate Sufer with 2% FBS 0.3 2 + 20/C 5 (secord Cepanding on soil type Sobey, 1980 Ior/Lei Type 1 (LSc)		Salva (malataland wat as delad)	atabla				Calcal and designed after 7	Barre Sinousse, 19
VI. (LVM-INUL) 50% numer pasme > 15 days 23 - 270 Restor. 1985 VI. (LVM-INUL) 50% numer pasme 7 11 - 15 days 23 - 370 Restor. 1985 VI. LVM-INUL) 50% numer pasme 7 11 - 15 days 24 - 270 Restor. 1985 VI. LVM-INUL) 50% numer pasme 7 1 - 3 days 24 - 270 Restor. 1985 VI. LVM-INUL) 50% numer pasme 7 1 - 3 days 31 - 3 days 31 - 3 days Restor. 1985 VI-1 Phosphate Buller with 2 % F85 0.3 30 - 35 hours 20 - 22/0 7.1 rest pH dependent Tpsts. 1991 VI-1 Phosphate Buller with 2 % F85 0.1 - 0.2 6 months -29/0 7.1 rest pH dependent Tpsts. 1991 VI-1 Phosphate Buller with 2 % F85 21 - 167 days 20/0 5.8 except Depending on soil type Sobesy, 1990 Total Type 3 (LSc) Non-starle Soil Superations, 5% 2 1 8 - 167 days 20/0 5.8 except Depending on soil type Sobesy, 1990 Total Type 3 (LSc) Non-starle Soil Superati	iv (LAV/HI EV-III)	Salva (mantaned wet or bried)	Stati W	4 uays	20.22.0			Barre-Sinousse, Tel
ULLAVIENCY Died Presention of Hig cals 7 1 - 3 store 2 - 27/C Escala (x, 189 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 87 PEPS 0.3 2 - 2010 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 0.3 30 - 35 hours 20 - 221C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 0.3 9 days 4/C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 0.1 - 0.2 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS stable 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS stable 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS stable 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 2 18 - 167 days 201C 6 for excet Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 V1-1 Wrol Serie Soll Suspansions, 51%	IV (LAV/HTLV-III)	50% human plasma		> 15 days	23 - 27°C			Resnick, 1986
ULLAVIENCY Died Presention of Hig cals 7 1 - 3 store 2 - 27/C Escala (x, 189 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 87 PEPS 0.3 2 - 2010 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 0.3 30 - 35 hours 20 - 221C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 0.3 9 days 4/C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 0.1 - 0.2 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS stable 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS stable 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS stable 6 months -207C 7.1 Tipita, 1891 V1-1 Prosphase Burk with 21% PEPS 2 18 - 167 days 201C 6 for excet Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 V1-1 Wrol Serie Soll Suspansions, 51%	IV (LAV/HTLV-III)	50% human plasma	7	11 - 15 days	36 - 37°C			
V-1 Prosphate Buffer who 2 % FBS 0.3 24 hours 37*C 7.1 Tpsts. 1991 V-1 Prosphate Buffer who 2 % FBS 0.3 30 - 35 hours 20 - 22/C 7.1 rets pH dependent Tpsts. 1991 V-1 Prosphate Buffer who 2 % FBS 0.1 - 0.2 8 months 20 - 27/C 7.1 rets pH dependent Tpsts. 1991 V-1 Prosphate Buffer who 2 % FBS 0.1 - 0.2 8 months -7/F 7.1 rets pH dependent Tpsts. 1991 V-1 Prosphate Buffer who 2 % FBS 0.1 - 0.2 8 months -7/FC 7.1 Tpsts. 1991 Ion/Lis Type 1 (LSs) Starle Sol Suspensions, 8% 2 18 - 167 dtys 20°C 6.5 except Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 Ion/Lis Type 1 (LSs) Non-starle Sol Suspensions, 8% 2 8 - 257 dtys 20°C 6.5 except Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 Ion/Lis Type 1 (LSc) Non-starle Sol Suspensions, 5% 2 8 - 100 dtys 20°C 6.5 except Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 Ion/Lis Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 455 dtys 20°C 6.5 except Depend		Died Preparation of US calls		1 - 3 dava	24 - 2750			Respick, 1988
V-1 Prosphase Buffer with 2 % PBS 0.3 30 - 35 hours 20 - 22/C 7.1 Total 1991 V-1 Phosphase Buffer with 2 % PBS 0.3 9 days 4/C 7.1 rate pH dependent Tjota, 1991 V-1 Phosphase Buffer with 2 % PBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 months -20/C 7.1 rate pH dependent Tjota, 1991 V-1 Phosphase Buffer with 2 % PBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 months -20/C 7.1 Tjota, 1991 V-1 Phosphase Buffer with 2 % PBS stable 6 months -20/C 7.1 Tjota, 1991 V-1 Phosphase Buffer with 2 % PBS stable 6 months -75/C 7.1 Tjota, 1991 Isofue Type 1 (LSc) Starte Sol Supersions, B% 2 18 - 167 days 20/C 6 Starcept Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 oollus Type 3 (Dearling) Starte Sol Supersions, B% 2 8 - 267 days 20/C 6 Starcept Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 oollus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Sol Supersions, S% 2 8 - 100 days 20/C	IV-1	Phosphate Buffer with 2 % FBS	0.3	24 hours	3710	7.1		Tjotta, 1991
V-1 Prosphere Buffer with 2% FBS 0.3 9 days 4/C 7.1 reas pH dependent Tipite, 1991 V-1 Prosphere Buffer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monthe -20°C 7.1 Tipite, 1991 V-1 Prosphere Buffer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monthe -20°C 7.1 Tipite, 1991 V-1 Prosphere Buffer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monthe -20°C 7.1 Tipite, 1991 V-1 Prosphere Buffer with 2% FBS 0.1 - 0.2 6 monthe -20°C 7.1 Tipite, 1991 Void Service Soll Supervisions, Si% 2 18 - 167 days 20°C 6 servept Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Ior/Lue Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soll Supervisions, Si% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6 Servept Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Ior/Lue Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 -455 days 20°C 6 Servept Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Ior/Lue Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1								
International Suffer With 2 % FBS 0.1 - 0.2 Emonths -20°C 7.1 Total V-1 Phosphate Buffer with 2 % FBS 0.1 - 0.2 Emonths -20°C 7.1 Total	IV-1	Phosphate Buffer with 2 % FBS	0.3	30 - 35 hours	20 - 22ºC	7.1		Tjotta, 1991
V-1 Phosphata Buffar with 2 % FBS stable 6 months -75°C 7.1 Totin, 1991 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Starle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 18 - 167 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Non-stelle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 9 - 80 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Non-stelle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Type 3 (Dearling) Sterile Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Type 3 (Dearling) Non-stelle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 455 days 1*C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1*C Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1*C Anearob	IV-1	Phosphate Buffer with 2 % FBS	0.3	9 days	49C	7.1	rate pH dependent	Tjotta, 1991
V-1 Phosphata Buffar with 2 % FBS stable 6 months -75°C 7.1 Totin, 1991 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Starle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 18 - 167 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Non-stelle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 9 - 80 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Non-stelle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Type 3 (Dearling) Sterile Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Type 3 (Dearling) Non-stelle Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6.5; except Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 455 days 1*C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1*C Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1*C Anearob				-				· ·
Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Skrife Soll Suspensions, 6% 2 18 - 167 days 20°C 6 5, except Organic Muck, 5, 5) Depending on soil type Sobsey; 1980 Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Non-stelle Soil Suspensions, 21 9 - 80 days 20°C 6 5, except Organic Muck, 5, 5) Depending on soil type Sobsey; 1980 Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Non-stelle Soil Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6 5, except Organic Muck, 5, 5) Depending on soil type Sobsey; 1980 Iorinus Type 3 (Dearling) Starler Soil Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6 5, except Organic Muck, 5, 5) Depending on soil type Sobsey; 1980 Iorinus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-stelle Soil Suspensions, 6% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6 5, except Organic Muck, 5, 5) Depending on soil type Sobsey; 1980 Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 455 days 14°C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 152 14°C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 152 14°C Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Iorinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 152 14°C Anerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988	IV-1	Phosphate Butter with 2 % FBS	0.1 - 0.2	6 months	-20*0	7.1		i jotta, 1991
write organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Non-starle Soll Suspensions, 8% 2 9 - 80 days 20% 6.5, except Organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Skriet Soll Suspensions, 8% 2 8 - 267 days 20% 6.5, except Organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soll Suspensions, 8% 2 9 - 110 days 20% 6.5, except Organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soll 1 455 days 1 *C Aerobic; starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1 *C Aerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1 *C Aerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 1 323 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 323 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) </td <td>IV-1</td> <td>Phosphate Buffer with 2 % FBS</td> <td>stable</td> <td>6 months</td> <td>-75°C</td> <td>7.1</td> <td></td> <td>Tjotta, 1991</td>	IV-1	Phosphate Buffer with 2 % FBS	stable	6 months	-75°C	7.1		Tjotta, 1991
write organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Non-starle Soll Suspensions, 8% 2 9 - 80 days 20% 6.5, except Organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Skriet Soll Suspensions, 8% 2 8 - 267 days 20% 6.5, except Organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soll Suspensions, 8% 2 9 - 110 days 20% 6.5, except Organic Muck, 8.5) Depending on sol type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soll 1 455 days 1 *C Aerobic; starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1 *C Aerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1 *C Aerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 152 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 1 323 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soll 1 323 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 covirus Type 1 (LSc) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>							-	
Iovinus Type 1 (LSc) Non-starle Soll Suspensions, SN wrival 2 9 + 80 days 20ºC 6.5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 covinus Type 3 (Dearling) Starle Soll Suspensions, SN wrival 2 8 - 20°C 6.5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 covinus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soil Suspensions, SN wrival 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6.5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 covinus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starle Soil Suspensions, SN wrival 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6.5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 covinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 455 days value, actual observance to 75 days) 1*C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst; 1988 lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 188 1*C Anerobic; non-starile Hurst; 1988 lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 88 1*C Anerobic; non-starile Hurst; 1988 lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soil 1 1 1*C Aner	blovhus Type 1 (LSc)		2	18 - 167 days	20°C		Depending on soil type	Sobsey, 1980
Bit wrivel Organic Muck, 5.5) covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Starlie Soll Suspensions, B% 2 8 - 257 days 20°C 6 (5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starlie Soll Suspensions, B% 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6 (5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 covirus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starlie Soil Suspensions, B% 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6 (5, except Organic Muck, 5.5) Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 455 days 1 °C Aerobic; starlie Hurst, 1988 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 152 1 °C Aerobic; non-starlie Hurst, 1988 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 152 1 °C Aerobic; non-starlie Hurst, 1988 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 1628 1 °C Anaerobic; non-starlie Hurst, 1988 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 1 1 °C Anaerobic; non-starlie Hurst, 1988 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 1 1 °C Anaerobic; non-starlie Hurst, 1988 tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sand						•		
Control Control Control Service Control Contro Se	blovhus Type 1 (LSc)	Non-sterile Soli Suspensions, 5% wt/vol	2	9 - 80 days	20°C		Depending on soil type	Sobsey, 1980
wrivel Organic Muck, S.5) covinus Type 3 (Dearling) Non-starlie Soll Suspansions, 5% wrivel 2 9 - 110 days 20 ⁴ C 6.5, except Organic Muck, S.5) Depending on soll type Sobsey, 1980 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 455 days (Caciulated value, actual observance to 75 days) 1*C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1*C Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1*C Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1*C Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1*C Anaerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 1323 1*C Anaerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1*C Anaerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1*C Anaerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Tovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 davis 23*C Aerobic; non-sterile <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Invirus Type 3 (Dearing) Non-starlie Sall Suspensions, 5% wrivel 2 9 - 110 days 20°C 6.5, except Depending on soil type Sobsey, 1980 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 455 days (Calculated value, actual observance to 75 days) 1 40 Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 152, days (Calculated value, actual observance to 75 days) 1 10 Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 152, days(Calculated value, actual observance to 75 days) 1 10 Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 152, 15 days) 1 10 Aerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 288 1 10 Aneerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 283 1 10 Aneerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Leam Soil 1 323 1 10 Aneerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Torinus Type 1 (LSc)	leovirus Type 3 (Dearing)		2	8 - 257 days	20°C		Depending on soil type	Sobsey, 1980
B% wrivel Criganic Muck, S.6) Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 Color Lated value, actual abreavence to Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 Color Lated value, actual abreavence to TS days) 1 *C Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 Torinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll		wtivol				Organic Muck, 5.5)		
Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 455 days 1 40 Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1999 Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1 40 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1999 Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1 40 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1999 Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 1 152 1 40 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1999 Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 1 152 1 40 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1999 Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 1 158 1 40 Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1999 Tovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	leovirus Type 3 (Dearing)		2	9 - 110 days	20°C		Depending on soil type	Sobsey, 1980
Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 14C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 14C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 168 14C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 175 days) Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 237C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 237C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1998		5% wt/vol		-		Organic Muck, 5.5)		
Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 14C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 14C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 168 14C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 175 days) Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 14C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 237C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1998 Iconicus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 237C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1998	alovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Sol	1	455 days	110		Aerobic: sterile	Hurst, 1988
value, actual observance to 1 15 days) 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 140 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 1588 140 Ancerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 233 140 Ancerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 140 Ancerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 140 Ancerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 140 Ancerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 140 Ancerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 140 Ancerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 140 Ancerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 2340 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 2340 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 10 virus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 2340 Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988				(Celculated			/ ***	
T 5 days) 1 152 1 °C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 1 °C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 158 1 °C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 858 1 °C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 828 1 °C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 °C Anaerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 °C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Icerious Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 30 days 23°C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988				value, actual				
Izvirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 152 14C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1998 days (Calculated value, actual days (Calculated value, actual days (Calculated value, actual days (Calculated value, actual data value, actual								
days (Calculated value, actual observance to 75 days) lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 88 1 °C Anserobic; stanle Hurst, 1988 days (Calculated value, actual observance to 1 3 days 1 °C Anserobic; stanle Hurst, 1988 days (Calculated value, actual observance to 75 days) lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 3 days 23°C Aerobic; stanle Hurst, 1988 lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; stanle Hurst, 1988 lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; stanle Hurst, 1988 lovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; stanle Hurst, 1988	olovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Sol	1		1 °C		Aerobic; non-sterile	Hurst, 1988
lovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 588 140 Anterobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 75 days) Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 75 days) Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 75 days) Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 328 140 Anterobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 days(Calculated Value, actual observations to TS days) Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 2340 Aetobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 2340 Aetobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 2340 Aetobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 30 days 2340 Aetobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988				days(Calculated	-			
7 5 daya) Toorkus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 688 1 4°C Anaerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 688 1 4°C Anaerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 4°C Anaerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 4°C Anaerobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 4°C Anaerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 23°C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Iovrirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 23°C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988				value, actual				
Iovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 688 1 4°C Anaerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 degr@Calculadd value, actual abservance to 75 days) Iovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 223 degr@Calculadd value, actual abservance to 75 days) Iovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Iovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 23°C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Iovinus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23°C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1988				75 days)				
days(Celou labd volue, actual abservance to 75 days) Anserobic; non-starle Hurst; 1998 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 4C Anserobic; non-starle Hurst; 1998 days(Celou labd value, actual abservance to 75 days) 1 4C Anserobic; non-starle Hurst; 1998 lovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 days 23*C Aerobic; starle Hurst; 1988 lovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 23*C Aerobic; starle Hurst; 1988 lovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 days 23*C Aerobic; non-starle Hurst; 1988	ollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Soli	1	588	1.90		Anaerobic; sterlie	Hurst, 1988
observance to 75 days 1 323 1 4°C Anserobic; non-starle Hurst, 1998 Idvirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 323 1 4°C Anserobic; non-starle Hurst, 1998 days(Calculated value, actual observance to				days(Calculated				
To days) To days) Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 233 1 *C Anserobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 days(Calculated value, actual actual actual actual actual observance to 75 days) 75 days) Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 31 days 23*C Aerobic; starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 10 days 23*C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988 Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 10 days 23*C Aerobic; non-starile Hurst, 1988				value, actual				
Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soli 1 323 1 *C Anaerobic; non-starle Hurst, 1988 days(Calculated value, actual observance to								
deys@Celouited value, actual value, actual observance to 75 dots) 131 dotys 23°C Aerobic; starlie Hurst, 1988 lowius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 13 dotys 23°C Aerobic; non-starlie Hurst, 1988 1 30 dotys 23°C Aerobic stard/Loam Sol 1 30 dotys 23°C	olovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Sol	1	323	1.40		Anzerobic; non-sterile	Hurst, 1988
value, actual observance to 75 days) 10/ius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sal 1 10/ius Type 1 (LSc) Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Davius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sal 1 10 days 23*C Aerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988 Davius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sal 1 10 days 23*C Aerobic; monsterile Hurst, 1988 Davius Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sal 1 30 days 23*C Anarobic; monsterile Hurst, 1988				days(Calculated				
75 down) 75 down) Iowinus Types 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 31 down 23*C Aerobic: sterile Hurst: 1988 Iowinus Types 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 down 23*C Aerobic: non-sterile Hurst: 1988 Iowinus Types 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 10 down 23*C Aerobic: non-sterile Hurst: 1988 Iowinus Types 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 30 down 23*C Anabolic: non-sterile Hurst: 1988				value, actual				
Ion/us Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 31 days 23*C Aevolot; tarls Hunst, 1988 Ion/us Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 10 days 23*C Aevolot; non-soile Hunst, 1988 Ion/us Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 10 days 23*C Aevolot; non-soile Hunst, 1988 Ion/us Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sol 1 30 days 23*C Anaevolot; sande Hunst, 1988								
Iovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Sall 1 30 days 23°C Anteroptic; sterile Hurst; 1988	olovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Soll	1		23°C		Aerobic; sterile	Hurst, 1988
lovirus Type 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soll 1 30 days 23°C Anaerobic; sterile Hurst, 1988	ollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Soll	1	10 days			Aerobic; non-sterile	Hurst, 1988
irovirus iype 1 (LSc) Sandy Loam Soli 1 33 days 23°C Anterobic; non-sterile Hurst, 1998	follovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Soll	1	30 days	23*0		Anaerobic; sterile	Hurst, 1988
				The second	2380		Anaerobio: non-sterile	Hurst 1988

Virus	Medlum	og10 reduction	Time	Temp	pH Conductivi	y Turbidity	Other Conditions	Citation
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Sol	1	3 days	3740	gen Genductwi	- Constanty	Aerobic; sterile	Hurst, 1988
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Soll	1	1.4 days	37°C			Aerobic; non-sterille	Hurst, 1988
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Sol	1	1.7 days	37*C 37*C			Ancerobic; sterile	Hurst, 1988
Polovirus Type 1 (LSc) Polovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Sandy Loam Soll Rapid Infiltration Basin Soll During Application of Westwarts	1	3.5 days 25 days	37*C			Anzerobic; non-sterile	Hurst, 1988 Hurst, 1980
Echovirus Type 1 (Farouk)	Wastewater Rapid Infibration Basin Soli During Application of	1	6.7 days					Hurst, 1980
Polovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Wastewater Repid Initiation Basin Soll During Drying Phase	1	9.1 days					Hurst, 1980
Echovirus Type 1 (Farouk)	Repid Infitration Basin Soll During Drying Phase	1	2 days					Hurst, 1980
Polovirus Type 1	Effluent Irrigated Soll (Summer)		11 days					Tlerney, 1977
Polovirus Type 1 Polovirus Type 1	Effluent Inlgated Soll (Winter) Sand and Sandy Loam	1.4 - 2.5	96 days 155 days	4°C	7.7 - 8.0		Depending on soil type	Tlerney, 1977 Yeager, 1979
Polovirus Type 1	(Saturated with groundwater) Sand and Sandy Loam	3.5 - 4.0	21 - 39 days	2240	7.7 - 8.0		Depending on soil type	Yeager, 1979
Coxsackle virus B1	(Saturated with groundwater) Sand and Sandy Loam	0.2 - 0.6	6 days	22°C	7.7 - B.O		Depending on soil type	Yeager, 1979
Polovirus Type 1	(Saturated with groundwater) Sand and Sandy Loam (Saturated with groundwater)	3.7 -4.5	7 - 11 days	37*C	7.7 - 8.0		Depending on soil type	Yeager, 1979
Herpesvirus of Turkeys	Commercial Vaccine Elluents	0.3 - >2	estunim 08	37*C 37*C	6.8 - 7.4		Depending on Diluent	Colwell, 1975 Colwell, 1975
Herpesvirus of Turkeys Herpesvirus of Turkeys Herpesvirus of Turkeys	Commercial Vaccine Elluents	0.2 - 2	E0 minutes	37*C	6.8 - 7.4		Depending on Clivent	Colwell, 1975
Herpesvirus of Turkeys Herpesvirus of Turkeys	Commercial Vaccine Diluents Commercial Vaccine Diluents	0 - 0.1	60 minutes 60 minutes	0°C 25°C	6.8 - 7.4 6.8 - 7.4		Depending on Divent Depending on Divent	Colwell, 1975 Colwell, 1975
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Aerosol on Microthreads In	0-0.4	60 minutes	1 - 120	0.017.4		Relative Humidity: 60-95%,	Donaldson and Ferrils,
EchovirusType 1	Open Alr Adhesive Gum		1 - 10 days				Wind: calm to strong Depending on Type of Adhesive	1975
Adenovirus Type 3	Adhesive Gum		30 minutes - 6				Gum Depending on Type of Adhesive	Selwyn, 1965
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2	Tissue Culture Medium	0.5	days 72 hours	3640	6.3		Gum Decreased Inactivation with lower temperature, Increased	Lancz, 1979
Hepatitis Bivirus	1-10 Secure Dilution		1 minute	98°C			inactivation at higher pH	Bond, 1981
Aujesky's Disease virus	1:10 Serum Dl'ution Feces		3 - 15 weeks	3810				Strauch, 1991
Borna Virus	Feces		22 days					Strauch, 1991
Marek's Disease Virus	Feces		7 days					Strauch, 1991
Teschen Virus African Swine Fever Virus	Feces Feces		3 - 25 days 60 - 160 days					Strauch, 1991 Strauch, 1991
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Feces		21 - 103 days					Strauch, 1991
Newcastle Disease Virus	Feces		22 days					Strauch, 1991
Cell-Free Human Immunadeficiency Virus	Complete Medium with 10% Fetal Calf Serum	1	122 hours					van Beuren, 1994
Cell-Associated Human Immunodeficiency Virus	Complete Medium with 10% Fetal Calf Serum	1	146 hours					van Beuren, 1994
Cell-Associated Human Immunodeficiency Virus	FCS neat	1	308 hours					van Beuren, 1994
Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus	City Water	3	11 days	26°C				Pirtie, 1996
Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus Porcince Reproductive and	Well Water	2.3	9 days	26°C				Pirtie, 1996
Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus Porcince Reproductive and	Salne G	2	6 days	26°C				Pirtie, 1996
Respiratory Syndrome Virus	PBSS	1	4 days	26°C				Pirtie, 1996
Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus	Swine Salva	3	< 1 day	26°C				Pirtie, 1996
Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus	Swine Urine	3	< 1 day	28°C				Pirtie, 1996
Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus	Fecal Slumy	3	< 1 day	26°C				Pirtie, 1996
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Bovine Feces		78 days	4ºC				Parker, 1971; as reported by Bartley, 2002
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Bovine Sluny		100.9 days	4ºC				Haas, 1995; as reported by Bartley, 2002
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Bovine Sluny		79.7 days	17°C				Haas, 1995; as reported by Bartley, 2002
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Bovine Sluny		< 12 days	30°C			87 to 90% relative humidity	Bubnov, 1968; as reported by Bartley,
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Bovine Sluny		<8 days	32ºC				2002 Bubnov, 1968; as reported by Bartley,
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus	Bovine Sluny		3 - 5 days	349C				2002 Bubnov, 1968; as
								reported by Bartley, 2002
Astrovirus Type 4	Dechlorinated Drinking Water	2	60 days	4ºC	8.38 500 µS	0.51 NTU		Abad, 1997
Astrovirus Type 4	Dechlorinated Drinking Water	3.3	90 days	49C	8.38 (900 µS	0.51 NTU		Abad, 1997
Astrovirus Type 4 Astrovirus Type 4	Dechlorinated Drinking Water Dechlorinated Drinking Water	3.2	60 days 90 days	20°C 20°C	8.38 900 µS 8.38 900 µS	0.51 NTU 0.51 NTU		Abad, 1997 Abad, 1997
Astrovirus Type 4 Astrovirus Type 4	Drinking Water; 0.5 mg/L Free	3	120 minutes	20%	7.5	start in 9		Abad, 1997
Astrovirus Type 4	Chlorine Drinking Water; 1.0 mg/L Free	4.17	120 minutes	204C	7.5			Abad, 1997
Enterlo Adenoviruses 40 and 41	Chlorine Dechlorinated Drinking Water	3.2	60 days	2010				Abad, 1994, as reported
Enterlo Adenoviruses 40 and 41	Drinking Water; 0.5 mg/L Free	2.5	120 minutes	2040				Abad, 1997 Abad, 1994, as reported
Enterlo Adenoviruses 40 and 41	Chlorine Drinking Water; 1.0 mg/L Free	3	120 minutes					Abad, 1997 Abad, 1994, as reported
Human Rotavirus Type 3	Chlorine Dechlorinated Drinking Water	3.2	60 days	204C				Abad, 1997 Abad, 1994; as reproted
Pollovirus Type 3	Lee RiverWater	3	9 weeks	5ºC				Abad, 1997 Poynter, 1998
Pollovirus Type 3	Lee RiverWater	3	11 days	2040				Poynter, 1968
Echovirus Type 6	Sea Water	1		3 - 5°C				Won, 1973
Echovirus Type 6 Policylaus Type 1	Sea Water Swieze Water	2	3 days	224C 23 - 274C				Won, 1973 Obiles, 1977
Polovirus Type 1 Polovirus Type 1	Surface Water Surface Water	2	25 days	18 - 2010				Obilen, 1977 Leveran, 1980
Pollovirus Type 1	Surface Water	2	15 days	18 - 20°C 19 - 25°C				Hermann, 1974
Pollovirus Type 1	Surface Water	2	6 days	16 - 23°C 20°C				Cubbage, 1979
Polovirus Type 1 Polovirus Type 1	Surface Water Autoclaved Seawater	- 2	6 - B days B days	20*0				Hurst, 1980 Denis, 1973
and the second sec			o days	2112010				and the second

/lrus	Medlum	log10 reduction	Time	Temp	pH	Conductivity	Turbidity	Other Conditions	Citation
follovirus Type 1	Autoclaved Seawater	2	> 75 days	18PC					Leogrande, 1969
ollovirus Type 1	Autoclaved Seawater	2	12 - 24 days	22°C					Denis, 1977
ollovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	23 days	18°C					Leogrande, 1969
Pollovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	2 - 3 days	18 - 20 ^{FC}					Magnusson, 1967
Pollovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	4 - 5 days	26°C					Matossian, 1967
Pollovirus Type 1	Seewater	2	7 days	15°C					Shuval, 1971
Pollovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	10 days	249C					De Flora, 1975
follovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	3 - 4 days	24RC					Akin, 1974; as report
									by Block, 1983
olovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	3 - 12 days	22°C					Denis, 1977
ollovhus Type 1	Seawater	2	3 - 4 days	18 - 20 *0					Smith, 1978
follovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	1 - 4 days	248C					Fujloka, 1980
follovirus Type 1	Seawater	2	4 - 6 days	20°C					Hunst, 1980
follovirus Type 1	Seewater	2	11-12 days	2580					Chung, 1992
Pollovirus Type 1	Seawater - Sterlie	1	18.6 days	26°C					Dinchere, 1992
lepatitis A virus	Seawater	2	19 - 20 days	26°C					Chung, 1992
Joxsackie B3	Seawater	2	12 days	18 - 21 ^s C					Vaughn, 1975
Coxsackle B4	Seawater	2	28 days	4 - 15FC					Vaughn, 1975
Pollovirus	Drinking Water - Sterlie	2	66 days	26°C					Quignon, 1992; as di
	-								In Schwartzbrod, 199
Pollovirus	Drinking Water - Sterlie	2	506 days	490					Quignon, 1992; as cli
	-								In Schwartzbrod, 199

Virus	Medlum	Time	Temp (FC)	рН	Low %	%Remaining	log _{to} Reduction	RH Med %	%Remaining	log ₁₀ Reduction	High %	%Remainin 9	log _{to} Reduction	Other Conditions	Citation
Vaccinia	Molivalnes Citric Add/di-sodium phosphate buffer with 1% horse	23 hours	11	7.2	20	66	0.47	50	59	0.39	80	13	0.1		Harper, 1961
Vaccinia	serum Molivalnes Citric Add/dl-sodium phosphate buffer with 1% horse	23 hours	22	7.2	20	15	0.07	50	12	0.06	80	Trace			Harper, 1961
Vaccinia	serum Molivalnes Citric Add/di-sodium phosphate buffer with 1% horse	23 hours	32	7.2	20	13	0.06	50	Trace		80	Тгаре			Harper, 1961
VEE	serum 20% egg yolk in Sorensons	23 hours	11	7.2	20	26	0.13	50	11	0.05	80	6.2	0.03		Harper,
VEE	buffer 20% egg yok in Scrensons	23 hours	22	7.2	20	1.7	0.01	50	0.1	0.00	80	0.1	0.00		1961 Harper,
VEE	buffer 20% egg yolk in Sorensons	23 hours	32	7.2	20	0.17	0.00	50	Тласе		80	N			1961 Harper,
Influenza A (PRB)	buffer	23 hours	11	7.4	20	61	0.41	50	19	0.09	80	3	0.01		1961 Harper
Influenza A (PRB)	0.2% caseln in Molivaine's buffer	23 hours	22	7.4	20	22	0.11	50	Trace		80	N			1961 Harper,
Influenza A (PRB)	0.2% caseln in Molvaine's buffer		32	7.4	20	1.3	0.01	50	N		80	N			1961 Harper
Pollovirus Type 1 (Brunhilde)	PBS	23 hours	22	7.4	20	1.1	0.00	50	Trace		80	85	0.82		1961 Harper
	Water			1.4	30%	78	0.66	60	> 80		90	> 80	0.62		1961
Pigeon Pox virus		5 hours	not reported												Webb, 1963
Rous Sarcoma Virus	Water	5 hours	not reported		30%	< 1 (at 3 hours) 50%	> 2	60	> 60		90	> 80			Webb, 1963
Rous Sarcoma Virus	Citrate Buffer	5 hours	not reported	7	30%	50%		60	10		90	< 5			Webb, 1963
New Castle Disease Virus (Gi Texas Strain) New Castle Disease Virus (Gi		5 hours	4		10			35		5	90				Songer, 1967
Texas Strain)		91 minutes	23		10	<1		35		2	90	1			Songer, 1967
Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis Virus		91 minutes	23		10	2.5		35		3	90	<1			Songer, 1967
Vesicular Stomatitis Virus		92 minutes	23		10	1.25		35		2.25	90	1.25			Songer, 1967
Bacterlophage T3		93	23		10	5.1		35		6 (by 60	90	stable			Songer,
Yellow Fever Virus (Asibi)	Infective Plasma	minutes 60	-1		30	4		50	3.2	minuts	80	3.8			1967 Mayhew,
Yellow Fever Virus (Asibi)	Infective Plasma	minutes 60	10		30	5.1		50	3		80	4.2			1968 Mayhew,
Yellow Fever Virus (Asibi)	Infective Plasma	minutes 60	27		30	2.5		50	1.4		80	4.5			1968 Mayhew,
Mengovirus (37A)		minutes 2 hours	27		25		3	50		>5	70	stable			1968 Akers,
Semiki Forest Virus	Water	24 hours	22		20	49	-	65	28		88	0.4			1968 Benboug
Murine Leukemia Virus	10% cell free spleen extract	35	24		20			35	20	2.0				Inactivation	, 1969 Larson,
		minutes												was during Aerosol	1970
Influenza A (swine types)	Allantolo Fuld	15 hours	21		15									generation To last detection	Mitchell, 1972
Influenza A (equine types)	Allantolo Fiuld	20 - 30	21		15									To ast	Mitchell, 1972
Influenza A (human types)	Allantolo Fiuld	hours s 115 hours	21		15									To last detection	Mitchell, 1972
Influenza A (avlan types)	Allantolo Fiuld	24 - 36	21		15									To last	Mitchell, 1972
VEE	0.002 M Phosphate Buffer with	hours 1 hour		7.5	30	90	0.0	60	78	0.1					Berenot,
VEE	0.3% BSA 0.002 M Phosphate Buffer with 0.3% BSA	2 hour		7.5	30	0.02	3.7	60	0.006	4.2					1971 Berenck, 1971
Langat Virus	Clarified Culture Fluid	60			30	>10	1.00	50	<1	2.0	90	>10		mca/om³/mln	Benboug
Langat Virus	Desaited Clarified Culture Fluid	minutes 3 hours			30	50	0.30	50	40	0.4	90	10	1		, 1971
-	Clarified Lysates	-			30	None	>3	50	0.1	3.0	90	Stable	0		Benboug 1971
T7 Colphage		1 hour			30	Detected	0.0	50	Stable	3.0	90	Stable	0		Benboug 1971
T1 Colphage	Clarified Lysates	1 hour				90									Benboug 1971
T1 Colphage	Desaited Clarified Lysztes	1 hour			30	10	1.00	50	80	0.1	90	Stable	0		Benboug , 1971
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	Clarified Culture Fluid	1 hour			30	2	1.70	50	0.2	2.7	90	80	0.1		Benboug , 1971
17 Colphage	Purified Lysates	30 minute						55	0.02	3.7					Stange, 1972
New Castle Disease Virus (Herts 33/56)	Allantolo Fuld	4 hours	20		50	2	1.70	60	9	1.05	70	15	0.82		Hugh- Jones, 1973
New Castle Disease Virus (Eastwood 67)	Allantolo Fiuld	4 hours	20		50	3	1.52	60	3	1.52	70	7	1.15		Hugh- Jones, 1973
New Castle Disease Virus (Essex 70)	Allantolo Fluid	4 hours	20		50	1	2.00	60	4	1.40	70	9	1.05		Hugh- Jones, 1973
T3 Colphage	0.1 M NaCi	30 minutes	20		30		3	60		4	90		3		Trouwbor
T3 Colphage	0.1 M NzCl + 0.1% peptone	minutes 30	20		30		3.5	60		4.1	90		1.2		t, 1974 Trouwbor t, 1974
Moloney Murine Sarcoma Virus	Cell Culture Fiuld	minutes	21		25		5	57		5	76		5	Almost all of Inactivation during stabilization of	Hinshew, 1976
Murine Leukemia Virus	Cell Culture Fiuld		21		25		5	57		5	76		5	aerosol Almost all of Inactivation during stabilization of	1976
Bovine Rhinotracheitis Virus	Eagle's Minimal Essential	3 hours	32		30		3.1				90		2.1	aerosol	Elazahar
	Medium	3 hours	6		30		2.9				90		1.2		1979 Elazahar
Bovine Rhinotrachelds Virus	Eagle's Minimal Essential	3 104416													

TABLE 4. VIRUS SURVIVAL IN AEROSOLS Inclusion

TABLE 4	VIRUS	SURVIVAL	IN AEROS	SOLS (C	ONTINUED)
---------	-------	----------	----------	---------	-----------

	0.01% Lysine	32	20									0.75		1.11
Bardeningkoger 49%174	0.01% Lysine	32 minutes	20				70		0.9	87		0.75		a Mik, 977
Bovine Adenovirus 3 (WBR-1)	Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium	3 hours	32	30		5.6				90		1.7	El	azahary, 979
Bovine Adenovirus 3 (WBR-1)	Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium	3 hours	6	30		2.5				90		1.1		azahary, 979
Bovine Parainfluenza Type 3	Medlum	3 hours	32	30		4.2				90		5.2	19	azahary, 979
Bovine Parainfluenza Type 4	Medlum	3 hours	6	30		2.2				90		1.4		azahary, 979
	Stock Suspension diuted with BHI and 1FCS	E0 minutes	24	30		0.3	55		0.6	80		1	19	rson, 180
Bovine Rotevirus	Cell Culture Fuld	60 minutes	10	20		0.45	50		1.02	90		0.03	Survival up to Mo 2 hours documented at 20%	oe, 1983
Bovine Rotevirus	Cell Culture Fuld	60 minutes	20	20		0.69	50		1.72	90		0.19	Survival up to Ma 2 hours documented at 20%	
Bovine Rotevirus	Cell Culture Fiuld	60 minutes	30	20		0.84	50		2.39	90		0.32	Survival up to Ma 2 hours documented at 20%	
Rotavirus SA11	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with Rhodamine and Antifoam C	72 hours	20	25	20	0.70	50	50	0.3	80	< 1 within 30 minutes	> 2	19	attar, 984
Calf Rotavirus (C-496)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	24 hours	20	30	40	0.40	60	58	0.24	80	< 5	1.5	lja	sz, 1985
Polovirus Type 1 (Sabin)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	24 hours	20	30	None Detected at 15 minutes		50	None Detected at 15 minutes		80	20	0.70		sz, 1985
Human Rotavirus (Wa)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	72 hours	20	30	20	0.7	50	50	0.3	80	< 5	> 1.5		az, 1985
Human Rotavirus (Wa)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	25 hours	6	30	55	0.26	50	75	0.12	80	< 10	1	lja	az, 1985
Human Rotavirus (Wa)	10% Feces from confirmed rotaviral patient	25 hours	20				50	70	0.15					az, 1985
Human Rotavirus (Wa)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	25 hours	20				50	50	0.3				lja	az, 1985
Rhinovirus 14	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	24 hours	20	30	(<0.25% detectable in first air sample)		50	(<0.25% detectable in first air sample)		80	30	0.52	19	arlm, 985
Human Coronavirus (229E)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	72 hours	20	30	50	0.3	50	6B	0.2	80	3 (et 24 hours)	1.5	lja	az, 1985
Human Coronavirus (229E)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	24 hours	6	30	65	0.2	50	82	0.1	80	85	0.1	lje	sz, 1985
Pollovirus Type 1 (Sabin)	Tryptose Phosphate Broth with sodium fluoresceln and Antifoam A	24 hours	20	30	None detected		50	None Detected		80	30	0.5	lja	uz, 1985
Pseudorables Virus (lowa/Wilson/S62/66)	Stock Solution with Porcine Mucin and Antifoam	E0 minutes	22	25	11	1.0	55	40	0.4	85	10	1.0		n, 1990
Pseudorables Virus (lowa/Wilson/S62/66)	Stock Solution with Porcine Mucin and Antifoam	E0 minutes	4	could not be achieved			55	58	0.2	85	23	0.6		n, 1990
Reovirus Type 1 (Lang)		150 minutes	2	30	78	0.1	60	85	0.1	80	95	0.0		ohr. 991
Recvirus Type 1 (Lang)		150 minutes	14	20	80	0.1	60	60	0.2	80	80	0.1	Ma	ohr, 991

TABLE 5.	VIRUS	SURVIVAL	ON SURFACES
----------	-------	----------	-------------

	Suspending Medium	Suitee	log _{ro} reduction	lime	Temp (*C)	Helative Humidity (%)	Other Conditions	Citation
Echovirus Type 1	Adhesive Gum	Paper	N.R.	6 - 30 days			Depending on Suspending Vedium	Solwyn, 1965
Adenovirus Type 3 Vecolnia (Lederle)	Adhesive Gum Cell Culture Suspension	Paper Wool	N.R. 4 - 5	1 - 10 days 6-14 weeks	25	35	Depending on method of application	Selwyn, 1965
Vaccinia (Lebene)	Cell Culture Suspension	W00	4-0				and fabric type	Slowell, 1999
Vaccinia (Lederle)	Cell Culture Suspension	Cotton	4 - 5	8-10 weeks	25	35	Depending on method of application and fabric type	Sidwell, 1966
Vaccinia (Lederle)	Cell Culture Suspension	Wool	4 - 5	2 - 4 weeks	25	78	Depending on method of application	Sidwell, 1966
Vaccinia (Lederle)	Cell Culture Suspension	Cotton	4 - 5	1 - 2 weeks	25	78	and fabric type Depending on method of application	Sidwell, 1966
Pollovirus Type 2 (MEF-1)	Cell Culture Suspension	Wool	> 5	10 - 20 weeks	25	35	and fabric type Depending on method of application	Divon 1966
		Cotton	>5	1 - 4 weeks	25	35	and fabric type	
Pollovirus Type 2 (WEF-1)	Cell Culture Suspension						Depending on method of application and fabric type	
Pollovirus Type 2 (WEF-1)	Cell Culture Suspension	Wool	> 5	6 - 8 weeks	25	78	Depending on method of application and fabric type	Dkon, 1966
Pollovirus Type 2 (NEF-1)	Cell Culture Suspension	Cotton	> 5	1 - 2 weeks	25	78	Depending on method of application	Dkon, 1966
RHinovirus Type 39	Hank's Balanced Salt Solution or 0.85%	Plastic	3 - 4	24 - 72 hours	23	amblent	and fabric type Depending on initial dose applied	Hendley, 1973
Rhinovirus Type 39	saline Nucus or Diluted Mucus	Plastic	1.4	3 - 24 hours	23	amblent	Depending on Initial dose applied	Hendley, 1973
Rhinovirus Type 39	Hank's Balanced Salt Solution or 0.85%		N.R.	1 - 3 hours	23	amblent	Depending on initial dose applied	Hendley, 1973
Rhinovirus Type 39	saline Hank's Balanced Salt Solution or 0.85%	Formica, Stainless	0.5	3 hours	23	amblent		Hendley, 1973
	salne	Steel, Varnished Wood						
Rhinovirus Type 39	Hank's Balanced Salt Solution or 0.85%	Nylon, Acetate,	1	3 hours	23	amblent		Hendley, 1973
	saline	Orlon, Daoron, Wool, Silk						
Rhinovirus Type 39	Hank's Balanced Salt Solution or 0.85%	Cotton, Rayon	> 2.5	3 hours	23	amblent		Hendley, 1973
Rhinovirus Type 39	saline Hank's Balanced Salt Solution or 0.85%	Facial Tissue, Paper	> 2.8	3 hours	23	amblent		Hendley, 1973
Hepatitis B virus	saline Blood	Towel Stainless Steel and	0.1	72 hours	25	42		Favero, 1974
		Cotton Swabs						-
Hepatitis B virus	Blood	Stainless Steel and Cotton Swabs	0.2	14 days	25	42		Fevero, 1974
Adenovirus Type 2	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass, Vinyl Asbestos Tile,	e .	1 week - < 8 weeks	\$ 37	83	Greater survival under dark conditions	Mahl, 1974
		Ceramic Tile,					conditions	
Adenovirus Type 2	Cell Culture Suspension	Stainless Steel Glass, Vinyl	0.5 - 1	8 weeks	37		Ught was not a factor	Mahl 1974
		Asbestos Tile,	0.041					1010
		Ceramic Tile, Stainless Steel						
Adentvirus Type 2	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	2.75	12 weeks	25	7	Best survival at lowest RH, survival	Mahl, 1974
Adentvirus Type 2	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	2.75	12 weeks	37	3	to 8 weeks at mid and high RH Best survival at lowest RH, survival	Mahl, 1974
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	0.2	8 weeks	25	7	to 8 weeks at mid and high RH Best survival at lowest RH, survival	Mahl 1974
· · ·						· · ·	<1 week at mid and high RH	
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	0.2	8 weeks	37	3	Rest survival at lowest RH, survival <1 day at mid and high RH	
Pollovirus Type 2 (VEF-1)	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	4	8 weeks	25	7	Best Survival at lowest RH, survival to s4 weeke at mid and high RH	Mahl, 1974
Pollovirus Type 2 (VEF-1)	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	2.3	8 weeks	37	3	Best Survival at lowest RH	Mahl, 1974
							examined, survival for < 1 week at mid and high RH	
Vaccinia (Lederle)	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	4	5 weeks	25	7,98	Similar survival at high and low RH.	Mahl, 1974
Vaccinia (Lederie)	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	3.5 - 4.5	4 weeks	37	3, 55, 83	survival at mid RH to 4 weeks Similar survival at all RH levels	Mahl, 1974
Coxsackle Virus B3	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	2.5 - 4.25	2 weeks	25	7, 55, 98	examined Similar survival at all RH levels	Mahl, 1974
				-			examined	
Coxsackle Virus B3	Cell Culture Suspension	Glass	2 - 4	2 weeks	37	3, 55, 83	Similar survival at all RH levels examined	Mahl, 1974
RHinovirus Type 2 (HGP)	Cell Culture Media with 2% bovine serum, diluted with Hank's saline or	Skin	0 - 0.7	3 hours				Reed, 1975
	nasal mucus and Hank's saline							
Rhinovirus Type 2 (HGP)	Cell Culture Media with 2% bovine serum, diluted with Hank's saline or	Stainless Steel	1	24 hours				
				-				Reed, 1975
	nesal mucus and Hank's saline							-
Rhinovirus Type 2 (HGP)	nesal muous and Hank's saline Cell Culture Media with 2% bovine serum, diluted with Hank's saline or	Enamel Table Top	0.7	24 hours				Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975
	nasal mucus and Hank's saline Gell Culture Vecla with 2% bovine serum, diuted with Hank's saline or nasal mucus and Hank's saline			24 hours				Reed, 1975
RH novirus Type 2 (HGP) RH novirus Type 2 (HGP)	resel mucus and Hark's saline Cell Culture Media with 2% bovine serum, dituced with Hark's saline or nesel mucus and Hark's saline Cell Culture Media with 2% bovine serum, dituced with Hark's saline or	Enamel Table Top Plastic	0.7					-
RHinovirus Type 2 (HGP)	nesal mucus and Hank's saline Cell Culture Meela with 2% bovine serum, dituted with Hank's saline or nesal mucus and Hank's saline Cell Culture Meela with 2% bovine	Plastic	< 0.5	24 hours 24 hours				Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975
	nesd Invois and Hark's soline Gell Cuitus Vedia with the boxins serum, ditused with Hark's soline Cell Cuitus Vedia with 2th Eavine serum, ditused with Hark's soline Cell Cuitus Vedia with 2th Eavine serum, ditused with Hark's soline Cell Cuitus Vedia with 2th Eavine serum, ditused with the Soline			24 hours				Reed, 1975
Rhinovirus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovirus Type 2 (HGP)	need muse and Hanks selfne Cell Culture Vector with Stite bowine serum, dituad with Hanks selfne on need muse, and Hanks selfne Cell Culture Vector with Stite bowine serum, dituad with Hanks selfne on need muse, and Hanks selfne Cell Culture Vector with Stite bowine	Plastic Stainless Steel	< 0.5	24 hours 24 hours	24	25 - 50		Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Hell, 1980
Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Bagdiratory Synoptial Vilus	nead incuse and Hark's ading Cell Outra Vecla with "Sit bonin sourm, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrar Vecla with 2% boning sourm, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrar Vecla with 2% boning and Hark's ading Cell Outrar Vecla with 2% boning sourm, ditused with Hark's ading nead incuses and Hark's ading Veclam and Poolar Nead Secretors Veclam and Poolar Nead Secretors	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves	< 0.5 1.75 5	24 hours 24 hours < 4 days 7 - 8 hours 5 hours	24	35 - 50		Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Haspiratary Synoytel Vitus Haspiratary Synoytel Vitus	ness invoise and Hark's asiline Ceil Outre Vesia twi "Sit bovine sarum, diused with Hark's saline or ness invoise and Hark's saline Ceil Outrus Vesia with 2% bovine sarum, diused with Hark's saline Ceil Outrus Vesia with 2% bovine salin, bucked with twist saline salin, bucked Hark's saline salin, bucked Hark's saline waldum and Poolar Nead Secretions Waldum and Poolar Nead Secretions Waldum and Poolar Nead Secretions	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves Clath Gloves	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours < 4 days 7 - 8 hours 5 hours 2.5 hours	24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50		Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980
Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Basplitatory Synoptial Vilus Basplitatory Synoptial Vilus Basplitatory Synoptial Vilus	nead incuse and Hark's ading Cell Outra Vecla with "Sit bonin sourm, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrar Vecla with 2% boning sourm, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrar Vecla with 2% boning and dituse with Alex the saling Cell Outrar Vecla with 2% boning sourm, ditused with Hark's ading nead incuses and Hark's ading Veclaum and Poole Nead Secretors Wedium and Poole Nead Secretors Veclaum and Poole Nead Secretors Veclaum and Poole Nead Secretors Veclaum and Poole Nead Secretors	Plastic Stainlass Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves Cloth Gowns Paper Towels	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours < 4 days 7 - 8 hours 5 hours 2 5 hours 1 hour	24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50		Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980
Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Basplatory Synoptal Vilus Basplatory Synoptal Vilus Basplatory Synoptal Vilus Basplatory Synoptal Vilus Basplatory Synoptal Vilus	nesd muces and Hark's ading Cell Outraw Vecta with 2% boxing sourth, ditused with Hark's ading or constraints and the source of the Cell Outraw Vecta with 2% boxing advantage of Hark's ading Cell Outraw Vecta with 2% boxing sourth, ditused with Hark's ading and the source of Hark's ading advantage of Hark's ading advantage of Hark's ading boxing and Popula Nead Secretaria Madum and Popula Nead Secretaria Madum and Popula Nead Secretaria Madum and Popula Nead Secretaria	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves Olath Gowns Paper Towels	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours < 4 days 7 - 8 hours 5 hours 2.5 hours	24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50	Incubated in Dark	Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Pagotratory Syncytial Vitus Pagotratory Syncytial Vitus Pagotratory Syncytial Vitus Pagotratory Syncytial Vitus Respiratory Syncytial Vitus Respiratory Syncytial Vitus Respiratory Structure Vitus Respiratory Structure Vitus Respiratory Structure Vitus	nesd Invois and Hark's ading Cell Outraw Vecta with Yak to be to sarum, ditused with Hark's ading or the sarum, ditused with Yak towing Sarum, dituse with Hark's ading Cell Outraw Vecta with Yak towing ad Outraw Vecta with Yak towing sarum, ditused with Hark's salls ar Madum and Poleka Nead Sacetains Madum and Poleka Nead Sacetains	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubbar Gloves Clath Gowins Paper Towels Skin Sliented Tubes Countertops	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 4	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 3 hours 3 hours 2.6 hours 3 hours 3 hours 2 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours	24 24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50	Insuberted in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Bord, 1981 Kewlet, 1983
Rrinovikus Type 2 (HGP) Rrinovikus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synoptial Vikus Respiratory Statta Respiratory Sta	nesd Indus, and Hark's soling Cell Culture Vecla with "Sit Exoling solur, ditused with Hark's soling Cell Culture Vecla with 2% Exoling solur, ditused with Hark's soling Cell Culture Vecla with 2% Exoling solur, ditused with Hark's soling Cell Culture Vecla with 2% Exoling solur, ditused with Hark's soling resol Indus, and Hark's soling Wodum and Poolar Need Secretors Wodum and Poolar Need Secretors Numer Plesma Startie Wear	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves Oath Gowns Pager Towels Skin Skin Towels Skin Countertops Countertops	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 3 hours 2 8 hours 1 hour 2 hour 7 days 45 minutes 80 minutes	24 24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50	Insuberted in Dark	Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Read, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Bord, 1981 Keawlok, 1983
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Pagotestory Syncopial Vitus Pagotestory Syncopial Vitus Pagotestory Syncopial Vitus Pagotestory Syncopial Vitus Haperists B vitus Haperists B vitus Rescitatory Type 1 (LSB) Best deponge F2	nesd muce, sind Hark's ading. Cell Outraw Vecia with 2th Eaving sarum, ditused with Hark's ading on readimuce, and Hark's ading of the Gell Outraw Vecia with 2th Eaving sarum, dituse with Hark's ading on readimuce, and Hark's ading of the sarum, dituse with Hark's ading of readimuce, and Hark's ading of vecial and Social With Hark's ading Vecial and Social With Hark's ading Weath and Social Need Social for Weath and Social Need Social for Medium and Social Need Social for Starlis Wear Starlis Wear	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves Oth Gowns Paper Towels Stin Stainteed Tubes Countertops Countertops Countertops	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 4	24 hours 24 hours < 4 days 7 - 8 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours	24 24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50	Incubered in Derk	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Rel, 1980 Revel, 1981 Revel, 1981 Revel, 1981 Revel, 1981 Revel, 1982
Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Repitatory Synoptial Vilus Respitatory Synoptial Vilus	nead incuse and Hark's ading Cell Outre Vecla with "Sit Exoline serum, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrus Vecla with 2% Exoline serum, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrus Vecla with 2% Exoline serum, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Outrus Vecla with 2% Exoline serum, ditused with Hark's ading Wadium and Poole Need Secretors Wadium and Poole Need Secretors Secretor Vece Secretor Water Secretor Water Secretor Water Secretor Water	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber (Sloves Cath Gowns Paper Towels Skin Slantage Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 3 3	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours 2 hours 3 hours 4 hou	24 24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50	Incubetted in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Result, 1983 Result, 1983
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synoytial Vitus Respiratory Synoytial Vi	nesd Invoka sind Hark's soline Gell Culture Vesta kit vält bovine sarum, dituset with Hark's soline or nesd Invoka sind Hark's soline Cell Culture Vesta with 2% bovine sorum, dituset with Hark's soline Cell Culture Vesta with 2% bovine Cell Culture Vesta with 2% bovine Cell Culture Vesta with 2% bovine resol Invoka sind Hark's soline mast Invoka sind Hark's soline Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Human Plasme Starle Vision Starle Vision Starle Vision Starle Vision	Plastic Stalniess Steel Hubber Gloves Olath Gevrs Paper Towels Stalniess Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 3 3 1.5	24 hours 24 hours < 4 days 7 - 8 hours 2 8 hours 2 9 hours 2 hour 2 hour 7 days 45 minuse 80 minuse 80 minuse 80 minuse	24 24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50	Incubated in Davk	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hall, 1980 Hall, 1980 Rell, 1980 Rell, 1980 Rell, 1980 Revel, 1981 Keawlek, 1983 Keawlek, 1983 Keawlek, 1983
Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Rinoulius Type 2 (HGP) Repitatory Synoptial Vilus Respitatory Synoptial Vilus	nesd invoks and Hark's ading Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boning sourth, ditused with Hark's ading Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boning sourth, dituse with Hark's ading Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boning sourth, dituse with Hark's ading Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boning sourth, ditused with Hark's ading watch and Popula Need Secretors Nation and Popula Need Secretors National Need Starlie Wear Starlie Wear 10% Stard Suspansion 10% Stard Suspansion	Plastic Stainless Steel Countertops Rubber (Sloves Cath Gowns Paper Towels Skin Slantage Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 3 9 1.5	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours 2 hours 3 hours 4 hou	24 24 24 24	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50	Incuberad in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Result, 1983 Result, 1983
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synovial Vitus Respiratory Synov Respiratory Synov Respir	ness invose seri Hark's selline Gell Culture Vesta twi zbi tovine sarum, dituset with Hark's sellere an nessi muos and Hark's sellere Call Culture Vesta with 2% bovine source, dituset with Hark's sellere Call Culture Vesta with 2% bovine and the Vesta with 2% bovine nessi muos and Hark's sellere mass invose and Hark's sellere Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Waltur and Poolar Need Secretions Human Plasma Sarike Vision Secretions Visions Secretions Secretions 10% Sited Secretions 10% Sited Secretions	Plastic Stelnless Steel Countertops Rubber Gloves Clott Gowns Paper Towels Skin Stelntops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Plastic Paper Plastic Plasti	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 4 days 7 - 8 hours 5 hours 2.8 hours 1 hours 2.8 hours 1 hours 3 hours 9 hours 9 minuse 80 minuse	24 24 24 25 25 21	25 - 50 25 - 50 25 - 50 25 - 50 42 1.5g waten/m ³	Insubetted in Denk	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Held, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Keawick, 1983 Keawick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983
RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synovitel Vitus Respiratory Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov S	nesd Invoka seri Hark's sellina Cell Culture Vesta into "Bit bonin sarum, dituse with Hark's sellina or nesd Invoka and Hark's sellina Cell Culture Westa with 2% bonina and the sellina of the sellina Cell Culture Westa with 2% bonina sellina, sind Hark's sellina cell Culture Westa with 3% bonina sellina, sind Hark's sellina sellina, and Hark's sellina westure and Poolar Nead Secretions Westure and Poolar Nead Secretions Westure and Poolar Nead Secretions Westure and Poolar Nead Secretions Human Plasma Starlie West Starlie West Starlie West Starlie West Coll Suppression Ion Staol Suppression Ion Staol Suppression Ion Stable Starlie Statuton with 0.0% Gelatin	Plastic Stainless Steal Countentoes Rubber Gloves Coltor Goven Stain Stainless Stain Stainless Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Plastic Paol Plate Plastic Paol Plate	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 3 1.5 3.75 8.75	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 5 hours 2 5 hours 3 h	24 24 24 25 25 21 21	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³	Inaubetad in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Head, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Keaw (K, 1983 Keaw (K, 1983 Keaw (K, 1983 Keaw (K, 1983 Reav (K, 1983)
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synovial Vitus Respiratory Synov Respiratory Synov Respiratory Vitus Synov Paral/Ruevas Vitus Type 1 Paral/Ruevas Vitus Type 2	nesd Invoke and Hark's adine Cell Culture Vesta invit 2% bovine sarum, diusa with Hark's adine or nesd Invoke and Hark's adine Cell Culture Vesta with 2% bovine sarum, diusa with Hark's adine Cell Culture Vesta with 2% bovine sarum, diusa with Hark's adine of Culture Vesta with 2% bovine sarum, diusa with Hark's saline of Culture Vesta West Severitors Westure and Poole Need Severitors Westure and Poole Need Severitors Westure and Poole Need Severitors Westure and Poole Need Severitors Human Plasma Starlie West Starlie West Starlie West Starlie West Of Severitors Starlie Vestar 10% Severitors 10% Severitors 10% Severitors 10% Severitors	Plastic Stainless Steal Countentoes Rubber Gloves Coltor Goven Stain Stainless Stain Stainless Countentops Countento	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 1.5 2.75 5.58	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 4 days 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 bhours 3 hours 4 hours 4 hours 4 hours 5 hou	24 24 24 25 25 21 21 21	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³	Incubated in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Heid, 1975 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Keawick, 1983 Keawick, 1983 Keawick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983
RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synovitel Vitus Respiratory Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Synov Respiratory Synov S	nesd invoks and Hark's adine. Ceil Culture Vecia with Yak to boin serum, ditused with Hark's adine Cail Culture Vecia with 2% borine serum, dituse with Hark's adine Cail Culture Vecia with 2% borine serum, dituse with Hark's adine cail Culture Vecia with 2% borine serum, dituse with Hark's adine vacuum, dituse with Hark's adine Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Popida Nasal Secretors Vecia and Vecia and Popida Nasal And Vecia	Plastic Stainless Steal Countentoes Rubber Gloves Coltor Goven Stain Stainless Stain Stainless Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Countentops Plastic Paol Plate Plastic Paol Plate	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 3 1.5 3.75 8.75	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 5 hours 2 5 hours 3 h	24 24 24 25 25 21 21 21	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³	Inaubated in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Head, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Keaw (K, 1983 Keaw (K, 1983 Keaw (K, 1983 Keaw (K, 1983 Reav (K, 1983)
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synovial Vitus Respiratory Synov Respiratory Synov Respiratory Vitus Synov Paral/Ruevas Vitus Type 1 Paral/Ruevas Vitus Type 2	nesd invoks and Hark's selling Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boving serum, ditused with Hark's selling or nesd mucus and Hark's selling Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boving serum, ditused with Hark's selling Cell Culture Vecla with 2% boving serum, ditused with Hark's selling cell Culture Vecla with 2% boving serum, ditused with Hark's selling Veclum and Popida Nasal Secretors Veclum and Popida Nasal Secretors Secretor Water Secretor Veclum Secretor Veclum 10% Stool Suscersion 10% Stool Suscersion 10% Stool Suscersion 10% Stool Suscersion 10% Secretors Sta Solution with 0.0% Geletin Hark's Balanacod Sta Solution with 0.0% Geletin Hark's Balanacod Sta Sta Solution with 0.0% Geletin Hark's Balanacod Sta Sta Solution with 0.0% Geletin Hark's Balanacod Sta Solution with 0.0% Geletin Hark's Balanacod Sta Sta Solution with 0.0% Geletin	Plastic Stainless Steal Countentoes Rubber Gloves Coltor Goven Stain Stainless Stain Stainless Countentops Countento	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 1.5 2.75 5.58	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 4 days 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 bhours 3 hours 4 hours 4 hours 4 hours 5 hou	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 42 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g water/m ³	Insubetad in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Heid, 1975 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Heil, 1980 Keawick, 1983 Keawick, 1983 Keawick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983 Reavick, 1983
RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Paspitatory Synoptial Vitus Respitatory Vitus Type 1 Respitatory Vitus Type 2 Paral/Huerze Vitus Type 3	ness invoke seriel Harks selling Cell Culture Vesia twi 2% boving serum, ditused with Harks sellere or nessi muces and Harks sellere Cell Culture Vesia with 2% boving serum, ditused with Harks sellere cell Culture Vesia with 2% boving serum, ditused with Harks sellere medium and Poleka Neal Secretions Weslum and Poleka Neal Secretions Startis Wesn Startis Wesn Startis Wesn Startis Wesn Startis Wesn Startis Wesn Colo Suspersion 10% Stool Su	Plastic Staliness Steal Countantoes Rubber Gloves Galt Goven Paper Swels Stint Stantas Countantoes Cou	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 8 hours 2 bours 2 bours 2 bours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 5 hou	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 42 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³ 1.5g water/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g water/m ³	Inaubetad in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Held, 1980 Hall, 1980 Parkinson, 1983 Parkinson, 1983 Parkinson, 1983
RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synoptial Vitus Respiratory Vitus Type 1 Respiratory Vitus Type 3 Paral/Fileers Vitus Type 3	ness invoke seriel Harks selline Cell Culture Vesia twi zbit bouine serum, ditused with Hark's selline or nessi mucus and Hark's selline Cell Culture Vesia with 2th Exorine serum, dituse with Hark's selline Cell Culture Vesia with 2th Exorine serum, ditused with Hark's selline cell Culture Vesia with 2th Exorine serum, ditused with Hark's selline maked with Ark's selline and Call Culture Vesia With Ark's selline with and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Starlis Starbition with 0.0% Gatalin Hark's Balanced Stat Solution with 0.0% Gatalin	Plastic Stainless Steal Countentoes Rubber Gloves Color Goven Stain Stainless Stain Stainless Countentops Countent	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 4 1.5 1.5 2.75 5.58 5.58 5.58	24 hours 24 hours 4 days 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 bhours 2 bhours 3 hours 3 hours 4 hours 4 hours 4 hours 5 hou	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³	Insubated in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Kewick, 1983 Rewick, 1983 Parkinson, 1983 Parkinson, 1983 Parkinson, 1983 Parkinson, 1983 Parkinson, 1983
RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) RHinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synoptial Vitus Respiratory Vitus Type 1 Respiratory Vitus Type 3 Paral/Fileers Vitus Type 3	ness invoke seriel Harks selline Cell Culture Vesia twi zbit bouine serum, ditused with Hark's selline or nessi mucus and Hark's selline Cell Culture Vesia with 2th Exorine serum, dituse with Hark's selline Cell Culture Vesia with 2th Exorine serum, ditused with Hark's selline cell Culture Vesia with 2th Exorine serum, ditused with Hark's selline maked with Ark's selline and Call Culture Vesia With Ark's selline with and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Weslum and Poleia Nass Secretions Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Wash Starlis Starlis Starbition with 0.0% Gatalin Hark's Balanced Stat Solution with 0.0% Gatalin	Plastic Stainless Staal Countertops Rubber Gloves Clain Gaves Peper Towels Statutes Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Plastic Peri Plate Plastic Peri Plate	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 4 days 7 - 8 hours 3 hours 2 bhours 2 bhours 3 hours 3 hours 4 hours 4 hours 4 hours 5 hou	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³	Incubated in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Bond, 1981 Keawlek, 1983 Keawlek, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Pagetatory Synopial Vitus Pagetatory Vitus Type 1 Paral/Nuerze Vitus Type 3 Paral/Nuerze Vitus Type 3	ness invoke seriel Hark's seline Geil Culture Vecia wir 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline or nessi mucus and Hark's seline Call Culture Vecia with 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline Call Culture Vecia with 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline or nessi mucus and Hark's seline wirk and Pocket Nessi Secretors Veciatin and Pocket Nessi Secretors Veciating Secretors Veciating Secretors Secretors Secretors Veciating Secretors Veciatin	Plastic Stainless Staal Countertops Rubber Gloves Clain Gavra Peper Towels Status Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Plastic Peri Plate Plastic Peri Plate	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 7 - 8 hours 8 hours 2 bours 2 bours 2 bours 2 hours 2 hours 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 5 hou	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³	Insuberted in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Bond, 1981 Keawlok, 1983 Keawlok, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983
Rhinovihus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovihus Type 2 (HGP) Pagotatory Synoplal Vihus Pagotatory Synoplal Pagotatory Synoplal Pagotatory Synoplal Pagotatory Vihus Yype 1 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 2 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 3 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 3 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 3 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 3 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 2 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 2 Pagotathuers Vihus Yype 2 Pagotathuers	ness invoke seriel Hark's seline Cell Culture Vesia twi 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline or nessi mucus and Hark's seline Cell Culture Vesia with 2% bovine serum, dituse with Hark's seline cell Culture Vesia with 2% bovine serum, dituse with Hark's seline cell Culture Vesia with 2% bovine serum, dituse with Hark's seline cell Culture and Poly Nass Secondary Madum and Association and Madum and Association and Madum and Madum and Association and Madum and Association and Madum and Madum and Association and Madum and Association and Madum and Association and Madum and Madum and Association and Madum and Association and Madum and Association	Plastic Stalivas Steal Countartopas Rubber Glovas Galta Gowas Stalin Stalinas Stalinas Countartopas Countarto	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 3 hours 5 hours 5 hours 5 hours 5 hours 1 hour 7 days 5 mhuas 50 mhu	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³	Incubated in Dark	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hall, 1980 Hall, 1980 Hall, 1980 Hall, 1980 Hall, 1980 Hall, 1980 Rean (K. 1983 Rean (K. 1983 Rean (K. 1983 Rean (K. 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983 Parkingon, 1983
Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Rhinovitus Type 2 (HGP) Respiratory Synoptial Vitus Respiratory Vitus Type 1 Respiratory Vitus Type 2 Respiratory V	ness invoke seriel Hark's seline Geil Culture Vecia wir 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline or nessi mucus and Hark's seline Call Culture Vecia with 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline Call Culture Vecia with 2% bovine serum, ditused with Hark's seline or nessi mucus and Hark's seline wirk and Pocket Nessi Secretors Veciatin and Pocket Nessi Secretors Veciating Secretors Veciating Secretors Secretors Secretors Veciating Secretors Veciatin	Plastic Stainless Staal Countertops Rubber Gloves Clain Gavra Peper Towels Status Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Countertops Plastic Peri Plate Plastic Peri Plate	< 0.5 1.75 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 4 days 7 e hours 5 hours 5 hours 5 hours 2 hours 1 hours 2 hours 1 hours 2 hours 3 hours 2 hours 3 hours 5 hours 4 days 7 days 7 days 7 days 1 hours 1 days 1 days 1 hours 1 hours 1 days 1 hours 1 hours	24 24 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 -22 to -33 -22 to -33	35 - 50 35 - 50 35 - 50 42 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 1.5g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³ 0.706 to 0.247g weter/m ³	Incubeted in Derk	Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Reed, 1975 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Hell, 1980 Bond, 1981 Keawlok, 1983 Keawlok, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983 Parkinaon, 1983

TABLE 5. VIRUS SURVIVAL ON SURFACES (CONTINUED)
---------------------------------------	------------

Vinus	Suspending Medium	Suitace	logro	me	emp PCT Ha	ative Humidit	y Other Conditions	Citation
VICE	cosper ang wearann	condo	reduction		temp (rev) in	(%)		Chanon
Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	0.33	10 days	22	25		Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa) Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fedal Suspension in Saline 10% Fedal Suspension in Saline	Viryl Milar	0.25	10 days 10 days	22	25		Sattar, 1986 Sattar, 1986
Human Hotevirus (Wa) Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fedal Suspension in Saline 10% Fedal Suspension in Saline	Glass	0.81	10 days	22	25		Sattar, 1986 Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	0.46	10 days	22	50		Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Viryl Milar	0.72	10 days	22	50		Sattar, 1986 Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa) Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Glass	0.72	10 days 10 days	22	50		Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	0.69 3.482	2 days	22 22	50 85		Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fedal Suspension in Saline	Viryl	3.128	2 days	22	85		Sattar, 1986
Human Rotevirus (Wa) Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Vilar Glass	3.736 4.816	2 days 2 days	22 22	85		Sattar, 1986 Sattar, 1986
Human Delevation Trans 14	The second se	Stainless Steel	1.68	24 hours	20	20		Sattar, 1987
Human Rhinovirus Type 14	Tryptose Phosphate Buffer	Stainless Steel	1.92	24 hours	20	50		Sattar, 1987
Human Rhinovirus Type 14 Human Rhinovirus Type 14	Tiyptose Phosphate Buffer Bovine Mucin	Stainless Steel Stainless Steel	1.2	24 hours 24 hours	20	80		Setter, 1987 Setter, 1987
Human Hhinovirus Type 14	Bovine Mucin	Stainless Steel	2.64	24 hours	20	50		Sattar, 1987
Human Bhinovirus Type 14	Bovine Mudin	Stainless Steel	2.16	24 hours	20	80		Sattar, 1987
Human Bhinovirus Type 14	Undiluted Nasal Discharge Undiluted Nasal Discharge	Stainless Steel	27.8	24 hours	20	20		Sattar, 1987
Human Rhinovirus Type 14 Human Rhinovirus Type 14	Undituted Nasal Discharge	Stainless Steel Stainless Steel	10.32 9.12	24 hours 24 hours	20 20	50 80		Sattar, 1987 Sattar, 1987
Enterovinus 70 (Je20/21)	PBS PBS	Stainless Steel	28	10 hours 10 hours	20	20		Sattar, 1988
Enterovirus 70 (J670/71)	PBS	Stainless Steel Stainless Steel	6.5		20	50 80		Sattar, 1988 Sattar, 1988
Enterovirus 70 (J670/71) Enterovirus 70 (J670/71)	P85	Stainless Steel	3.2	10 hours 10 hours	20	95		Sattar, 1988 Sattar, 1988
Enterovirus 70 (J670/71)	PBS	Stainless Steel	29.8	10 hours	33	80		Sattar, 1988
Enterovirus 70 (J670/71)	PBS	Stainless Steel	1	10 hours	33	95		Sattar, 1988
Enterovirus 70 (J670/71)	PBS	Stainless Steel	1.3	10 hours	35	85		Sattar, 1988
Human Rotevirus (Wa) Human Rotevirus (Wa)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Skin Skin	0.4	80 minutes 260 minutes	22	50		Ansari, 1988 Ansari, 1988
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Stock Suspension	Skin	1.5	2 hours	35			Bardell, 1989
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Stock Suspension	Glass	0	2 hours	35		Vaintained in Liguid State	Bardel, 1989
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Stock Suspension	Glass	1.3	2 hours	35		Allowed to Dry, 1.2 log ₁₀ Inactivation accompanies drying	Bardell, 1989
ParaInfluenza Types 1, 2 & 3	Vinimal Essential Medium with Earle's	Stalplans Staal		10 hours	22		Veinteined in Liquid State; Survival	Brady 1990
	Salts						to less then 4 hours when dried	-
ParaInfluenza Types 1, 2 & 3	Vinimal Essential Medium with Earle's	Leminated Plastic		8 hours	22		Maintained in Liquid State; Survival	Brady, 1990
Development Trans 1, 0, 0, 0	Salts Vinimal Essential Vedium with Earle's	Feelel Theory		A hereit	22		to 1 hour when difed	Deadly 1970
Parainfluenza Types 1, 2 & 3	Minimal Essential Medium with Earle's Saits	Hadiai IIssue		2 hours	22			Brady, 1990
ParaInfluenza Types 1, 2 & 3	Vinimal Essential Medium with Earle's	Cloth Gown		4 hours	22			Brady, 1990
	Salts							-
Parainfluenza Types 1, 2 & 3	Vinimal Essential Medium with Earle's Saits	Leb Cost		4 hours	22			Brady, 1990
Parainiuenza Types 1, 2 & 3	Vinimal Essential Medium with Earle's	Skin		1 hour	22			Brady, 1990
	Salts							
Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1	Culture Growth Medium	Plastic Doorknobs	1.5	2 hours	25			Bardell, 1990
		and Chrome Plated						
Adencylrus Type 19 (VR1086)	Tissue Culture Medium	Tap Handles Vetal	1.1	10 days				Nauhelm, 1990
Adency rus (ybe 16 (VH1066)	Tissue Culture Vedium Tissue Culture Vedium	Plastic	2.4	35 days				Nauhelm, 1990 Nauhelm, 1990
Adentvirus Type 18 (VR1086)	Tissue Culture Medium	Paper	2.0	8 days				Neuhelm, 1990
Adencylrus Type 18 (VR1088) Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	Tissue Culture Vedium 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Cloth Stainless Steel	1.6	6 days 4 hours		25		Nauhelm, 1990 Mbkhl, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fedal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	0.36	96 hours	20	25		VbhH, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175) Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Salina	Stainless Steel	1.0	98 hours	35	25 55		Velth, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175) Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel Stainless Steel	0.20	4 hours 98 hours	5	55		Vbkhl, 1991 Vbkhl, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175) Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	1.3	96 hours	20	55		Wolchi, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	0.28	4 hours	5	80		Vblthl, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	0.93	98 hours	20	80		WbkH, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel	3.2	98 hours	35	80		Vbbhl, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175) Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Stainless Steel Stainless Steel	0.30	4 hours 98 hours	5 20	95		Vblthl, 1991 Vblthl, 1991
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Steinless Steel	2	4 hours	35	85		Vbbhl, 1991
Polovicus Type 1 (I So)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Steinless Steel	2.4	6 hours	20	25		Mblthl, 1991
Policylrus Type 1 (ISo) Human Rotevirus (CJN) Human Rotevirus (CJN)	10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Steinless Steel	1.2	12 hours	20	95		Welchi, 1991
Human Hotevirus (CJN)	Distilled Water Non-fat Dry Mik	Petri Plates Petri Plates	4	E days E days	28	50 50		Ward, 1991 Ward, 1991
Parainfluenza Virus Type 3	Saline Containing Bovine Vugin	Steinless Steel	0.9	60 minutes	22	50		Anseri, 1991
Parainfluenza Virus Type 3 Parainfluenza Virus Type 3	Saline Containing Bovine Vudin Saline Containing Bovine Vudin	Skin	> 2	60 minutes	22	50 50		Anseri, 1991
Rhinovirus Type 14	Saline Containing Bovine Musin	Steinless Steel Skin	0.2	20 minutes	22	50		Ansari, 1991 Ansari, 1991
Rhinovirus Type 14 Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	Saline Containing Bovine Vuoln 10% Fecal Suspension in Saline	Skin	0.4	60 minutes 4 hours	22	00		Mitchiel Mitchiel
Adencylrus Type 5 (VicEwen)	Vinimal Essential Medium with Earle's	Aluminum Fell	w.w	42 days	22	amblent		Gordon, 1693
	Salts, 6% Fetal Bovine Serum							
Adencylrus Type 8 (Crey) Adencylrus Type 19 (Kowalski)		Aluminum Fell Aluminum Fell		28 days 49 days	22	amblent amblent		Gordon, 1693 Gordon, 1693
Adencylrus Type 5 (McEwen)		Plastic		49 days	22	amblent		Gordon, 1693
Adentylrus Type 8 (Cray)		Plastic		49 days	22	amblent		Gordon, 1693
Adenovirus Type 19 (Kowalski)		Plastic		49 days	22	amblent		Gordon, 1693 Gordon, 1693
Adenovirus Type 5 (VR-5) Adenovirus Type 8 (VR-1085)		Aluminum Fell Aluminum Fell		35 days 35 days	22	amblent amblent		Gordon, 1993 Gordon, 1993
Adencylrus Type 5 (VR254) Adencylrus Type 15 (VR254) Adencylrus Type 5 (VR-5)		Aluminum Fell		49 days	22	amblent		Gordon, 1693
Adencylrus Type 5 (VR-5)		Plastic		49 days	22	amblent		Gordon, 1693
Adencylrus Type 8 (VR-1085) Adencylrus Type 19 (VR264)		Plastic Plastic		49 days 49 days	22 22	amblent amblent		Gordon, 1693 Gordon, 1693
Cell-Free Human Immunodeficiency Virus	Complete Medium with 10% Fetal Calf	Glass	1	> 70.2 hours	24	ALC: NOTE: N		van Beuren, 1994
	Serum		-					
Cell-Associated Human Immunodeficiency Virus	Complete Medium with 10% Fetal Calf	Glass	1	17.5 hours	24			van Beuren, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1	Salva	Penny	5.6	2 hours	22			Bardell, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1	Selve	Nickel	4	2 hours	22			Bardell, 1994
Hernes Simplex Type 1	Salva	Dime	3.7	2 hours	22			Bardell, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1 Herpes Simplex Type 1	Selve Selve	Quarter Glass	3.9	2 hours 2 hours	22		Valntained in a liquid state	Bardell, 1994 Bardell, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1 Herpes Simplex Type 1	Salva	Glass	2.6	2 hours 2 hours	22		Allowed to Dry	Bardel, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1	Selve	Penny	1.9	2 hours	22 22		Maintained in a liquid state	Bardell, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1	Selve	Nickel	1.4	2 hours			Maintained in a liquid state	Bardell, 1994
Herpes Simplex Type 1 Herpes Simplex Type 1	Selve Selve	Dime Quarter	1.4	2 hours 2 hours	22 22		Vaintained in a liquid state Vaintained in a liquid state	Bardell, 1994 Bardell, 1994
Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	PBS	Aluminum	1.7	ED days	4	90	and the second state	Abad, 1994
	PBS	Aluminum	1.7	60 days	4	80		Abad, 1994
Human Rotevirus (ito'p13)		Aluminum	5.5	< 10 days	4	80		Abad, 1994
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	PBS				4	80		Abad, 1994
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc) Aderovirus Type 40	PBS PBS PDS	Aluminum		15 days e0 days		80		Ahad 1994
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc) Aderovirus Type 40 Bacterlaphage B40-8	P85 P85	Aluminum Aluminum	4.9	60 days 60 days	4	80		Abad, 1994 Abad, 1994
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc) Aderovirus Type 40 Bacterlaphage B40-8 Hepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	P85 P85 P85	Aluminum Aluminum Aluminum	4.9	e0 days	4 20 20	85 85		Abad, 1994 Abad, 1994
Polizvirus Type 1 (LSc) Aderovirus Type 40 Bacterloptroge 240-8 Hepetitis A Virus (HV-175) Human Rotevirus (tig 13) Polizvirus Type 1 (LSc)	P85 P85 P85 P85	Aluminum Aluminum Aluminum Aluminum	4.9 1.7 2 4	e0 days e0 days e0 days < 5 days	4 20 20 20	85 85 85		Abad, 1994 Abad, 1994 Abad, 1994
Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc) Adenovirus Type 40 Bacterlophage B40-8	P85 P85 P85	Aluminum Aluminum Aluminum	4.9 1.7 2	eo days eo days eo days	4 20 20	85 85		Abad, 1994 Abad, 1994

	lirus	Suspending Medium	Surface	log _{to} eduction	lime	Temp (°C) He	alative Humidi (%)	ly Other Conditions Citation
	Jonatitis & Virus (HV.175)	205			60 days	20	50	Abad 1994
	Juman Batavirus (Ito'p13)	PBS	Aluminum	2.5	60 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
	olovirus Type 1 (LSc)	PBS	Aluminum		< 5 days			
	derovirus Type 40	PBS	Aluminum		15 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
	Bacterlaphage B40-8	PBS	Aluminum	3.7	60 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
	lepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	PBS	China	0.9	60 days	4	80	Abad, 1994
	Human Rotavirus (Ito'p13)	PBS	China	2.2	60 days		90	Abad, 1994
	Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	PBS	China	5.3	60 days	4		Abad, 1994
	Aderovirus Ivbe 40	205	China		60 days	4		Abad, 1994
Banka Sale Sole Ale Sole Ale Ale <t< td=""><td>Bacterlophage B40-8</td><td>PBS</td><td>China</td><td></td><td>60 days</td><td>4</td><td>90</td><td></td></t<>	Bacterlophage B40-8	PBS	China		60 days	4	90	
Banka Sale Sole Ale Sole Ale Ale <t< td=""><td>epatitis A Virus (HV-175)</td><td>PBS</td><td>China</td><td>2</td><td>60 days</td><td>20</td><td>85</td><td>Abad 1994</td></t<>	epatitis A Virus (HV-175)	PBS	China	2	60 days	20	85	Abad 1994
	Juman Botevicus (Ito'o13)	PBS	China	2	60 days	20		Abad 1994
	followin a Type 1 (I Sc)	205	China	5.9			85	
	Verseleus Type ((200)	005	Colora		20 days			Abad (DD4
	acteriophage B40-8	PBS	China	2.3	60 days	20	85	Abad 1994
Space 2 general light in general sectors Part in in general sectors	epatitis A Virus (HV-175)	PBS	China	2.1	60 days	20	50	Abad 1994
	Lunes Deturbus (Italiata)	202	Colors		60 days		50	Abed 1994
	John Holevirus (10 p13)	005	Celea		< 5 days		50	
	desculare Type 1 (CCC)	005	Celea		15 days	20	80	Abad (994
	Teste de chese Diro n	000	Cialan		10 days			Abed (DD4
	Ignatitis A Virus (HV-175)	985	Letex	22	60 days	4	80	Abad 1994
Security Sec.	Lance Detailes (helete)		atex		60 days			Abad 1994
Security Sec.	Human Hotevirus (ito p13)				00 00y 0			
Settle A. Link I. MORTO 100	oliovirus Type 1 (Lao)	785		D./	30 Days		80	A080, 1994
Settle A. Link I. MORTO 100	Identivirus Type 40	PB5	Latex	0.0	15 days		80	A080, 1994
Jone - Byoline Angle 10 95 Late 1 5 6 State 8 State 6 State 8 State	Sacteriophage E40-8	PBS		3.5	60 days	4	80	
Schlar 11/2 Schlar 11/2 <thschlar 11="" 2<="" th=""> <thschlar 11="" 2<="" th=""></thschlar></thschlar>	epattis A Virus (HV-175)	P85			60 days	20	85	
Second all gald Second all	iuman Rotavirus (itoʻp13)			1.8	60 days			
Second all gald Second all	oliovirus Type 1 (LSo)				15 days			
Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Late 4 64 bits 20 80 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Late 4 80 bits 20 80 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Late 4 80 bits 20 80 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Late 4 80 bits 20 80 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Late 81 80 bits 4 80 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 Added 144 P65 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 P65 P65 P65 Added 144 P65 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 P65 P65 Added 144 P65 Added 144 Sacistic A (frick PM/178) P65 P65 P65 P65 P65 P65 P65 P65 P65 P65<	Adenovirus Type 40	PBS			15 days	20		Abad, 1994
Late 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 191	Sacteriophage 840-8	PBS		3.8	60 days	20	85	Abad, 1994
Late 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 191	lepatitis A Virus (HW-175)	PBS		4	60 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
Delands and Lines Delands and Lines <thdelands and="" lines<="" th=""> Delands and Lines</thdelands>	Juman Botevirus (ito'p13)	PBS			60 days			
Scher Berging (1) Sign (1) <td>Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)</td> <td>PBS</td> <td>Latex</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>30 days</td> <td>20</td> <td>50</td> <td>Abad, 1994</td>	Pollovirus Type 1 (LSc)	PBS	Latex	5.6	30 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
Scher Berging (1) Sign (1) <td>Adenovirus Type 40</td> <td>PBS</td> <td>Latex</td> <td></td> <td>30 days</td> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>Abad, 1994</td>	Adenovirus Type 40	PBS	Latex		30 days		50	Abad, 1994
digate - Regularie align 11 PBS Page 2 6 Origin 4 4 80 Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 11 Sole Sole Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 11 Sole Page Sole Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Align - Tige 2000 Page Sole	Bacterlophage E40-8	PBS		3	e0 days	20	50	
digate - Regularie align 11 PBS Page 2 6 Origin 4 4 80 Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 11 Sole Sole Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 11 Sole Page Sole Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Aligne - Tige 2000 Page Sole Sole Adda 1984 Align - Tige 2000 Page Sole	lepatitis A Virus (HV-175)	PBS	Paper	2.5	60 days	4	80	Abad, 1994
Spintal spin 1.53 Pier 3.3 6.5 doint 4 90 Asso Inst. Association Langed. Pier 3.3 6.5 doint 4.6 6.5 doint <	Juman Potevinus (Itolio13)	PBS	Paper		60 days	4		Abad. 1994
Johnsen Brucks (b) PP3	ollovirus Type 1 (LSo)	PBS	Paper	3.5	60 days	4	80	Abad, 1994
Johnsen Brucks (b) PP3	Aderpylrus Type 40	PBS	Paper	4.1	60 days			Abad 1994
Junce Depuise Intro 10 -PD -PER 2 E 0 10 10 20 85 Addition to 10 Standard Log 20	Bacterlophage B40-8	PBS	Paper	3.6	60 days	4	80	Abad. 1994
Junce Depuise Intro 10 -PD -PER 2 E 0 10 10 20 85 Addition to 10 Standard Log 20	Janetitis & Vinus (HW, 175)		Paper		60 days	20		Abad 1994
Description PER A B Display and the problem Display and the problem <thdisplay and="" problem<="" th="" the=""> <thdisplay and="" problem<="" td="" th<="" the=""><td>Lunes Deturbus (Italia (a)</td><td></td><td>Paper</td><td></td><td>60 days</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thdisplay></thdisplay>	Lunes Deturbus (Italia (a)		Paper		60 days			
Description PER A B Display and the problem Display and the problem <thdisplay and="" problem<="" th="" the=""> <thdisplay and="" problem<="" td="" th<="" the=""><td>Human Hotevirus (ito p13)</td><td></td><td>Dener</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thdisplay></thdisplay>	Human Hotevirus (ito p13)		Dener	<u> </u>				
Description PER A B Display and the problem Display and the problem <thdisplay and="" problem<="" th="" the=""> <thdisplay and="" problem<="" td="" th<="" the=""><td>Olovirus Type 1 (L3c)</td><td>765</td><td>Paper</td><td>-</td><td>eu days</td><td>20</td><td>80</td><td>A080, 1994</td></thdisplay></thdisplay>	Olovirus Type 1 (L3c)	765	Paper	-	eu days	20	80	A080, 1994
Description PER A B Display and the problem Display and the problem <thdisplay and="" problem<="" th="" the=""> <thdisplay and="" problem<="" td="" th<="" the=""><td>Identivirus Type 40</td><td>PB5</td><td>Paper</td><td>0</td><td>60 days</td><td>20</td><td>80</td><td>Abad, 1994</td></thdisplay></thdisplay>	Identivirus Type 40	PB5	Paper	0	60 days	20	80	Abad, 1994
Diplomina Title PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 200 80 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Start (Much Disses Vina (D425) Titas Culture (Maine Vina) Vering Sess, Wool 5.44 46 drys 4 Start (1984) Star	sectemphage E+u-s	783	Paper		e0 days			
Diplomina Title PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 200 80 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Starting right (LS1) PBS Paier 5.8 8.0 20.80 60 Add (1984) Start (Much Disses Vina (D425) Titas Culture (Maine Vina) Vering Sess, Wool 5.44 46 drys 4 Start (1984) Star		PB5	Paper	3.5	60 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
Set of the	Juman Rotevirus (Ito'p13)	763	reper		eu days			
Set of the	Poliovirus Type 1 (LSc)	PBS	Paper		60 days	20	50	
Stat and Vauch Dessee Vin.s (0285) Tes.s Culture Vauch Varie Desser Visol 4.8 Entime Value Estimation Control (016) Estimation Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) <td>Adenovirus Type 40</td> <td>PBS</td> <td>Paper</td> <td>5.8</td> <td>60 days</td> <td>20</td> <td>50</td> <td>Abad, 1994</td>	Adenovirus Type 40	PBS	Paper	5.8	60 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
Stat and Vauch Dessee Vin.s (0285) Tes.s Culture Vauch Varie Desser Visol 4.8 Entime Value Estimation Control (016) Estimation Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) Estimation Control (016) <td>Rectorionhago B40-8</td> <td>PBS</td> <td>Paper</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>60 days</td> <td>20</td> <td>50</td> <td>Abad, 1994</td>	Rectorionhago B40-8	PBS	Paper	3.6	60 days	20	50	Abad, 1994
East and Yuuch Disease Yuuch (2)-BFS) Tease Quick Vedual Marine Grasse Wood B.Z. B.G. Barlinged Trans Lumb of Queezion Vocion Statistical Vedual (1)-BFS Tease Quick Vedu	oot and Mouth Disease Virus (Or-BFS)	Tissue Culture Medium	Nerino Greasy Wicol	4.9	70 day	4		Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995
Call bed Visit: Disease Time (ALT 19) These Cyllume Value (Line Value) Value (Column Valu	oot and Mouth Disease Virus (Or-BFS)	Tissue Culture Vedium	Merino Greasy Wipol	5.7	12 days	18		Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995
Spatistic Visch Disses Vinc (12) Table Visch Disses	opt and Mouth Disease Virus (Or-BFS)	Tissue Culture Nedium	Merino Greasy Wool	5.84	68 hours	37		Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995
Spatistic Visch Disses Vinc (12) Table Visch Disses	opt and Mouth Disease Virus (TAI 1/90)	Tissue Culture Nedium	Nering Greasy Wool		48 days			Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995
Caling Struct Disk Colume Marke Colume<	opt and Mouth Disease Virus (TAI 1/90)	Tissue Culture Nedium	Mering Greasy Wool		12 days	18		Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995
Det is of Visit: Uperse Visit: Uper	act and Mouth Disease Virus (TAI 1/90)			2.2	33 hours	37		Estimated Time to Limit of Detection, McColl, 1995
Lead and Vauh Disease Vinus Vestal Miles Survey S7 S7 - Yours S7 Leatimed (Implicity) Lead and Vauh Disease Vinus S1 S7 - Yours S7 S7 - Yours S7 Leatimed (Implicity) Approximate Special Analysis of Descriptions Vinus S1 S7 - Yours S7 Leatimet S1 S1 S7 S1 Leatimet S1	not and Mouth Discase Virus (Oursers)	Tissue Outure Medium	Medico Greesw Wipol		72 days			Estimated Time to Limit of Detection, McColl, 1995
Part and Wath Disease Virus (Captage) Items to Lumin of Vath Disease Virus 27 Provins Provins <td>opt and Mouth Disease Virus (Oursease)</td> <td>Tissue Culture Vedium</td> <td>Merino Greasy Wool</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>11 days</td> <td>18</td> <td></td> <td>Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995</td>	opt and Mouth Disease Virus (Oursease)	Tissue Culture Vedium	Merino Greasy Wool	4.2	11 days	18		Estimated Time to Limit of Detection McColl, 1995
Ded nas Bigoodultiva and Separatory Syndome Vins. Unduka Stock Pints. Hees Pints. Hees<	not and Mouth Discaso Vicus (Durana)	Tierus Culture Medium	Medeo Greenw Wool		57 bours			Calmated Time to Link of Detection, McCall 1995
Opding spectra Control	Porcines Report university and Resolutions Successory Visual	Lindluted Stock	Plastic		a 1 day			Dide 1990
Conding streptical cover and segmentary synchronized stress 2 4 1 by 200 Prints, term Streptical Simple Virus, Type 2 (200) Earlies Stream 2 Stress Stress, term Stress, term, term Stress, term Stress, term Stress, term Stress, term, term Stress, term Stress, term, term Stress, term, term Stress, term, term, term Stress, term, term Stress, term, term Stress, term, term Stress, term, term, term Stress, term, term, term, term, term, term, term, term, term, term Stress, term, term, term, term, term Stress, term, term, term, term, term, term, term Stress, term, term, term, term, term, term, term, term, term, term Stress, term, te	Porcince Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus	Lind Lind Stock	Post Pubbar	-	e 1 day	28		Divis 1000
Happes Timple Vitus Type 2 (303) Early is Balanced Sata Skin 0.2 80 minuses 82 60 Granam, 166 Happes Timple Vitus Type 2 (303) Early is Balanced Sata Varial 0.7 80 minuses 22 35 Granam, 166 Happes Timple Vitus Type 2 (303) Early is Balanced Sata Varial 0.7 60 minuses 22 35 Granam, 166 Bonian Papellomonius Type 1 (19746) Dubeccos PBS Ubeccos PBS 0.5 7 days Redan, 1867 Aaronius Type 2 (19746) 2016 Focal Superation Orina 4.5 7 days 20 80 Abed 2001 Aaronius Type 2 (19746) 205 Focal Superation Orina 4.5 7 days 20 80 Abed 2001 Aaronius Type 2 (19746) 205 Focal Superation Papera 8 80 days 4.8 80 Abed 2001 Aaronius Type 2 (19746) 205 Focal Superation Papera 8 80 days 4.80 Abed 2001 Aaronius Type 2 (19746) 205 Focal Superation Papera 8 80 days 4.80	Jordina Passaduative and Passicatory Syndrome Virus	Leal and Stock	Stalslage Steel		a t day			Divis (ppp
Depart of the product of the solution o	Jarene Simpley View Turne 9 (200)						50	Oreham (000
Depart of the product of the solution o	larges Simplex Virus Type 2 (333)	Early's Balanced Calls		0.2	eu minutes		00	
Hunch Paellone Vitus Type 16 (BPV-1 Pesudosyce) Dubacors PBS Tubes 0.5 7 days Roden, 1957 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation CHrs 3 80 days 4 50 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation CHrs 4.5 7 days 4 50 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation CHrs 4.5 7 days 20 50 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation CHrs 4.8 50 days 4.90 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation Paper 3.2 50 days 4.90 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation Paper 3.2 50 days 4.90 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation Paper 3.2 50 days 4.90 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744) 20% Feed Superation Paper 3.90 days 4.90 Abad, 2001 Astronius Type 2 (L12744)	Providence (1997)	Early's Balanced Calls		0.7	eu minutes	~~~	30	Granam, 1999
Humber Admitshee Vinus Listed Stress Listed Stress Hubber Stress <td>erpes complex virus Type 2 (333)</td> <td>care s palanded carts</td> <td>N 4101</td> <td>22</td> <td>BU MINUNS</td> <td>32</td> <td>80</td> <td>Graham, 1998</td>	erpes complex virus Type 2 (333)	care s palanded carts	N 4101	22	BU MINUNS	32	80	Graham, 1998
Calculation Color Color <thcolor< th=""> Color Color</thcolor<>	numan maphioma virus Type 16 (BPV-1 Pseudolype)	Durbeccos PBO	TUDES		7 08/5			Hoden, 1997
Calculation Color Color <thcolor< th=""> Color Color</thcolor<>	sovine replilomovirus lype 1	Duibecco's PBS	Onlar.	0.5	7 days			Hoden, 1997
Calculation Color Color <thcolor< th=""> Color Color</thcolor<>	Astrovirus Type 2 (L13745)	20% Fedal Suspension	Chies	3	eo days	4		Abad, 2001
Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) 20% Focal Supported Paper 3 80 days 4 60 Abad, 2001 Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) 20% Focal Supported Paper 2.2 7 days 80 Abad, 2001 Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) PBS Paper 3 3 days 4 60 Abad, 2001 Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) PBS Paper 3 3 days 4 60 Abad, 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soll Surface Under 154 to 195 days 17 to Paper 2.02 60 Abad, 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soll Surface Under 154 to 195 days 17 to Paper avag, 1 apportably 1 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soll Surface > 24 to 38 days to <24 hour	Astrovinus Type 2 (CT2/40)	20% Hedal Suspension	Unina		7 days			Abad, 2001
Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) 20% Focal Supported Paper 3 80 days 4 60 Abad, 2001 Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) 20% Focal Supported Paper 2.2 7 days 80 Abad, 2001 Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) PBS Paper 3 3 days 4 60 Abad, 2001 Aptrolutar Type 2 (L19740) PBS Paper 3 3 days 4 60 Abad, 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soll Surface Under 154 to 195 days 17 to Paper 2.02 60 Abad, 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soll Surface Under 154 to 195 days 17 to Paper avag, 1 apportably 1 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soll Surface > 24 to 38 days to <24 hour	astrovirus Type 2 (L13745)	PB5	Unina	4	60 days	4	80	Abad, 2001
Attroluting (up 2 (L19746)) 20% Feed Suspersion Perer 3 80 days 4 60 Abad (2001) Attroluting (up 2 (L19746)) 20% Feed Suspersion Perer 2.2 7 days 20 60 Abad (2001) Attroluting (up 2 (L19746)) PBS Perer 3 3 days 4 60 Abad (2001) Attroluting (up 2 (L19746)) PBS Perer 3 3 days 4 60 Abad (2001) Attroluting (up 2 (L19746)) PBS Perer 3 3 days 4 60 Abad (2001) Fact and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface Under 154 to 165 days 17 to Paderovs, 1 neonetably E 2000	astrovirus Type 2 (L13745)	PBS	Unina	3	7 days	20		Abad, 2001
Attroluty Type 2 (L19745) PBS Parer Parer 3 2 days 0 4 60 Abad. 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface Under Show Soil Surface Under Show 17 to Show 17 to Show 90 Abad. 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface Under Show Soil Surface > 24 to 38 days Soil Surface 3 to 7.91C 65 to 73 Podietove, 1 reported by E 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface Under Soil Surface Under Beart and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface Under Soil Surface < 2 days Soil Surface 4 to 38 days Soil Surface 65 days to <24 hour Soil Surface 69 Podietove, 1 reported by E 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface < 2 days Soil Surface < 2 days and unt and up to 2002 61 Podietove, 1 reported by E 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface < 2 days and up to 2002 2002 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Surface < 2 days and up to 2002 82 days and up to 2002 82 days 2002 Podietove, 1 reported by E 2002 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Soil Noutholisease Vir	Astrovirus Type 2 (L1374b)	20% Head Suspension	Haper	3	50 days	4	60	Abad, 2001
Attroving Type 2 (L19745) PBS Paper Paper 3 2 days 0 4 60 Abad, 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface Under Snow Sall Surface Under Snow 17 to Snow 17 to Snow 90 Abad, 2001 Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface Under Snow Sall Surface Snow 510 2002 200 200 2002 <td< td=""><td></td><td>20% Head Suspension</td><td>Haper</td><td>2.2</td><td>7 days</td><td>20</td><td></td><td>Abad, 2001</td></td<>		20% Head Suspension	Haper	2.2	7 days	20		Abad, 2001
Fast and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface 17 to Podrezve, 1 Fast and Wouth Disease Virus Sall Surface > 24 to 188 days 1.7 to 2002 Fast and Wouth Disease Virus Sall Surface > 24 to 38 days 3 to 7.9°C 60 to 73 Padrezve, 1 Fast and Wouth Disease Virus Sall Surface > 24 to 38 days 3 to 7.9°C 60 to 73 Padrezve, 1 Fast and Wouth Disease Virus Sall Surface Virus Sall Surface Virus 2002 Fast and Wouth Disease Virus Sall Surface Virus 20 days 2002 2002 Fast and Wouth Disease Virus Sall Surface < 2 days	strovirus Type 2 (L13745)	P85	Paper	3	3 days	4	80	Abad, 2001
Snow 3.1°C reported by E Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface > 24 to 38 days to 424 hour 16.8 to 49 86 to 73 Poderatorus, 1 Faot and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface < 2 days to 424 hour 16.8 to 49	strovirus Type 2 (L13745)	PB5	Paper	3	60 days		80	Abad, 2001
Snow 3.1°C reported by E Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface > 24 to 38 days to 424 hour 16.8 to 49 86 to 73 Poderatorus, 1 Faot and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface < 2 days to 424 hour 16.8 to 49	oot and Mouth Disease Virus		Soll Surface Under		184 to 195 days	-17 to		Podrezova, 1999; a
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus Soli Surface > 24 to 38 days 3 to 7.9 ¹ C 60 to 73 Podrezows, 1 reported by E 2002 Foot and Mouth Disease Virus Soli Surface Virus Soli Surface <2 days			Snow			5.1°C		reported by Bartley
Faot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface Under <5 days to <24 hour 16.6 to 66 Podersow, 1 Baves, Pierr Stams Sol SUrface Under 16.6 to 66 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface < 2 days up to 34°C 51 Podersow, 1 antibart and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface Sol Surface 2 days up to 34°C 51 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface 2 days up to 34°C 51 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface 2 days up to 34°C 51 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface 2 days up to 34°C 51 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface 2 days up to 34°C 51 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface 2 days 16.7°C 43.68 Bidden 1 Podersow, 1 Paot and Mouth Disease Virus Damp Hy, Damp < 5 days 16.7°C 43.68 Podersow 2002 2002 Podersow 2002 Podersow								2002
Fact and Mouth Disease Virus Soli Surface Under Lawes, Plen Stems < 5 days to <24 hour 16.6 to 69 Poderzow, 1 reported by 5 2002 Fact and Mouth Disease Virus Soli Surface < 2 days	oot and Mouth Disease Virus		Sell Suriace		> 24 to 38 days	3 to7.5°C	65 to 73	Podrezova, 1966; a
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus Soli Surface Votes 45 days to x24 hour 16.8 to 69 Podrezove, 1 Foot and Mouth Disease Virus Soli Surface < 2 days								reported by Bartley
Barris Sol PC reported by East and Mouth Disease Virus Sol Surface < 2 days Up to 34°C 51 Poderatory 1 Fact and Wouth Disease Virus Sol I Surface < 2 days								2002
Leaves, Plant Stems 30.8°C reported by E Faot and Vouth Disease Virus Soil Surface < 2 days	oot and Nouth Disease Virus				<5 days to <24 hour		69	Podrezova, 1969; a
Fact and Mouth Disease Virus Sall Surface < 2 days			leaves, Plant Stems			30.6°C		reported by Bartley
Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Soll Surface < 2 days								2002
Fast and Wauth Disease Virus Sall 1-2cm undar Sall 1-2cm undar Sall 4-2cm undar 4-2cm undar Sall 4-2cm undar Sall 4-2cm undar	oot and Mouth Disease Virus		Soll Suriace		< 2 days	up to 34 ⁹ C	51	Podrezova, 1969; a
and up to 3012 Soll - 2002 Sol	· · · · · ·					amplent		reported by Bartley
Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Soll 1-2cm under Sulface Soll 1-2cm under Sulface Bit 2 days up to 34*C 51 Podiesove, 1 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Sulface antiouth and up to solC cell Soll 1-2cm antiouth and up to solC cell Soll 1-2cm Soll 1-2cm Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Strong Hay, Bran, Sand 14 - 188 days 16.7*C 43-86 reported by E and and solc Sole 1-2cm Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days						and up to		2002
Foot and Vourh Disease Virus Soll 1-2cm under is 2 days up to 34°C S1 Podezova, 1 Surface ambient ambient responsed by E and up to 2002 Foot and Vourh Disease Virus Straw, Hay, Bran, 14 - 168 days 18,7°C 43-88 Badson, 1927 Foot and Vourh Disease Virus Cow Hair, Wool, 2002 2002 2002 Foot and Vourh Disease Virus Sand 2002 2002 2002 Foot and Vourh Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days						50 ² C soll		EUVE.
Surface amblent and up to 30 ¹ C soil responsed by E 2002 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Straw, Hay, Bran, Cow Hair, Wool, Sand 14 - 168 days 16,7 ¹ C 43-88 Badson, 1527 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Cow Hair, Wool, Sand 2002 2002 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp 4 5 days 16,7 ¹ C -100 Badson, 1527 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days								
Surface amblent and up to 50 ¹ C soil responsed by E 2002 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Straw, Hay, Stran, Cow Hait, Wool, Sand 14 - 188 days 18,7 ¹ C 43-88 Badson, 1927 Foot and Vouth Disease Virus Cow Hait, Wool, Sand 2002 2002 Foot and Vouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days	and Mouth Disease Virue		Sol 1.2on under		2.2 dave	up to SARC	51	Podrezova, 1969; a
and Up to 50 ⁴ C soll 2022 2022 Foat and Wouth Disease Wrus Straw, Hay, Bran, Cow Heir, Wool, Sand 14 - 168 days 16.7 ⁴ C 43-68 Badson, 1527 Foat and Wouth Disease Wrus Cow Heir, Wool, Sand reported by E reported by E Foat and Wouth Disease Wrus Diamp Hay, Damp < 5 days					a s onys			reported by Bartley
50°C soil Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Straw, Hay, Bran, 14 - 198 days 18,7°C 43-88 Badson, 1927 Cow Hair, Wool, 192 Foot and Wouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days 18,7°C -100 Badson, 1927 Bran Hay, Damp < 5 days 18,7°C -100 Redown, 1927 Bran 2002			Second State					reported by Barbey
Foot and Vouth Disease Virus Straw, Hay, Bran, 14 - 188 days 18,71C 43-88 Badson, 1827 Cow Heir, Wool, Cow Heir, Wool, reponted by E 2002 20						and up to		2002
Cow Heir, Wool, reported by E Sand 2002 Foat and Wouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days						0040 SOII		
Cow Heir, Wool, reported by E Sand 2002 Foat and Wouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days	ant and March Disease View		Steen Line Door		14 100 000	10.750	40.00	Dedace from the
Sand 2002 Fost and Vouth Disease Virus Damp Hay, Damp < 5 days	out and Mauth Uisease Virus		Coulde Work		14 - 168 Days	16.710	43-66	Heason, 1927; as
Bran reported by E								reported by Bartley
Bran reported by E								2002
2002								Bedson, 1927; as
2002	oot and Wouth Disease Virus		Damp Hay, Damp		< o days	10.710	-100	
Fact and Mouth Disease Virus Wool 2.5 21°C Flanor 1980	Foot and Wouth Disease Virus		Damp Hay, Damp Bran		< o days	10.7-0	-100	reported by Bartley
			Bran				-100	reported by Bartley 2002
reported by a construction of the construction	Foot and Mouth Discase Virus		Bran		< 5 days	21ºC	-100	reported by Bartley 2002 Elsner, 1980; as

TABLE 5. VIRIUS SURVIVAL ON SURFACES (CONTINUED)

Substrate	Initial viral count (log ₁₀ PFU)	Specified Conditions	Survival Time	Method of Detection
Solution				
pH effects				
Virus spiked in baby stool	1.00E+03	pH 6-7	3 hours	cell culture
Virus spiked in normal stool ¹	7.50E+03	pH 8	6 hours	cell culture
Virus spiked in diarrheal stool ¹	7.50E+03	pH 9	4 days	cell culture
Temperature effects				
stool ²	1.00E+03	Room Temperature	≥ 2 days	cell culture
urine ^s	1.00E+03	Room Temperature	≥ 24 hours	cell culture
Virus culture medium + 1% bovine serum ²	1.00E+04	30-37 °C	≥ 1 hour	cell culture
Virus culture medium + 1% fetal calf serum ²	1.00E+04	58°C	degradation of titre over time, 10000 infectious units in 15 minutes	cell culture
Virus culture + 2% bovine serum ^a	1.00E+08	-80 °C	≥ 4 days	cell culture and RT-PCF
Virus culture + 2% fetal calf serum ^a	1.00E+08	4*C	≥ 4 days	cell culture and RT-PCF
/irus culture + 2% fetal calf serum ^a	1.00E+08	37 °C	< 4 days	cell culture and RT-PCF
/irus culture + 2% fetal calf serum ^a	1.00E+05	56°C	< 30 minutes	cell culture and RT-PCF
Virus culture*	1.00E+08	4*C	≥ 21 days	cell culture
Virus culture*	1.00E+08	-80 °C	≥ 21 days	cell culture
Disinfectant Effects				
Virus in acetone ^s	1.00E+08	Room Temperature	less than 5 minutes	cell culture
/irus in 10% formaldehyde ^s	1.00E+08	Room Temperature	less than 5 minutes	cell culture
Viru in 10% Glorox ²	1.00E+08	Room Temperature	less than 5 minutes	cell culture
Virus in 75% ethanol ^s	1.00E+08	Room Temperature	less than 5 minutes	cell culture
Virus in 2% phenol ^e	1.00E+08	Room Temperature	less than 5 minutes	cell culture
Surfaces				
Virus culture medium + 1% bovine serum ^e	1.00E+03	Plastic Surface at Room Temperature	≥ 2 dava	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵	9.00E+04	plastic surface at Hoom remperature plastered wall	24 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵ *	9.00E+04	plastic surface	38 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵ *	9.00E+04	formica	38 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵ *	9.00E+04	stainless steel	38 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵ *	9.00E+04	wood	12 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵ *	8.00E+04	cotton cloth	12 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS [*] *	9.00E+04	pig skin	≥ 24 hours	cell culture
/irus in PBS*	9.00E+04	olass slide	72 hours	cell culture
Virus in PBS ⁵ *	9.00E+04	paper file cover	24 hours	cell culture
/irus in Sterilized Stool ^s	9.00E+04	plastered wall	38 hours	cell culture
/irus in Sterilized Stool	9.00E+04	plastic surface	72 hours	cell culture
/irus in Sterilized Stool	9.00E+04	formica	38 hours (72 hours) ⁺	cell culture
Virus in Sterilized Stool ^s	9.00E+04	stainless steel	72 hours (38 hours) ⁺	cell culture
Virus in Sterilized Stool ^s	9.00E+04	wood	24 hours	cell culture
/irus in Sterilized Stool ^s	9.00E+04	cotton cloth	24 hours	cell culture
Virus in Sterilized Stool	9.00E+04	pig skin	≥ 24 hours	cell culture
Virus in Sterilized Stool	9.00E+04	olass slide	≤ 24 hours	cell culture

TABLE 6. SURVIVAL OF THE SARS CORONVIRUS UNDER DIFFERENT CONDITIONS

Adapted from WHO SARS website. * Reported as virus in tissue culture medium by Tsang, 2003. ^{*}Discrepancy in results as reported by Tsang, 2003 ¹ Government Virus Unit, Dept. of Health, Hong Kong, SAR China ² Queen Mary Hospital, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR China ³ National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan ⁴ University of Marburg, Germany ⁸ Chinese University, Hong Kong